

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
1	Attachment PP Past Performance Questionnaire	Part II (top of page 3)	Can the sentence, "Question ten is rated by calendar year" be ignored as the related question from the Draft RFP is not included in the Final RFP, and there are now only 5 questions in total?	Yes it can be ignored. A revised Past Performance Questionnaire will be posted to remove the sentence, but either attachment submitted will be accepted.
2	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	L.3, last sentence	Does section L.3 preclude a company from being awarded a Prime Contract if one of their key suppliers is a sister organization to another Prime competing for one of the three awards, or vice versa?	Section L.3 states: "It is the Government's intent to award up to three (3) contracts to three separate Offeror(s) competing independently that do not share a common parent, do not have a parent/subsidiary relationship with the other awardee(s), and are not affiliates (as defined in FAR 19.101) of the other awardee(s)". This applies to prime offeror(s). If the key supplier is a subcontractor to a potential prime, this does not preclude the key supplier from proposing as a potential prime offeror based on this paragraph.
3	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	N/A	Final RFP does not contain an Operational Mode Summary (OMS). Is the use of the Operational Mode Summary contained in Draft Annex H, Version 2.7, released on April 11, 2011 an acceptable guideline for determining the amount of time the vehicle is in maneuver, idle, silent watch or dormant time during a typical 250-mile mission, including engine on/off hours?	No, do not use the Draft Annex H, Version 2.7, released on April 11, 2011 as an acceptable guideline for determining the amount of time the vehicle is in maneuver, idle, silent watch or dormant time during a typical 250-mile mission, including engine on/off hours. The current version of the OMS/MP is intended to be released to the website as information only.
4	Section C, Statement of Work (SOW)	Section C.19.2	SOW states contractor shall provide FACARs per CDRL A087 in response to all Critical and Major TIRs. Is the intent for the contractor to provide a FACAR for only Critical and Major TIRs classified as Operational/Hardware Mission Failures (OMF/HMFs) and not Essential Function Failures (EFFs) and Non-Essential Function Failures (NEFFs)?	Refer to Section C.19.2 and C.19.3. Contractor must provide response to all critical and major TIRs. Contractor shall provide responses to Minor and informational TIRs upon Government request.
5	Section C, Statement of Work (SOW)	Section C.9.3.1	SOW states contractor shall develop and deliver a reliability growth plan describing how to achieve the JLTV reliability requirements in the JLTV PD. Is it the USG's intent that the reliability growth plan and tracking requirements are only applicable to the MMBHMF requirement and not the MMBEFF requirement?	Yes
6	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	L.9.6	Do you require written consent from just the major subcontractors (as defined in L.6.1 & L.7.5.2, as subs whose value is \$5M or higher)?	Yes. Refer to L.6.1: "L.6.1 Provide information on recent and relevant contracts for (i) you (as a prime and/or subcontractor) and/or (ii) your proposed major subcontractor(s) that exceeds \$5 Million.". This is only in the event an offeror chooses to include a proposed major subcontractor as defined in this sentence. In the event a potential offeror chooses to submit subcontractor for past performance, subcontractors below this threshold should not be used.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
7	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	Sections H and I	Is it acceptable to substitute DFARS 252.219.7004 (Small Business and Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting Plan (Test Program) and remove references to FAR 52.219-9 Alt II – Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Apr 2008) Alternate II (Oct 2001) FAR 52.219-16 – Liquidated Damages – Subcontracting Plan (Jan 1999) and DFAR 252.219-7003 – Small Business Subcontracting Plan (DOD Contracts) (Apr 2007).	NDAA FY2012 extended the Comprehensive Subcontracting Plan Test Program until December 31, 2014. Any potential offeror in the competitive range enrolled in this program will have a tailored model contract to remove clauses (and references) to 52.219-9(Alt II), 52.219-16 and 252.219-7003. This would include substantial revision to Section H.7(b). Section H.7(a) would be modified to reference 252.219-7004 instead of 52.219-9(Alt II).
8	Attachment GG - Data Sheets	Mobility Data Sheet; bullet points 3-5	<p>Comment: We perceive that portions of the RFP contain wording and requirements relevant only to companies who participated in the TD phase of JLTV. This may put non-TD-phase companies at a competitive disadvantage in providing results for required data. For example, a requirement exists in the Mobility Data Sheet to provide results of fuel efficiency miles per gallon (MPG) representative of ATC courses: Munson-Standard, Churchville-B and Harford Loop. We have already requested a test contract at ATC to complete these courses.</p> <p>Question: If ATC is unable to schedule testing for non-TD-phase companies on these courses in sufficient time prior to the Proposal due date, will the Government grant an extension for Proposal submission until 14 days after fuel efficiency testing is complete? This would allow adequate time to assess the results and include the data required for Attachment GG, mobility data sheet, for non-TD-phase companies.</p>	<p>For the Performance Subfactor data submission (to include the data in Attachment GG), M&amp;S data or test data is equally acceptable. Therefore the Government does not intend to extend proposal due date based on this.</p> <p><b>REVISED Answer:</b>  <b>For the Performance Subfactor data submission (to include the data in Attachment GG), M&amp;S data or test data is equally acceptable. The fuel efficiency data has since been removed from Attachment GG. Please refer to Amendment 0006.</b></p>
9	Attachments 0006, KK, and 0051	<p>Attachment 0006: all JLTV Estimate Summary tabs (rows 11, 12, 14, 15)</p> <p>Attachment KK: Tab JLTV-GP UMC Estimate Summary (rows 12, 13, 15, and 16)</p> <p>Attachment 0051: Tab 1 JLTV FOV (rows 10, 11, 13, and 14)</p>	<p>Comment: All of these attachments include WBS elements "Automatic/Remote Piloting", "Automatic Loading", "Fire Control" and "Armament". Attachment 0051, example tab 1 "JLTV FOV", lists these four WBS elements as NA. We were unable to find a description for these WBS elements anywhere else in the RFP documents.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please confirm whether these four items are not applicable, and therefore should not require a response from Offerors?</p>	Data for items marked N/A in Attachment 51 is not expected as part of the proposal submission. Reference MIL-HDBK-881 for definition(s).
