

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
1	Section A	A.2, pg. 5 & 6	<p>BASE VEHICLE PRODUCTION QUANTITIES AND DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS</p> <p>Section B and Section F of the solicitation provides vehicle production quantities for the base award. These sections include JLTV FoV variants, kits, and mission package configurations for the Army and Marine Corps program requirements.</p> <p>In order to maintain the testing schedule, the first JLTV vehicle deliveries must occur 300 days after contract award. Base award deliveries continue every 30 days after contract award up to final delivery in support of the base award, which occurs 600 days after contract award. See Sections B and F of the solicitation for details relating to vehicle delivery, inspection and acceptance.</p> <p>DRFP2 stated that the first delivery was to be 300 DAC, but it also contained Attachment 75 which stated that "deliveries are due no later than by the end of each month."</p> <p>For internal planning purposes, should the Contractor plan to deliver vehicles by the end of each calendar month?</p>	<p>Attachment 0075 is not an attachment in the final RFP.</p> <p>As stated on the JLTV LRIP and FRP website: "ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF SOLICITATION W56HZV-14-R-0039 AND ITS ASSOCIATED ATTACHMENTS AND EXHIBITS WERE RELEASED FOR INDUSTRY PLANNING PURPOSES ONLY AND SHOULD NOT BE REFERENCED OR USED FOR PROPOSAL SUBMISSION."</p> <p>Contractors should plan to deliver in accordance with Section B and Clause 52.242-4022 Delivery Schedule contained in the Final RFP.</p>
2	Section C	C.2.4.2.1.2, pg. 175	<p>The contractor shall demonstrate the requirements for each segment of RQT.</p> <p>What AMSAA tool (e.g. RGTMC, AMPM, etc.), if any, will be used to evaluate demonstrated point estimate PD compliance during RQT? Will corrective action FEF assessed by the USG be included in the point estimate calculation?</p>	<p>No AMSAA tools will be used to evaluate demonstrated point estimate PD Compliance. The FEF assessment will not be included in the Demonstrated Point Estimate calculation.</p>
3	Section C	C.1.3.5.4.2, pg. 131	<p>Initial Physical Configuration Audit. The paragraph states the IPCA will validate the assembly level of the Base Vehicle Platforms, trailers, Contractor Furnished Equipment (CFE) Kits, and 100% of the component level drawings.</p> <p>1. Are these component level drawings the same as the 27 components defined in paragraph E.2.1.2 Component First Article Testing?</p> <p>2. The VEASAM specifies IPCA and FPCA time frames. Is it acceptable for the contractor to perform some component and assembly level PCAs prior to the VEASAM period?</p>	<p>1. The component level drawings referenced in C.1.3.5.4.2 consists of the entirety of the components installed on the vehicle and defined as the product baseline in the PBLI. The E.2.1.2 defines the components requiring Component First Article Testing and not an exhaustive list of component drawings.</p> <p>2. The IPCA can only be performed if the criteria in the IMP is met, and the contractor has finalized the design to be delivered for initial testing of the vehicles. The FPCA can only be performed if the criteria in the IMP is met, and the contractor has implemented the changes required to meet the Purchase Description and is prepared to go to a Full Rate Production Decision.</p>
4	Section C	C.2.1.1.5.4, pg.140	<p>The paragraph states that the Government requires contribute access to the CM workflow process in the Contractor's CM web-bases system.</p> <p>Will the Government accept a proxy vote in the Contractor's CM web-based system? Upon receipt, Government approval, disapproval or comments will be loaded to the CM System by the contractor.</p>	<p>Government will not accept proxy vote. Government requires access to contribute comments to the CM workflow.</p>

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
5	Section C	C.2.1.1.6.8, pg.143	The paragraph states that all drawings produced under this contract shall be assigned Government drawing part numbers  Are Government drawing numbers required for all existing drawings above the SCD?	The Government assumes SCD means Source Control Drawings. All drawings including source control drawings will be assigned a Government issued part number.
6	Attachment 35	Attachment 35, pg.6, 7, and 8	Log Demo (LD) training for FLMNET and OPNET are 16 hours each  FLMNET for maintainers and OPNET for operators on attachment 0035 (pages 4 and 8) are 80 hours and LD training is 16 hours. Is it the Government's intent to train operators and maintainers for LD in only 16 hours for each class?	Yes, the Government intends to conduct Log Demo "Familiarization" training. See C.2.3.8.2.2. Attachment 0035 will be updated to reflect "Familiarization" training and the correct number on FLMNET class size to be consistent with C.2.3.8.2.2.
7		C.2.1.1.5.7, pg.141	After PBR, for the life of the contract, the contractor shall prepare ECPs and VECPs.  The PBLI will contain Specifications and Source Control Drawings (SOCD) for major components e.g., Engine, Transmission, CAB, etc. Is the Government expecting the contractor to submit ECPs for approval below the procurement specification and SOCD level?	As detailed in C.2.1.1.4, the PBLI shall consist of the lowest level that captures the LRUs and Individual software elements. The Government expects all LRUs and corresponding TDP (see C.2.1.1.5.2) to have a ECP for Government Approval.
8	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
9	PD FOV 2908		Is the output of SOW Sections C.1.3.9.4 and C.1.3.9.5 going to be used to validate proposed PDFOV-2908 performance compliance?	The output of SOW Section C.1.3.9.4 will be used to validate proposed PDFOV-2908 performance compliance. The output of SOW Section C.1.3.9.5 will not be used to validate proposed PDFOV-2908 performance compliance.
10	Section C	C.2.4.2.1.2, pg. 175	Reliability Qualification Testing  Paragraph C.2.4.2.1.2 references PD Requirements to be measured at interval points during test. Some of the requirements referenced are a parent requirement contain both threshold and objective requirements underneath them. For Example PDFOV 2917 Operational Availability has PDFOV-2918 (T) and PDFOV-8134(O) as sub requirements. Please clarify which requirements will be evaluated as part of Reliability Qualification Testing.	The contract's PD threshold value sub-requirements will be evaluated as a part of Reliability Qualification Testing.
11	Section C	C.2.4.2.1.2, pg. 175	The contractor shall demonstrate the requirements for each segment of RQT.  Will demonstrated RQT performance be assessed on a cumulative basis or will each test segment be a stand-alone assessment period excluding any prior segment performance?	Demonstrated RQT performance will be evaluated on a cumulative basis at each decision review.

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
12	Section C Attachment 35	C.5.1 and C.5.1.1, pg.195 Attachment 35	<p>Total Package Fielding (TPF) is the Army's standard materiel fielding process for new and modified materiel systems. The TPF process is designed to provide Army materiel systems to the using units, as a coordinated package of end items, support items and technical documentation, IAW (IAW) Army Regulation (AR) 700-142.</p> <p>C.5.1.1 Introduction and Objectives Government expects to train, de-process and field vehicles over six (6) years to various locations. TPF process is to ensure gaining</p> <p>The SOW paragraphs that cover Total Package Fielding require that TPF be conducted IAW AR 700-142. As described in paragraph 5.1.1 this includes training and de-processing of vehicles during TPF. Attachment 0035 (NET Plan) paragraph A states "JLTV NET will be accomplished as part of the Total Package Fielding process." However, AR 700-142 Section II 5-3 Total Package Fielding paragraph (3) states "Although TPF and NET are usually done in conjunction with one another, NET is not part of TPF". There appears to be an inconsistency between these paragraphs. Please clarify.</p>	The quoted text from Attachment 0035 in the question is inconsistent with the verbage contained within Attachment 0035. The final RFP attachment 0035 currently states "JLTV NET will be accomplished in conjunction with the Total Package Fielding (TPF) process." The verbage of Attachment 0035 is consistent with C.5.1 and AR 700-142.
13	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
14	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
15	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
16	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
17	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
18	W56HZV-14-R-0039, Section C	C.2.1.4.4	<p>Paragraph C.2.1.4.4 requires model data for each "JLTV FoV configuration".</p> <p>Please clarify the definition of JLTV FoV configuration as it applies to PDFOV-9183.</p> <p>Does the FoV configuration apply to the "Variant", "Base Vehicle Platform", or "Mission Package Configuration"?</p>	FoV configuration applies to the Mission Package Configuration as defined in C.1.1.1.