10	Attachment KK and Attachment 0001	Attachment KK, items 1.1.13 and 1.1.18 and Attachment 0001, PD for JLTV v. 3.0	<p>Comment. In order to fill out the WBS estimate in Attachment KK, we need definitions for 1.1.13 Navigation and Remote Piloting and 1.1.18 GP Base Vehicle Platform Integration, Assembly, Test and Checkout. These elements do not have a description in Attachment 0001, PD for JLTV v. 3.0.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please provide definitions for 1.1.13 Navigation and Remote Piloting and 1.1.18 GP Base Vehicle Platform Integration, Assembly, Test and Checkout?</p>	Reference MIL-HDBK-881

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
11	Section A Supplemental Information and Section M Evaluation Factors for Award	Section A page 3 and Section M page 127	The page 3 paragraph titled "EMD Affordability" states: "Any proposal received in response to this RFP that proposes a total price in excess of \$65M for the basecontract (CLINS 0001 through 0014) will be considered unaffordable." This statement appears to be in conflict (with respect to CLIN Reference) with Section M.4.4.1 which states: "The assessment of total evaluated prices will include consideration of the reasonableness of the proposed firm fixed price of all priced CLINS", and Section M.4.4.1.3 which states: "The government will evaluate offerors for award by adding the total proposed prices for all CLINS." The apparent conflict arises because there is a Priced CLIN 0015 for Option - Additional Level of Effort that is not addressed in the Section A EMD affordability statement (evaluation will be based on CLIN 0001-0014). Request clarification on whether the Option CLIN A0015 will be evaluated as part of the \$65M Base contract price, or because CLIN 0015 is an option, it is not evaluated as part of the "base" contract which would then require a change to sections M.4.4.1 and M.4.4.1.3 noting that all priced CLINS are not evaluated.	This is not a conflict. Section M.4.4.1 and M.4.4.1.3 states that we are evaluating all CLINS under the Price factor, which includes CLIN 0015 (Option). The total evaluated Price may exceed \$65M when inclusive of CLIN 0015. Section M.2(f) and Section A (Pg 3 under EMD Affordability) is an affordability cap by which a proposal can be rejected if the base contract CLINS (CLINS 0001-0014) exceed \$65M.
12	Attachment KK - Unit Manufacturing Cost Estimate Template	JLTV-GP UMC Estimate Summary Tab	Formula to calculate Unit Total Price (Cell J71) is doubling the unit price. The formula picks up the subtotal cost (cell J62) and then the total cost as well (cell J68) whose sum includes the subtotal - effectively doubling the price	Attachment KK will be updated and posted through an RFP amendment.
13	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	L.9.7	In accordance with L.9.7, DFARS 252.234-7003 (b)(1) asserts that Contractor must provide their process for complying with Cost and Software Data Report (CSDR) manual which consists of 2 components; Software Resource Data Report (SRDR) and Contractor Cost Data Reporting (CCDR). Is a SRDR process still required in Terms & Conditions Volume when SRDR Contractor Data Requirements List (CDRL) A008 and A009 have been removed from EMD deliveries?	No. Section L.9.7 will be updated and posted through an amendment to read: " Submit the information required in DFARS 252.234-7003(b) (excluding SRDR requirements), including a completed Attachment 5 of Solicitation."
14	Attachment QQ "LOE Option Pricing Sheet	NA	Should the Labor Categories indicated for years FY 2014 and FY 2015 on Attachment QQ "LOE Option Pricing Sheet" be the same as the Labor Categories indicated for year FY 2013?, i.e., Heavy Mechanic, Associate Mechanic, Welder, and Engineering vs. Heavy Mechanic	Section M.4.4.1.1 is the appropriate categories and hours. An amendment will be issued to update this attachment to match M.4.4.1.1.
15	Purchase Description V3.0	Page 138	PDFOV-9208 requires Baseline Integration Kits (Annex K, Table 2) be installed in less than 7 man-hours and PDFOV-9211 requires Expansion Kits (Annex K, Table 3) be installed in less than 1 man hour. Have the installation times in these two requirements been inadvertently reversed?	No.
16	Section C, Statement of Work (SOW)	Section C.14.2	When will the JACK models be made available? In what version of JACK will the models be provided?	Attachment 36 will be updated to include JACK models and posted through an RFP amendment.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
17	C.5.6.1 CDRL A019 "Corrosion Prevention and Control Plan (CPCP) and Finish Specification Report"	Block 16	What is the correct section reference in "Corrosion Prevention and Control Planning Guidebook-Spiral 3" to replace paragraph 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 of MIL-STD-1568 in CDRL A019?  Section 2.2.3.2 is for defining the Corrosion Control Team, whereas Paragraph 5.1.1 defines the Corrosion Control Plan and Paragraph 5.1.2 defines the Finish Control Specification.	Section 2.2.3.2 is the correct section in "Corrosion Prevention and Control Planning Guidebook-Spiral 3". Any other needed portions that would have been found in MIL-STD-1586 are covered by CDRL A019 in other ways.
18	Section L	L.1.3 CD-ROMs/DVDs	Due to the size of the CAD Model files, can we send them on a hard drive?	Yes, external hard drives are acceptable for CAD Model files provided they have a Firewire or eSATA interface.  The RFP will be amended (Section L.1.1(a)) to say: "(a) Volume 1: Technical Volume. Submit three identical sets of CD-ROMs or DVDs. CAD models may be submitted on two identical hard drives with a Firewire or eSATA interface, instead of on CDs or DVDs."
19	Section L, Attachment FP3 (FOUO)	Section L.4, L.4.2	Comment: Attachment FP3 requires blast data relative to the 2-Door Utility. It appears there is a disconnect between Section L which specifically talks to the General Purpose variant and the FOUO document. Does the government require the 2-Door Utility variant data to be populated in test data sheet FP3?	Attachment FP3 will be amended to remove the columns that require data on the 2-door Utility. This will be reflected in an amendment to the RFP.
20	Section L	pg. 113 - L.4.2	Instructions direct the Offeror to "provide the data specified in Attachment GG". Attachment GG refers to Attachments FP1, FP2, and FP3 under the Force Protection Data Sheet section. The first page of FP1, FP2 and FP3 indicate that they are "PREDECISIONAL - DRAFT RELEASE ONLY" Are these to be considered as the final documents or will the Government release a final version? If a final version is to be released, when will the final version be released?	Offerors should ignore the "PREDECISIONAL - DRAFT RELEASE ONLY" markings. FP1, FP2, and FP3 will be updated on the Federal Business Opportunities website to have the markings removed.
21	Section J	pg. 102	Section J lists Attachment 6 - Manufacturing Cost Estimate Template & Attachment KK Unit Manufacturing Cost Estimate. They appear to be duplicates and Section L only references Attachment KK. Does Offeror need to populate Attachment 6?	Attachment KK will be used for the purposes of evaluation, as described in Section L. This shall be populated by prospective offeror(s). Attachment 6 will be populated during the performance of the contract, in accordance with Section C with winning contractor(s).
22	Section L	pg. 111 - L.1.3	Section L.1.3 states "All MS files shall be 2003/2007 compatible unless otherwise indicated." Can Offerors assume that MS Office 2007 (e.g., .docx, .xlsx or .pptx) files are acceptable?	Yes. It is stated that 2003/2007 is acceptable, so MS Office 2007 is acceptable.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
23	1. Attachment 0008 - IMS Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) 2. Attachment 0051 – CCDR Instructions	1. Entire Document 2. Tabs labeled "CCDR Reporting Instructions" & "Example Tab 3 HGC Vehicle"	Attachment 0051 - CCDR Instructions indicates that the contractor is to report costs specific to the HGC variant on Tab 3 of the CCDR, but there is no corresponding structure set up in Attachment 0008 - IMS Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) to collect those costs.  Should we remove Tab 3 from the CCDR or add an HGC Variant section to the WBS to make the 2 documents align & map appropriately?	No. Attachment 51 (CSDR Instructions) summarizes to individual JLTV vehicle configuration. Attachment 8 (SE/IMS WBS) summarizes to the three (3) unique JLTV base vehicle platforms (JLTV GP, UTL, CCWC).