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
19	W56HZV-14-R-0039, Attachments 0033 and 0035	Att 0033 & 0035	Attachment 0033, New Equipment Training, indicates USMC JLTV Welder and JLTV Machinist Training is a total of 40 hours each.  Attachment 0035, NET Plan, identifies that IKPT JLTV Welders/Machinists NET is a combined training session of 40 hours for both welder and machinist tasks.  Please clarify the required length of training required for USMC Welders and Machinists.	USMC JLTV Welder and Machinist Training is a total of 40 hours each. Attachment 35 will be updated to reflect the correct hours.
20	MIL-STD-882 ESOH - HTL / W56HZV-14-R-0039	C.2.1.2.1.1, C.2.1.2.1.3	SOW paragraph C.2.1.2.1.1 requires that the ESOH program follow MIL-STD-882E.  SOW paragraph C.2.1.2.1.3 requires that the Hazard Tracking Log be prepared IAW Attachment 018, which references MIL-STD-882D.  Is it the government's intention to have the ESOH program follow MIL-STD-882E and the Hazard Tracking Log (Preliminary Hazard List) use risk codes based off of MIL-STD-882D?	No. Both the ESOH program and the use of risk codes in the Hazard Tracking Log (Preliminary Hazard List) are required to follow MIL-STD-882E. The third worksheet in Attachment 0018 will be changed from MIL-STD-882D to MIL-STD882E
21	Attachment 060 Naming Convention Table / RFP W56HZV-14-R-0039 Attachment -60 and Section B	Att 0060	The CLIN Naming Convention table in the CLIN Structure worksheet of RFP Attachment 0060, Option Pricing Matrix, workbook omits Option Period 6, lists CLIN sequence numbers 6 and 7 for Option Periods 7 and 8, and lists an Option Period 9. These option period nomenclatures in Attachment 0060 are inconsistent with RFP Section B.1.  Please clarify that the CLIN Naming Convention table in RFP Attachment 0060 Option should be consistent with RFP Section B SECTION B - SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES/COSTS.	The CLIN Naming Convention table in RFP Attachment 0060 will be amended to be consistent with RFP Section B.
22	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
23	Section C Attachment 60	C.2.1.6.1.1, pg.149	<p>C.2.1.6.1.1. The Contractor shall deliver an interoperable and certifiable system including Net Ready Certification, Army Interoperability Certification (AIC), and USMC Interoperability Certification (UIC). Systems interoperability shall include interoperability of all hardware, software, and logistics systems included in the JLTV FoV (including CFE, GFE, and GFI). The Contractor shall generate substantiating data to demonstrate system interoperability (reference CDRL B007, System Interoperability Report).</p> <p>The Contractor shall provide C4ISR and Vehicle SMEs personnel and equipment (JLTVs with integrated kits, CSIL, GFE, CFE) to conduct interoperability reviews and testing at the CSIL and Government sites.</p> <p>The Government estimates the number of Interoperability events is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- AIC: Six (6) events during LRIP and 2 events per year post-LRIP. Event duration is two (2) weeks with two (2) SMEs.</li> <li>- UIC: Six (6) events during LRIP and 2 events per year post-LRIP. Event duration is 2 weeks with two (2) SMEs.</li> <li>- JITC: Six (6) events during LRIP. Event duration is four (4) weeks with two (2) SMEs.</li> <li>- VICTORY: Six (6) events during LRIP (does not include VICTORY Working Group participation). Event duration is two (2) weeks with two (2) SMEs</li> </ul> <p>Attachment 60 has this SOW paragraph mapped to test support and occurring in the Base Period and Options 1-4. What CLIN should these events be bid against after the Option 4 time frame?</p>	Section C.2.1.6.1.1.2 will be added to the RFP and will account for post-LRIP interoperability certification requirements. Attachment 0060 will also be updated accordingly.
24	W56HZV-14-R-0039, Section M	M.1.3	<p>Paragraph M.1.3, Export Controlled Information, appears to be incorrectly numbered because the preceding paragraph in the RFP is M.1.1.</p> <p>Please resolve the numbering inconsistency between RFP paragraph M.1.3 and the preceding paragraph.</p>	Paragraph M.1.2 is not used. The RFP will not be updated to re-number M.1.3 at this time.
25	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
26	Section C	C.2.1.6.1.3, pg. 150	<p>Effort and support to the Government SILs is requested "throughout the life of contract" per Section C. Attachment 60 Pricing Matrix maps this SOW paragraph to CLIN xx19 (Test Hardware), and Attachment 70 limits CLIN 1119AA to only Option Period 1 where the hardware deliveries would occur.</p> <p>Where should effort to support Government SILs after delivery be priced?</p>	<p>Support to the Government SIL after delivery may be required for two reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The Government initiates a change via an STS/ECO work directive. For these efforts, costs associated with changes to the Government SIL will be funded via the STS work directive IAW C.2.1.6.1.3 which states "If changes to the GSILs are required as result of the Government ECP, the required efforts will be captured in an STS work directive."</li> <li>2) All other changes are considered Contractor initiated changes IAW C.2.1.6.1.3 which states "The Contractor shall be responsible for ensuring any changes (hardware, software, and interfaces) made to CSIL are also incorporated in GSILs throughout the life of contract (including, but not limited to, CSIL changes made as a result of a contractor proposed ECP)" and will be at no additional cost to the Government.</li> </ol> <p>The RFP will be updated to clarify that changes proposed by the Contractor - to include updates to the GSIL - shall be at no additional cost to the Government.</p>
27	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
28	W56HZV-14-R-0039, Section L	L.4.2.1.4.2	<p>Section L.4.2.1.4.2, Major Subcontracts for Select Service CLINs, defines major subcontracts as "...major non-hardware subcontractors (those with a total labor cost expected to be greater than 50% of the ICS, STS, or TPF efforts of the contract)..."</p> <p>Section L.4.2.1.4.2(b) provides an exception to submitting "...pricing information from the subcontractor equivalent to that required in Section L.4.2.1 of the prime Offeror," if the subcontract is, "for purchased material and the offeror can demonstrate the subcontract item is either commercial or based on adequate price competition".</p> <p>Attachment 060 unit of issue for service CLINs (STS, ICS, &amp; TPF) is "Hours" and within Section H (H.1.4.1, H.1.4.2, &amp; H.1.4.3) it is stated that all other direct costs will be separately negotiated after contract award.</p> <p>It appears that Section L.4.2.1.4.2 is internally inconsistent. We interpret the intent of Section L.4.2.1.4.2(b) to be, "(b) For major subcontractors as defined above, if the offeror can demonstrate the subcontract item is either commercial or based on adequate price competition, cost information from the subcontractor is not required. Instead, provide copies of competitive subcontractor price quotes, or the kind of information in FAR 52.215-20(a)(1)(ii)." Please confirm.</p>	Section L.4.2.1.4.2(b) will be amended for clarification.
29	Section C & Attachment 0060	Attachment 0060, SoW to CLIN Crosswalk Tab, Paragraph C.2.3.3.11.4.2	<p>Attachment 0060 includes a reference to SoW Section C.2.3.3.11.4.2 titled, "Fault Inducement Kits for NET Training" on tab "SOW to CLIN Crosswalk". This paragraph cannot be found in Section C.</p> <p>Please clarify the reference to Paragraph C.2.3.3.11.4.2 contained within Attachment 060.</p>	Fault Inducement Kits for NET Training" can be found in Section C.5.3.4 (and not at C.2.3.3.11.4.2). Attachment 0060 will be updated to be consistent with Section C.

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
30	RFP W56HZV-14-R-0039 Exhibit A CDRLs and C.1.4.3	CDRL A021 & C.1.4.3	<p>Section C.1.4.3 states, "In the performance of an individual or combination of related STS Work Directive(s) issued by the Government valued at \$20 million or greater, the Contractor shall use an EVMS that complies with DFARS 252.234-7002 and DFARS 252.242-7005 and shall meet the Contractor's own documented System Description."</p> <p>CDRL A021, requires Formats 1-7 of DI-MGMT-81861 to be submitted, and Block 12, states, "The first IPMR (Formats 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6) is due on the 15th of the month following the end of the first full accounting month after contract award..."</p> <p>CDRL A003, requires only Format 6 of DI-MGMT-81861 to be submitted and states, "The IMS will track all scope against the contract between Base Award and the Full Rate Production decision. After the Full Rate Production decision, only IPS, ICS, and TPF scope must be tracked and reported in the IMS."</p> <p>DI-MGMT-81861 states in Section 2.8.1., "When EVM DFARS is Applicable: Formats 1-7 are required whenever DFARS 252.234-7002 is applicable." And within Section 2.8.2., "When EVM DFARS is Not Applicable: Format 6 may be applied separately where DFARS 252.234-7002 is not applicable."</p> <p>Immediately at contract award it is not expected that an STS WD, or group of related STS WDs valued at \$20M will be exercised. Per Section C.1.4.3, only in performance of this work would DFARS 252.234-7002 be applicable, and per the DID, Formats 1-7 are not required unless DFARS 252.234-7002 is applicable. To align these requirements, CDRL A021 should be updated to clarify and reflect that the CDRL is only due when associated with the exercising of an STS WD, or group of related STS WDs valued at \$20M or greater; not tied to immediate contract award or required to report against non-STs work.</p> <p><i>Is CDRL A021 only required to report on the individual or combination of related STS WD that are</i></p>	CDRL A021 is only required when an individual or combination of related STS Work Directive(s) issued by the Government is valued at \$20 million or Greater.
31	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
32	Section H, Attachment 0056, & Attachment 0070	H.1.3.8	<p>Section H.1.3.8 states, "...the Government reserves the right to unilaterally exercise options for up to 97 JLTV-FoV Refurbishment[s]" and indicates that 3 of these are the AFES refurbis and the remaining 94 are the non-AFES refurbis. Attachment 0056 and the evaluated quantities in Attachment 0070 both indicate a total of 97 Non-AFES refurbishments.</p> <p>Should section H.1.3.8 allow for the Government to exercise options for up to 100 JLTV-FoV Refurbishments, or should the AFES refurbis be part of base award? Please reconcile the qty discrepancies between Attachment 0056 and Section H.</p>	Section H.1.3.8 will be updated to be consistent with the solicitation attachments.