24	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	Sections L.5.2.1, M.4.2.2 and F.2.1	Section L.5.2.1 states, "The schedule shall also include a thorough Resource Loading, by month, of the direct costs (labor, material, subcontracts - with material and labor within the subcontracts identified, and Other Direct Costs) proposed to be incurred during the performance of tasks within that month. The Resource Loading shall track directly with the Price volume and, for labor, shall include the labor categories and hours for all direct cost labor activity (prime and team members/subcontractors)."  M.4.2.2 Integrated Master Schedule sub-factor states " The Government will assess the proposal risk probability that based on the proposed JLTV Schedule and resource loading; the Offeror will deliver test assets in accordance with the Governments scheduled provided in Section F.2.1.  Based on M.4.2.2, we intend to load the hours required to perform the scope of work into the IMS. There will be a direct correlation between the resource loading in the IMS and the price volume, which will align by WBS. Proprietary cost data, including subcontract materials and other direct costs, will be provided in the Price Volume, not the IMS. Is this the Government's intent?	Section L.5.2.1 states, "The schedule shall also include a thorough Resource Loading, by month, of the direct costs (labor, material, subcontracts - with material and labor within the subcontracts identified, and Other Direct Costs) proposed to be incurred during the performance of tasks within that month."  Section L.5.2.1 also states that "Subcontractor schedules shall be provided for all suppliers whose subcontracts exceed \$5 Million."  Therefore, the Government intends that a thorough resource loading to include material and labor of the subcontracts (if the subcontract exceed \$5 Million) is included in the IMS.
25	Annex E	N/A	Regarding Annex E to the JLTV PD V3.0 (Classified Annex). Items PDE-9,62,112 and 113 all call out the identical verification test. The test is not consistent with the threat of the PD items. Please clarify the threat verification for these PDE's.	The verification test called out in these sections is the method that will be used to verify compliance with these requirements.
26	Attachment KK	Tabs: JLTV-GP UMC Estimate Summary, Documentation Summary	Attachment KK contains two tabs called "JLTV-GP UMC Estimate Summary" and "Documentation Summary." This Attachment KK WBS Element Code does not match the Attachment 8 IMS WBS Element Code. Specifically, WBS Elements 1.3 - 1.11 are not consistent between the two attachments. Section L.5.1.c precludes the offeror from modifying the WBS in any way except to add additional levels under the existing provided levels at the offeror's discretion. When will the government be providing an updated Attachment KK with a corrected WBS Element Code such that it matches the WBS in other areas of the RFP?	We do not intend to change Attachment KK, it corresponds with the WBS elements for the JLTV GP configuration as provided at Attachment 51. Attachment 51 and Attachment 8 are not supposed to match, they have different purposes.  UMC estimate Attachment KK utilizes the WBS defined by Attachment 5 (CSDR Plan) and Attachment 51 (CCDR Instructions). Other areas of the RFP request information in accordance with Attachment 8 (SE/IMS WBS).

<b>JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers</b>				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
27	PD for JLTV ver 3.0, and JLTV EMD RFP Solicitation # W56HZV-11-R-0329	3.3.5.1 GFE Integration; C.16.2 GFE/GFI Delivery	<p>Comment: In order to provide a meaningful WBS estimate in the Proposal for the work to integrate all GFE, companies without TD phase access to GFE/GFI need immediate access to the GFE equipment's interface control documents (ICD).</p> <p>Question 1: When will the Government provide the items above and what must companies do to facilitate this process?</p> <p>Question 2: Will the Government grant an extension for Proposal submission until 21 days after receipt of ICDs? This will ensure time for design and integration requirements specified in the RFP.</p>	<p>1. Refer to Attachment 36 and Section C.16.</p> <p>2. No.</p>
28	Attachment CC	Lower left quadrant	<p>The Proposed Spec Sheet requires data on "commonality", while providing very little space on the form, or a statement indicating "commonality with respect to ..."</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please specify the type of information required?</p>	Attachment CC will be updated with the "Commonality" section removed in a forthcoming RFP amendment.
29	Attachment MM3 NRMM Wheeled Vehicle Data Sheets	Regarding multiple worksheets	<p>Comment: This worksheet contains no instructions or "narrative" prior to tab BWTIR, and a variety of vehicle diagrams that do not apply to a JLTV-GP (for example: tracked vehicles (Dfige), tractors (Hfig1e), trailers (Hfig2a through Hfig2d), track shoes (16) and many others.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please provide clear instructions on what we must provide to comply with the requirements in Attachment GG to complete Attachment MM3, and clarify those tabs or data that do not apply?</p>	The vehicle diagrams that apply are design dependent. The offeror should provide all data in MM3 that applies to their proposed JLTV-GP design.
30	Attachment 0030	Contents Requirement Tab, Row 30	In Attachment 0030 "Hazard Tracking Log", Row 30 in the Content Requirements Tab states, "Is there an associated FEMA?" Did the Government mean, "Is there an associated FMEA (Failure Modes and Effects Analysis)?"	Yes, it should be FMEA (Failure Modes and Effects Analysis). Attachment 30 will be updated in a forthcoming RFP amendment.
31	JLTV EMD ProcNet	Test course conditions data is available to the public upon request.	Has this data changed with the release of the Final RFP?	No. All interested parties in the JLTV program are reminded to read the disclaimer on our website concerning Test Course Conditions Data, and to continue to monitor the website for any new information.
32	W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section B, Page 21	Delivery recognition of EMD Services by the Government: How will delivery recognition of the services provided under CLIN0013 be recognized? Will these be pro-rated with DD-250 CLIN deliveries (CLINs0001-12) or contractors to suggest methods in their proposals?	CLIN 0013 will be delivered and accepted (DD 250) in accordance with Section E.1.3. This is distinct and separate than the test asset deliverables (CLINs 0001-0012) which shall be delivered and accepted in accordance with their own criteria stated in Section E. All priced CLINs are subject to standard progress payments. Please also refer to special provision H.11 - Alternative Financing Arrangements.
33	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8595	It is required that the CSDU hard drive be compatible with Greystone DF-5 disk duplicator cloning hardware. Will Greystone DF-5 GFE and GFI be provided?	Yes for compatibility with Greystone DF-5. No Greystone GFE or GFI as it is a commercial product.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
34	Attachment 36	N/A	Will EPLRS URO Software be provided as part of the EPLRS GFE kit?	Yes.
35	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	L-7 52.222-46 Evaluation of Compensation for Professional Employees	Our understanding of this clause is that it only applies to Services-Type contracts, and as such we believe this clause does not apply to the JLTV EMD Program. Please advise if you want EMD bidders to adhere to the requirements imposed in this clause.	The RFP will be amended to remove clause 52.222-46.
36	Purchase Description V3.0	Page 95 (PDFOV-3953)	Requirement for MaxTTR is "that time within which a specified percentage of all corrective maintenance tasks must be completed". Since no specified percentage was given, is it the USG's intent that compliance to this requirement is against a standard default MaxTTR percentage of 90%?	No. The PD will be updated to clarify and posted through an RFP amendment.  PDFOV-3953 new Section 3: The revised wording of PDFOV-3953: Maximum Time to Repair (MaxTTR) is that time within which each corrective maintenance task must be completed. MaxTTR is used as an "on-system" maintainability parameter; it is not used for the off-system repair of replaced components. MaxTTR is measured as "hood up to hood down" repair time and includes isolation of failure and repair, remove and replace, and verification of success or failure of the repair.
37	Section C, Statement of Work (SOW)	Section C.15.7 Markings	Please provide instructions for requesting the IUID plan or make it available on the JLTV website so the information is available for scope evaluations.	All references to the JLTV IUID Plan will be removed in a forthcoming Amendment.
38	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8506	Can a list be provided to describe typical "combat gear"?	A list is not required, the dimensions provided in Annex N for the Small Female and Large Male include the "Land Warrior Equipment" necessary for this requirement . PDFOV-8506 has been revised to remove the text "wearing combat gear and MOPP IV protection".  New Section 3: The blast restraint shall adjust to fit Small Female to Large Male.
39	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8509	Can a NSN be provided for "cold weather gloves"?	Yes, use the gloves called out in TB MED 508 Section 3-2 I. PDFOV-8509 has been revised to include the text "(as defined in TB MED 508 Section 3-2 I)" which provides NSN for cold weather gloves. TB MED 508 has been added to Section 2.  PDFOV-8509 New Section 3: The blast restraint quick release mechanism shall be sized such that it can be operated while wearing cold weather (as defined in TB MED 508 Section 3-2 I) or MOPP IV gloves.

**JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers**

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
40	Section L	Section L.9.7	<p>Per Section L.9.7 Submit the information required in DFARS 252.234-7003 (b) ...</p> <p>DFARS 252.234-7003 (b) states the government will provide the approved CSDR Plan (DD Form 2794 – Attachment 0005) AND the Resource Distribution Table (?).</p> <p>Could you please advise if it is the Government’s intent to provide the RDT as stated within DFARS 252.234-7003 (b) or is the requirement acceptable in contractor format?</p>	<p>No. Section L.9.7 will be updated and posted through an amendment to exclude resource distribution table requirements.</p>
41	Section L	pg. 118 - L.9.7	<p>L.9.7 Requires the offeror respond per 252.234.7003(b). 252.234.7003(b)-5 References a 'resource table' provided with the CSDR Plan. No resource plan was provided by the government in or attached ot attachment 5. Will the government be supplying this resource plan?</p>	<p>No. Section L.9.7 will be updated and posted through an amendment to exclude resource distribution table requirements.</p>
42	Annex K and Attachment 0037		<p>Comment: Annex K tables can be interpreted to potentially double-count kits required in each configuration, as shown in Attachment 0037 .</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please clarify why the same kits appear simultaneously as Standard Equipment AND Mission Package Baseline (example: intercom system kit), or simultaneously as Mission Package - Baseline AND Mission Package - Expansion (example: LRAS 3), or simultaneously as Standard Equipment AND Mission Package - Baseline AND Mission Package - Expansion (example: SINCGARS - ANVRC-90/91/92 Radio Kit)</p>	<p>Annex K to the Purchase Description defines the JLTV vehicles. These are the vehicle design requirements. Attachment 37 to the Statement of Work defines the EMD "as delivered" configurations, based on available assets and test needs. These are very different purposes.</p> <p>Annex K Table 1 lists the Standard Equipment for which infrastructure needs to be included at curb weight (as stated in PDFOV-8788 and PDFOV-878). These are for the Base Vehicle Platforms (and Trailer), and the equipment WILL overlap with both Table 2 and Table 3.</p> <p>Annex K Tables 2 and 3 have a different purpose: describing the Mission Package Configurations (ref. PDFOV-9183), as seen in Annex K para 3.2: "Each Mission Package Configuration is fully defined by both the INTEGRATION OF the Baseline equipment specified in Table 2 and the ABILITY TO INTEGRATE the Expansion equipment specified in Table 3." These should not overlap on a single Mission Package Configuration (GP, HGC, CCWC, UTL). For example, LRAS3 is part of Baseline Equipment for the HGC but part of Expansion Equipment for the GP.</p>

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
43	JLTV EMD RFP Solicitation # W56HZV-11-R-0329, Attachment 0001	PDFOV-2977; PDFOV-3956; PDFOV- 8136; PDFOV 3953	<p>Comment: The Maximum Time To Repair (MaxTTR) requirements of Purchase Description items PDFOV-2977, PDFOV-3956, and PDFOV-8136 appear to be incomplete, as they only state the MaxTTR values, but not the specified percentage of corrective maintenance tasks which must be completed within the specified time limits, per PDFOV-3953.</p> <p>Question: What is the percentage of tasks which are addressed by the MaxTTR requirements of PDFOV-2977, 3956, and 8136?</p>	<p>The PD will be updated to clarify and posted through an RFP amendment.</p> <p>PDFOV-3953 new Section 3: The revised wording of PDFOV-3953: Maximum Time to Repair (MaxTTR) is that time within which each corrective maintenance task must be completed. MaxTTR is used as an "on-system" maintainability parameter; it is not used for the off-system repair of replaced components. MaxTTR is measured as "hood up to hood down" repair time and includes isolation of failure and repair, remove and replace, and verification of success or failure of the repair.</p>
44	Annex K	Section: 3.2.1, Table 2 Page: 5	<p>Table 2 identifies the Intercom System Kit as part of the baseline equipment for the GP (4 Seat), HGC (4 Seat), CCWC (4 Seat), and UTL (2 Seat). Table 1, Page 3 (also Annex K) requires infrastructure for the "Driver and Commander ONLY". The absence of a note, or a separate 4 Seat Intercom System Kit indicates this is a two seat, Driver and Commander only, kit. This is supported by the absence of an expansion kit in Table 3, Pages 6 – 7.</p> <p>Is it the Government's intent to have intercom accessibility at the Driver and Commander positions only for all Attachment 37 Intercom Kits, or is it the Government's intent to have hardware delivered for intercom access at each crew station for all vehicles?</p>	<p>Annex K Table 1 defines that the Infrastructure is required at the Driver and Commander ONLY, which will be part of curb weight per PDFOV-878.</p> <p>It is the Government's intent by Annex K Table 2 for the design to provide intercom accessibility at each crew station. This is also derived from PDFOV-8753 and PDFOV-7839. Based on these requirements, Attachment 37 requires intercom hardware to be delivered for each crew station, for all vehicles.</p>
45	Attachment 0015 & Attachment MM3	BW2a, BW2b, BW2c, BW2d and BW2f	Attachment 15 (and Attachment MM3) asks for trailer information in sheets BW2a, BW2b, BW2c, BW2d and BW2f yet the first input box on each of these sheets is pre-populated with N/A. Are these sheets to be completed in its entirety, only the open fields or not at all?	Attachment 15 and MM3 will be updated with all N/A's removed in a forthcoming RFP amendment. The vehicle diagrams that apply are design dependent. The offeror should provide all data in MM3 that applies to their proposed JLTV-GP design. Offerors will be instructed not to submit any trailer information in MM3 with their proposal.
46	Attachment 0015 & Attachment MM3	n/a	Attachment 15 (and Attachment MM3) sheet HWVa has N/A pre-populated for 38 of the 51 data fields. Is this sheet to be completed in its entirety, only the open fields or not at all?	Attachment 15 and MM3 will be updated with all N/A's removed in a forthcoming RFP amendment. The vehicle diagrams that apply are design dependent. The offeror should provide all data in MM3 that applies to their proposed JLTV-GP design.

<b>JLTV RFP - Questions &amp; Answers</b>				
<b>#</b>	<b>RFP Document Title</b>	<b>Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>
47	JLTV RFP, ATTACHMENT 005 & ATTACHMENT 008	JLTV RFP, ATTACHMENT 005 & ATTACHMENT 008	<p>Statement: The WBS provided in Att 05 and Att 08 are not identical.</p> <p>Characteristics of Att 05:            (1) Shows only the MIL-HDBK-881A subsystems under the FoV. In order to achieve the resolution of actual costs required per CCDR Instructions (Att 0051), it is presumed that the variant-specific hull/frames and the common hull/frames would be needed as children under 1.1.1 Hull/Frame.            (2) Has no element for Tertiary Vehicles.</p> <p>Characteristics of Att 0008:            (1) Lists all the variant and then the 881A subsystems under each of them.            (2) Has an element for Tertiary Vehicles.</p> <p>Question:            Is the intent that one WBS is used for cost reporting and a second WBS for schedule management? If not, is it allowable that the offerer use one WBS (e.g., the CWBS from the CSDR) for both purposes?</p>	<p>1. Yes. Cost (CCDR) reporting and Engineering/Schedule management use different WBS.            2. Not applicable.</p>
48	JLTV RFP, SOW	SECTION C.17.1.2	<p>C.17.1.2 seems to indicate that testing is to occur at a Government approved-test site due to the limited availability of secondary and cross-country courses suitable per the PD. Typically, contractor personnel are not allowed to operate test vehicles on course at ATC or YPG. Is the intent for the contractor to locate suitable non-Government courses or can the contractor contract ATC and YPG to conduct Shakedown Testing (SDT) with Government drivers prior to start of testing at each?</p>	<p>There is no preference and the Government is not specifying test location for Shakedown Testing, however, shakedown test location and supporting detail must be provided per CDRL A072.</p>
49	JLTV RFP, Annex K - Item Quantities	Section 3.2.2	<p>Is there an expectation that the quantities listed, per Mission Package Configuration, need to be mounted in an A-kit configuration, B-kit configuration, or both?</p>	<p>Both, A-Structure and B-Kit.</p>
50	JLTV RFP, Annex K - Item Quantities	Section 3.2.2	<p>What is the inter-operability expectation of each kit being mounted while any other kit is mounted and present?</p>	<p>Kits must have inter-operability per PDFOV-8164 and Annex K.</p>
51	JLTV Final Solicitation	Section L.1.1	<p>Is it permissible to utilize 8 pt fonts for tables, figures, and graphics?</p>	<p>Section L.1.1 states what the page recommendations are based on, and will remain unchanged.</p>
52	Attachment 0022 "Required Questions for M&S Underbody Protection Analysis Report"	1. General Questions: subpart (d)	<p>Question: What is the criteria threshold for predicting an expected fatality? Does this relate to AIS scores or raw ATD data?</p>	<p>Expected injury levels, which would include fatalities, are to be determined from both collected ATD data and the determined AIS levels. All collected ATD data should be filtered per SAE J211-1, and calculations of ATD injury criteria should be performed per SAE J1727. Refer to PD Annex E for Injury Criteria definitions and PD Annex Q for Injury Scoring Approach.</p>

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
53	JLTV RFP PD 3.0 and Annex K Item Quantities	PDFOV-8485 Annex K Table 4	<p>Statement: The duty cycle peak power for the Power Expansion Kit is defined at 100% in table 4 of Annex K; the Power Expansion kit is defined as 3 channels of 30Amps and 3 channels of 75Amps in PDFOV-8485.</p> <p>Question: Is the Silent Watch requirement for the Power Expansion kit intended to be 315Amps (3x30A + 3x75A) for the threshold of 2 Hours and the objective of 4 hours? If this is the intent, have the impacts on Size, Weight, Power, and Cost for this amount of energy been considered?</p>	<p>The power expansion kit is not a separate load. It is an additional power distribution assembly that can be used where the base vehicle power distribution assembly does not have enough connection points for all equipment. The addition of the power expansion kit in the silent watch load table (Annex K Table 4) is to ensure that any devices listed in that table that are connected to the power expansion kit get accounted for in the silent watch requirement.</p> <p>The governments intention is that when equipment listed in Annex K Table 4 are connected through the power expansion kit, that those items are accounted for in the same way as if they were connected to the base vehicle power distribution. No additional load (other than the equipment listed in Annex K table 4) is required due to the presence of the power expansion kit.</p>
54	W56HZV-11-R-0329	Annex P of Purchase Description & Section L - Attachment GG	<p>Attachment GG, under Mobility Data Sheet says the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Results of fuel efficiency miles per gallon (MPG) at 30 miles per hour (MPH) over the Munson Standard course representing secondary roads.</li> <li>• Results of fuel efficiency miles per gallon (MPG) at 15 miles per hour (MPH) over the Churchville B course representing cross country and trails.</li> <li>• Results of fuel efficiency miles per gallon (MPG) at posted speeds (MPH) over the Harford Loop course representing primary roads.</li> </ul> <p>Annex P states that the On the Move fuel efficiency shall be tested on the following three Aberdeen Test Center Courses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hartford Loop, representing primary roads</li> <li>• Perryman A, representing secondary roads</li> <li>• Churchville B, representing cross country and trails</li> </ul> <p>What is the reason for the difference the fuel efficiency test courses between Attachment GG and Annex P?</p>	<p>Annex P is correct. Attachment GG will be revised to match the courses in Annex P in a forthcoming amendment.</p> <p><b>REVISED Answer:</b> <b>Annex P is correct. The fuel efficiency data has since been removed from Attachment GG. Please refer to Amendment 0006.</b></p>

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
55	1. Attachment 005 -- Approved Contract CSDR Plan A-11-B-C1 2. Attachment 0051 – CCDR Instructions	1. Page 5 of the PDF document, Section labeled "Report by Variant" 2. Tab labeled "CCDR Reporting Instructions"	There is a contradiction within Attachment 0005, 15. Remarks section, Report by Variant discussion and with Attachment 0051 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First sentence mentions four JLTV variants</li> <li>• Last sentence of first large paragraph, requests a 1921 CSDR Excel file with 10 tabs, 6 of which are for each of the "six" variants</li> </ul> Attachment 0051 indicates that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Four tabs are required for each of the four variants: GP Vehicle, HGC Vehicle, CCWC Vehicle, and UTL Vehicle; Total tabs in CCDR 1921 report = 8, instead of 10 as indicated under Attachment 005.</li> </ul> Should we assume four variants, and therefore one tab in the CSDR for each of the four variants?	There are four configurations (reference PDFOV-9183 for proper JLTV terminology).  The CSDR Plan (Attachment 5) will be updated to include four configurations and a total of eight tabs requiring input.
56	Attachment 0037	Matrix Tab	Refurb is listed on a vehicle (UTL3) immediately going to Roof Crush test. Either the Roof Crush test moves to UTL2 and the LUT from UTL2 moves to UTL3 or the Refurb moves to UTL2. Between UTL2 and UTL3, which truck is refurbished and enters LUT and which goes to Roof Crush test?	Attachment 37 will be updated to change UTL 1 to Refurb, UTL 2 to Refurb, and UTL 3 will not be Refurb, and UTL 4 will be Soft Soil.
57	Attachment 0008	n/a	The date for the IMS WBS Structure (Attachment 0008) is unchanged from the Draft RFP. Will there be an update or is this the structure that should be bid against?	Anything released as a DRAFT was pre-decisional. The Final RFP document supercedes any document that was released prior to the release of the Final RFP.
58	Annex K	Table 2	Currently only Annex K mentions the JCIMS (CID Beacon). It is not in Attachment 36, 37 or the PD. Will GFE or GFI be provided to design to this component?	Attachment 36 will be updated to add the JCIMS (CID Beacon) in a forthcoming amendment.
59	1.) Section F-8 2.) Section C, Statement of Work (SOW)	1.) Section F-8 (3)(c)(1)(i) 2.) Section C.15.7, Markings	SOW para C.15.7 states, "For proof of principle, the Contractor shall only apply IUID markings to each vehicle, trailer and the following Subassemblies, if installed..." Is it the USG's intent that IUID markings also be applied to all delivered items for which the Governments unit acquisition cost is \$5,000 or more, IAW Section F-8 (3)(c)(1)(i)?	Section C.15.7 will be clarified for the purposes of this contract, clause 252.211-7003(c)(1)(i) shall only apply to the vehicles and trailers. The subassemblies, if installed, called out in Section C.15.7 shall also apply pursuant to clause 252.211-7003(c)(1)(iii).
60	Section C, Statement of Work (SOW)	Section C.3.5	Final PMR is unique. Asking for all major items to be closed out. Government property to be identified and disposition confirmed. This is something we are still doing on TD. What will be acceptable "disposition," vacating test sites, major reviews closed out?	C.3.5.1 (b) will be updated to clarify in a forthcoming amendment.  Vacating test sites is as stated in C.3.5.1(d), and all Major reviews closed out is in accordance with the IMP.
61	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	Sections C.2.3, I-112 252.227-7020 Rights in Special Works & Section L.9.4 Technical Data and Computer Software Assertion of Restrictions	This clause is not mandatory and conflicts with DFARS 252.227-7013, 252.227-7014 and 252.227-7017 whereby Contractors assert intellectual property rights in accordance with Section L.9.4 solicitation requirements. Request this clause be removed from Sections C.2.3 and I-112.	DFARS clause 252.227-7020 and it's related reference in C.2.3 will be removed from the solicitation in a forthcoming amendment.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
62	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section I-61 52.242-4 Certification of Final Indirect Costs	In review of this clause, we have determined that content and direction of Certification requirement does not apply for this solicitation because there are no actuals on contract that will be approved prior to contract award. We request the removal of this clause.	FAR clause 52.242-4 will be removed from the solicitation in a forthcoming amendment.
63	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	L.5.2.1	Reference: L.5.2.1 Paragraph 3 "The schedule shall also include a thorough Resource Loading, by month, of the direct costs (labor, material, subcontracts with material and labor within the subcontracts identified, and Other Direct Costs) proposed to be incurred during the performance of tasks within that month."  This paragraph includes the term "direct costs" which could be interpreted to require the resource loading of both Prime Direct Labor Hours and Prime Direct Labor Dollars. Industry understands the importance of resource loading the Direct Labor Hours. Please clarify, are we also required to load Prime Direct Labor Dollars into the resource loaded schedule?	No, only the Direct Labor Hours are required to be loaded in the resource loaded schedule.
64	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-7742	With the Cross Domain Access being moved to future growth, only one enclave is supported at this time. PDFOV-7742 indicates the CSDU must support UNCLASSIFIED, SECRET or BOTH SECRET / UNCLASSIFIED security enclaves. Does the requirement to support BOTH enclaves still exist or will this capability be moved to the JLTV Future C4I Systems Growth?	CSDU must support both SECRET and UNCLASSIFIED configurations, but not simultaneously. The capability to support both simultaneously will be moved to the JLTV Future C4I Systems Growth (Attachment 52) through an RFP amendment.  The PD will be updated to clarify and posted through an RFP amendment.  PDFOV-7742 revised Section 3 text: The CSDU common hardware shall be software configurable (re-image) to support either UNCLASSIFIED or SECRET security enclaves depending on mission role.
65	JLTV Purchase Description Main Body	PDFOV-3947, 3.4.5.2.3.1 Maintenance Ratio (MR)	PD FOV-3947 states: "The JLTV shall demonstrate a Maintenance Ratio of 0.005 MMH/OH for the Operational Terrain as detailed in Annex H". Does this MR requirement apply to Field Maintenance, Sustainment Maintenance, or does it apply to both, i.e., is it a combined metric? Note that PDFOV-2918 specifies that MR for Field Maintenance be used in the calculation of Operational Availability.	The Maintenance Ratio (MR) requirement only applies to Field Level Maintenance.  The PD will be updated to clarify and posted through an RFP amendment.  PDFOV-3947 revised Section 3 text: The JLTV shall demonstrate a Field Level Maintenance Ratio of 0.005 MMH/OM for the Operational Terrain as detailed in Annex H. (T)

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
66	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	C.17.8/C.18.3.3	Based on C.18.3.3 contractor must provide "Water Heat Exchanger (if the engine uses an air-to air charge air cooler)" for the 400 hours NATO engine test. It's not clear if the Government is planning to use the Water Heat Exchanger for engine cooling or use the dyno test set up for engine cooling (which is typical for an engine dyno test). If Government is planning to have a close loop heat exchanger (use the water heat exchanger for engine cooling) for this test, do contractors have to provide some additional part such as: cooling hoses, complete FEAD system, and complete Radiator assembly?	As stated in 18.3.3 (d), the Contractor shall provide "One water heat exchanger (if the engine uses an air-to-air charge air cooler)". This will be used as a substitute charge air cooler for testing. The water heat exchanger assembly should include cooling hoses only.
67	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	Multiple	Several of the recent Q&A responses indicate updates to key RFP documentation are forthcoming. When can these updated documents be expected so the proposal response can be updated and delivered on schedule?	An RFP amendment is anticipated to be released the week of 13 February, where updated attachments will be posted to the website.
68	Attachment KK - Unit Manufacturing Cost Estimate Template	BoM Tabs (EMD & UMC)	Per Attachment KK Instructions - offeror's are to use CDRL A010 as guidance for BoM detail. CDRL A010 has weight (metric units) as a data element. The balance of the RFP is in English units. Can we submit our weight estimate in Attachment KK in English Units?	Yes.
69	Attachment MM2	Sheet 5 Trailer Data	The last item on Sheet 5 "Trailer Data" requests all suspension and tire data to be detailed on Sheets 3A through 3G related to the trailer. Sheets 3A through 3G appear to be reserved for prime mover data, how should this data be presented? Should separate sheets be added or modifications made to columns on sheets 3A through 3G to capture trailer data? For example, on Sheet 3A axle 3 could be used for trailer axle.	Trailer data is not required for this submission. Only data for the JLTV-GP should be provided.  Attachment GG will be updated in a forthcoming amendment with special instructions to offerors, that the following data shall correspond to the proposed JLTV-GP only.
70	Section C	C.15.1.1 Level of Repair (LOR) Program	The JLTV RFP Section C.15.1.1 indicates that the "COMPASS Light Model" is to be used for LOR analysis. Guidance on other programs has been that the COMPASS Light Model is no longer an accepted LORA software product. Is the desired tool COMPASS Light Model or COMPASS STAT?	COMPASS Lite Model is no longer valid. The current tool is "COMPASS" and not "COMPASS STAT".  Section C.15.1.1 will be updated to reflect the change from COMPASS Lite to COMPASS.
71	PD 3.0	PDFOV-8296; Section 3.5.2.6	The TOWS ITAS defines elevation and depression angles, but not a traverse requirement. Is a degraded firing angle capability at some traverse regions acceptable? For instance, if the launcher is pointed over the cargo shell door of some TWVs, the operator must not allow the elevation of the launcher to exceed +20 degrees or -13 degrees where all other traverse positions are +20/-20.	No, the threshold requirement is correct.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
72	Attachment 0037 and Attachment 0036	Attachment 0037 and Attachment 0036	Within attachment 0037, there are GFE items listed as not to be delivered to the Vehicle Developers for the EMD phase, but will be provided to the DOD test sites. (e.g. 5 gallon jerry cans, SICPS shelter, Talon II litter, etc.) It further references attachment 0036 for a list of GFE to be delivered to the Contractor. Within attachment 0036, these specific items are listed as those to be delivered in Phase II to the Contractor. Please clarify delivery of GFE items listed in attachments 0036 and 0037.	C.16.1 says: "The Contractor shall integrate all applicable Government Furnished Equipment and Government Furnished Information provided IAW the GFE/GFI List (Attachment 36)." This Attachment lists the items that WILL BE provided to the Contractor.  Note i of Attachment 0037 will be updated to RESERVED. The updated Attachments will be provided in the forthcoming amendment.
73	Attachment 0005, 0008, 0051	n/a	The WBS for the CSDR (Attachment 0005) and CDDR (Attachment 0051) do not match the IMS WBS Structure (Attachment 0008). Which WBS Structure is appropriate to use for bidding?	Section L.5.1 describes the proposal requirements for the UMC Estimate Sub-Factor. Section L.5.1 (c) requires that UMC data be provided IAW Attachment KK.  Section L.9.7 describes the proposal requirements to submit a completed Attachment 5.
74	Attachment 0008	n/a	IMS WBS Structure does not map to CLINs. Can the offeror create additional WBS Level items for CLIN items not identified in the IMS WBS (Attachment 0008)?	Section L.7.5 describes the proposal requirements for each CLIN. The Price Factor submittal doesn't require data to be structured in any WBS format.
75	PD	PDFOV-7707	Since the DSDU is to be isolated from the C4ISR/EW databus and boot time is a significant driving factor, will any IA products (eg. firewall, antivirus, intrusion detection and prevention) be required to be present on the DSDU as part of the vehicle IA certification?	The DSDU will require IA measures IAW PDFOV-1984 to protect the data and connected equipment, which further supports accreditation requirements. Separation from the C4ISR/EW architecture and limited interconnection to other systems will provide an opportunity to meet IA requirements, potentially with a smaller software footprint and overhead than in the C4ISR/EW architecture.
76	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section I-157 (252.211-7005)	Section I-157 (b) asserts "A listing of SPI processes accepted at specific facilities is available via the Internet at <a href="http://guidebook.dcmamil/20/guidebook_process.htm">http://guidebook.dcmamil/20/guidebook_process.htm</a> (paragraph 4.2)." Is there an updated website where this information is located, as the web address is no longer available?	No. This link is no longer valid, and DCMA is no longer managing a list of specific facilities. The rest of this clause is valid.  <b>REVISED Answer:</b> <b>This clause has since been deleted from the RFP. Please refer to Amendment 0003.</b>

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
77	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section I-138, 252.246-7001 Warranty of Data (DEC 1991)	Is it acceptable to bound the warranty of data period to 3 years?	Clause 252.246-7001 (b) states "The warranty period shall extend for three years after completion of the delivery of the line item of data (as identified in DD Form 1423, Contract Data Requirements List) of which the data forms a part; or any longer period specified in the contract.". The RFP does not provide for any period longer than 3 years.
78	Attachment 51 & Attachment 0005	Tab: Example Tab 1 "JLTV FoV" Tab: Example Tab 7 "Trailer" CSDR Plan page 3	The WBS Description on Example Tab 1 "JLTV FoV" for WBS 1.2.12.2.1 "Spare Tire Kit" is different than the WBS Description on Example Tab 7 "Trailer" and CSDR Plan page 3 for WBS 1.2.12.2.1 "Run-Flat Kit". For WBS element 1.2.12.2.1, does the Government require a price for: a) Run-Flat Kits? b) Spare Tire Kits?	Attachment 51 (Example Tab 1 "JLTV FoV") is incorrect and should read the same as tab labeled "Example Tab 7 "Trailer"" (also the same as page 3 of CSDR Plan (Attachment 5)). Attachment 51 was updated providing corrected descriptions of WBS elements 1.2.12.2.1 - 1.2.12.2.3 on tab labeled "Example Tab 1 "JLTV FoV"". (Refer to Amendment 1)  1.2.12.2.1 Run-Flat Kit 1.2.12.2.2 Soft Top Kit 1.2.12.2.3 120mm Quickstow Mortar Kit
79	Section L	Unit Manufacturing Cost; L.5.1.	Comment: this section requires that we provide the UMC in 2011 constant dollars, as defined in (Green Book) Office of the Secretary of Defense Inflation Guidance, FY2012 President's Budget. Table 5-4, page 57 provides the deflator values and page 3 provides the formula.  Question: Will the Government please verify that the calculation example below reflects the intent of the RFP Response for a UMC in FY2011 constant dollars? Given: Deflator for 2012 = 98.5 Assumption: UMC in 2011 Constant \$ of \$260K Find: the current UMC face value (Current \$) Calculation: Deflator (for 2012)= $\frac{\text{Current \$}}{\text{Constant \$}} \times 100$ $98.5 = \frac{\text{Current \$}}{\$260K} \times 100$ $(260K) \times 98.5 = \text{Current \$} \times 100$  Current \$ = $(260K) \times 98.5 = \$256,100$	The calculation is incomplete, and it is uncertain the rationale for using the Deflator value. Section L.5.1 and the Government Assumptions tab of Attachment KK has been updated in Amendment 0002, providing a link to the inflationary indices tables, and the following guidance: <a href="http://asafm.army.mil/Documents/OfficeDocuments/Budget/BudgetMaterials/infhndbk.pdf">http://asafm.army.mil/Documents/OfficeDocuments/Budget/BudgetMaterials/infhndbk.pdf</a>

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
80	Sections L & M	L-22 (c) & M.4.5.2 (a)	In Section L-22 (c) it states that if we do not meet the SDB goal of 5% we need to explain why, but in section M.4.5.2 the SDB goal is identified as 4%. Which SDB goal is correct?	Refer to Section A, Notice Regarding Subcontracting (Page 6). The Small Business Subcontracting Plan percentages will be different from those of the Small Business Participation Factor Submittal because small business goals in the Subcontracting Plan are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracting dollars and goals in the Small Business Participation Factor Submittal are expressed as a percentage of total contract dollars.
81	Section A - Executive Summary	International, pg 5	Can you please provide the point of contact within the Australian CoA that we can include on any TAAs.	Australia is currently not an EMD International partner, therefore no point of contact can be given.
82	W56HZV-11-R-0329	Attachment 5, Attachment 8, Attachment 51	Please clarify the use of the 3 unique Work Breakdown Structures and the appropriate use of each.	<p>The Primary Vehicle element(s) of each Work Breakdown Structure attached to the RFP can be identified using the figure provided at PDFOV-9183.</p> <p>Attachment 5 (CSDR Plan) Primary Vehicle (WBS ID 1.1) is equivalent to the top level of the figure – the JLTV FoV trucks (not including trailer).</p> <p>Attachment 8 (SE/IMS WBS) Primary Vehicle elements (WBS ID 01.01 – 01.03) are equivalent to the third (3rd) level of the figure – the JLTV Base Vehicle Platforms.</p> <p>Attachment 51 (CCDR Instructions) Primary Vehicle elements (WBS ID 1.1 of tabs Example 1 – 4) are equivalent to the fourth (4th) level of the figure – the JLTV Mission Role Configuration.</p>
83	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section I-157 252.211-7005 Substitution for Military or Federal Specifications and Standards	Solicitation asserts that SPIs should be submitted to the Contracting Officer (which is TBD per G-1). Please advise if all submittals need to go through usarmy.detroit.acc.mbx.wrn-jltv-rfp@mail.mil email channels.	<p>Prior to the RFP closing, submit this information to the JLTV mailbox to the attention of the Contracting Officer at: usarmy.detroit.acc.mbx.wrn-jltv-rfp@mail.mil</p> <p><b>REVISED Answer:</b>  <b>This clause has since been deleted from the RFP. Please refer to Amendment 0003.</b></p>

**JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers**

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
84	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329 - Conformed Copy with Amendment 1	Section C and Section L	The conformed solicitation appears to have inadvertently duplicated Sections C and L. Please confirm that we are to use Amendment 0001 for the revised Section C and Section L in our proposal response. Additionally, will an updated Conformed Solicitation be posted?	The revised Section C and Section L posted in Amendment 0001 is correct. The conformed RFP copy (with Amendment 0001) incorrectly contained both the original Section C and L, and the updated Section C and L and has been removed from the website. Amendment 0002 made administrative changes to remove the duplicative narratives. We will post a revised conformed copy to include Amendment 1 and Amendment 2.
85	Purchase Description 3.0	PDFOV-7477 PDFOV-9185 PDFOV-7987	1) The JLTV program requires a maximum VCI of 25 for the TD phase, but RCI of 25 for EMD phase. I am not sure if we can calculate the RCI without knowing what terrain it is. Also, we are getting different VCI for different axles, which one do we report, higher one, or lower one or the average?  2) Do you know if the soil type and appropriate axle VCI1 is defined in the JLTV RFP?	1) A VCI of 25 is equal to an RCI of 25. The RCI is the VCI1. Terrain is defined in PDFOV-946 and the Purchase Description (Attachment 1) Annex H. The NRMM can calculate a composite of all the axles for a VCI1.  2) The soil type and axle VCI1 are defined in the NATO Reference Mobility Model (NRMM) as stated in PDFOV-8239.
86	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329 - Conformed Copy with Amendment 1	Section L.9.1, and All Sections in Conformed Document	Contractors are required to return a signed SF-33 with all applicable Section A through K fill-ins. Please advise which solicitation document you expect Industry to sign and insert all applicable fill-ins. Additionally, is it necessary to attach 2 signed copies of SF-33 if electronic submittal is required?	The Government will accept one scanned, electronic signature on the SF-33 in the Proposal Terms and Conditions Volume, and will consider the fulfillment of the requirement in L.9.1 met if this Proposal volume submitted in accordance with L.1.1(f) ( submit the Proposal Terms and Conditions Volume on two identical sets of CD-ROMs a or DVDs). Offerors must fill-in Sections B-K to the most current/ameded copy available, which can be done by filling in either copies of amendments or a conformed copy of the RFP for submission. Regardless of using a conformed copy or the original copy, the signed SF 33 must include Section A in it's entirety, with the fill-in for clause 52.214-4000 completed to acknowledge all amendments. This will be considered fulfilling the requirement of Section L.9.1, to submit copies of signed amendments.
87	Section C	C.2.3, Internet-Based Collaboration and L.1.2, Procedure for Submitting Classified Information	Section C.2.3 states that "All classified information shall be sent via registered mail to the JLTV classified mailing address. Section L.1.2 states that the classified portion of the proposal must be sent in accordance with the NISPOM. Chapter 5, Section 4, paragraph 5-403(b) states that US Postal Express Mail is an approved method for sending classified information at the Secret level.  May US Postal Express Mail be used for submission of the classified portion of the JLTV proposal?	Yes, US Postal Express Mail is approved for submission of classified information.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
88	Purchase Description 3.0	PDFOV-3439, DOT-TP-126, NHTSA 2007-27662-11	DOT-TP-126-03, Paragraph 9I: Is the 153" Heavy Duty Outrigger called out in NHTSA-2007-27662, the largest beam allowed for FMVSS 126 compliance testing?	Yes
89	Purchase Description 3