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
33	Section L	L-22	Section L-22, "52.252-1 Solicitation Provisions Incorporated by Reference", cautions offerors that provisions listed in Section L may include requirements for the offeror to submit data / information with its offer (i.e., L-5 52.215-22, L-9 52.237-10, L-12 252.225-7003, L-13 252.234.7001, L-14 252.234-7003, etc...).	The information required by the Section L Provisions (including those incorporated by reference) shall be submitted with the Proposal Terms and Conditions Volume (Volume 4). Section L will be updated to clarify.
			In which volume(s) should the information required by these provisions be included?	
34	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
35	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
36	Section B & Section H	H.1.3.7	Section H.1.3.7 describes the process for exercising the Test Support Option CLINs and states, "Test Support lot options will be exercised in yearly increments following the six month period of performance in the base contract."  Section B identifies base award Test Support CLINs (non-CFAT) as having a period of performance (PoP) of 1098 days. The statement in Section H.1.3.7 conflicts with the PoP in Section B.  Please reconcile the inconsistency between Section H.1.3.7 and Section B Test Support CLIN PoPs.	Section H is incorrect and H.1.3.7 will be updated to remove reference to six month period of performance in the base contract.
37	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
38	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release

## UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
39	Section I		<p>Section I contains multiple clauses that are only prescribed when Cost Accounting Standard (CAS) applies (52.230-2, 52.230-6, 252.242-7005).</p> <p>Please clarify the applicability for including these clauses given the exemption at 48 CFR 9903.201-1(b)(15) for contracts awarded on the basis of adequate price competition without submission of cost or pricing data.</p>	<p>Clauses 52.230-2, 52.230-6, and 252.242-7005 are included in the solicitation based on the prescriptions at FAR 30.201-4(a), 30.201-4(d)(1), and DFARS 242-7001, respectively.</p> <p>The exemption at 48 CFR 9903.201-1(b)(15) exempts "...firm-fixed-price contracts or subcontracts awarded on the basis of adequate price competition without submission of cost or pricing data." The solicitation includes cost-type CLINs which are not exempt from Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) based on the exemptions at 48 CFR 9903-201-1, CAS Applicability.</p> <p>Clause 52.216-1 states "The Government contemplates award of a Firm Fixed Price (FFP) and Cost Plus Fixed Fee contract resulting from this solicitation. A FFP with Economic Price Adjustment will be utilized for vehicles ordered in option periods six, seven, and eight..."</p>
40	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
41	Section L	N/A	<p>Is it the Governments intent to utilize support contractors for evaluation of proposal data? If so, when will the said contractors contact information be made available to establish Non-disclosure agreements with the Offerors?</p>	<p>Government support contractors (GSCs) will not be used for the evaluation of proposal data.</p> <p>GSCs may serve as system administrators to operate and maintain Government computer systems as detailed in Section L.</p> <p>Section L will be updated in an RFP amendment with the following GSC contact information:</p> <p>CAELUM RESEARCH CORPORATION 30 West Gude Drive, Suite 200 Rockville, Maryland 20850 U.S.A.</p> <p>Phone: (301) 424-8208 ext 306</p> <p>Section L will be updated to require the execution of a Non-disclosure agreement and each offeror shall submit copies of the agreement with their proposal.</p>

UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
42	Solicitation M.4.2.2.1, M.4.2.2.2	Attachment 0070	Attachment 70 contains a built in calculation allocating cost between the Army and Marine Corp budgets. The allocation seems to be out of balance i.e. the limit for the Marine Corps, when reached, leaves a substantial amount of the Army's budget un-allocated, resulting in un-reasonable and un-realistic cost limits. Was this the Government's intention?	<p>It is possible that the affordably limit for one service or appropriation could be reached while another service or appropriation has additional unallocated funds.</p> <p>In accordance with Section M.3.2, "Affordability will be calculated by Attachment 0070 based on (1) the Governments Available Funding (M.3.2.1) and (2) the Average Unit Manufacturing Cost (AUMC) (M.3.2.2)." Section M.3.2.1 provides additional information on the Governments Available Funding and states, "Proposed prices which result in exceeding any of the Then-Year Dollars (TY\$) affordability limits identified in Attachment 0070 (Contract Cost/Price Worksheet) will be considered unaffordable and ineligible for award."</p> <p>Section L.4.2.1 instructs offerors to enter all proposed prices in Attachment 0070 "in accordance with the instructions in the attachment." The worksheet titled "Instructions" found within Attachment 0070 identifies how offeror's proposed prices are allocated between appropriations and states that:                      "Each worksheet will automatically separate proposed prices by Base Award and each option period, military appropriation, and military service. The distribution of proposed values between military services will occur in one of three ways:                      1) Via a Government defined pro rata that will determine the percentage of the proposed value to be attributed to Army and USMC CLINs, no matter what the total proposed value is.                      2) Via a Government defined pro rata that will determine the percentage of the proposed value to be attributed to Army and USMC CLINs up until a Government defined proposed value, after which point all of the remaining proposed costs will be attributed to only one of the military services.                      3) The entirety of the proposed value will be attributed to one of the military services as identified in the worksheet."</p> <p>The worksheet entitled "Affordability Review" found within Attachment 0070 provides instructions which state:                      "This worksheet compares the Offeror's Proposed Contract Costs/Prices for the Base</p>

UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
				<p>Award and each option period with the USG's appropriation and funding period Affordability limits. There are affordability limits for each combination of appropriation type and funding period.... Affordability is determined at the appropriation level for base award and each option period. An Offeror's proposal is considered affordable if the following conditions are met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Offeror's Proposed Contract Cost/Price does not exceed any appropriation affordability limit.</li> <li>2) Offeror's Proposed Contract Cost/Price does not exceed any base award or option period affordability limit.</li> <li>3) Offeror's proposal does not exceed the total of base award and all option period affordability limits. Excess funding in one period or appropriation may not be utilized to correct a period or appropriation that is deficient." <p>The Government's intention is to award a contract in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section M of the solicitation which, amongst the other requirements set forth in Section M, meet the affordability limits and conditions set forth in Attachment 0070.</p> </li></ol>
43	Solicitation L.4.4.6	L.4.4.6	What measures has TACOM taken to ensure that the vendor with whom TACOM recently directed offerors to enter into a non-disclosure agreement for the purpose of facilitating that vendor's IT support of the proposal evaluation process will properly safeguard the offeror's information?"	The IT support contractors have individual NDAs on file with the Government and are required to have company to company NDAs between the IT support contractor and each offeror (reference Section L.4.4.6.).

UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
44	Section C	Attachment 0070, Attachment 0060	Attachment 0060 maps several Section C statements to CLIN 0019 and XX01-16, xx19 in the SOW to CLIN Crosswalk (for example, SOW C.2.1.6.1.3 and C.2.1.4.9 Government System Integration Labs (GSILs) & CSIL/GSIL GFE Evaluation, Production Quality Sow Statements). In Attachment 0070, the tab for Test Hardware, the only available cells to submit pricing is cells specifically allocated to test parts by appropriation and subclin. Does the Government plan to supply cells within Attachment 0070 for these mapped sow statements against CLIN 0019 to an appropriation and/or subclin by each period?	Attachment 0060 maps both SOW C.2.1.6.1.3 and C.2.1.4.9 to the test hardware CLIN code (i.e. "xx19") using a check box during Option Period 1. Attachment 0070 further defines that GSILs are mapped to sub-CLIN "1119AA". No, the government does not plan to supply additional cells within Attachment 0070 to map the cited SOW paragraphs to a sub-CLIN other than 1119AA.  In accordance with the "Symbols Used in the 'SOW to CLIN Crosswalk'" found on the "CLIN Structure" worksheet in Attachment 0060, "A checkmark symbol indicates that the SOW paragraph must be performed by the contractor if an associated CLIN has been awarded or exercised during the base award or option period." In the specific SOW paragraphs cited, the contractor must perform any and all SOW associated with the referenced test hardware in the event the government exercises the option to procure the referenced test hardware. For the specific SOW paragraphs cited, the Offeror shall include any and all costs required to perform the SOWs against sub-CLIN 1119AA in Attachment 0070.  Additional information regarding SOW C.2.1.6.1.3 was provided by Question & Answer #26; offerors should review Question & Answer #26 and all other solicitation Questions & Answers.
45	M.3	Attachment 0070	The Government clearly incentivized the Offerors to maximize capability within the AUMC Limit and to provide necessary support and services to the program deliverables and requirements as identified within the RFP. Based upon the scope of work and deliverables required, it appears the USMC budget allocation does not provide adequate resources to the stated objectives and increases risk to the overall performance of the program while reducing capability and leaving significant unallocated funds within the Army Procurement Budgets for each option year. Is this not contrary to TACOM's stated goals of maximizing capability?	The Government's intention is to select for award the proposal which represents the best value to the Government in accordance with M.1.1. This includes all criteria set forth in Section M of the solicitation, which contains affordability requirements within M.3.2 and states, "Affordability will be calculated by Attachment 0070 based on (1) the Governments Available Funding (M.3.2.1) [AND] (2) the Average Unit Manufacturing Cost (AUMC) (M.3.2.2)."
46	Section L.2.6	L.2.6	L.2.6 states "... Hand-carried proposals must be delivered to the Detroit Arsenal (DTA) Mail Handling Facility (Building 255) between the hours of 8:00AM and 1:00PM local Warren, MI time..."  May offerors Hand-Carry proposals directly to Bldg 231, Mail Stop 416 indicated in L.2.5?	If offerors intend on hand-carried delivery of the proposal for the JLTV LRIP and FRP solicitation to the mailstop prior to 4:00PM local Warren, MI time as indicated in Section L.2.5 of the subject solicitation, please call 586.282.2365 upon arrival to the U.S. Army Detroit Arsenal. A representative will meet you in the main hall of Building 231 to escort you to the mail stop.
47	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release	Not Approved for Public Release
48				
49				

UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers

**NOTE: Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.**

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				
61				
62				
63				
64				
65				
66				
67				
68				
69				
70				
71				
72				
73				
74				
75				
76				
77				
78				
79				
80				
81				
82				
83				
84				
85				
86				

UNCLASSIFIED

## JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers

**NOTE:** Questions and Answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" below contain CLASSIFIED, Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), or For Official Use Only (FOUO) information and are therefore not publically released. Requests for questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" shall be submitted using the procedures outlines on the JLTV ProcNet Site (<https://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltvfrp/jltvfrp.htm>) for obtaining documents which are other than Distribution A. Questions and answers identified as "Not Approved for Public Release" will be submitted to the three current JLTV Engineering Manufacturing and Development contractors via the ARMDEC SAFE Website.

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
87				
88				
89				
90				
91				
92				
93				
94				
95				
96				
97				
98				
99				
100				

**Distribution Statement A** - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited.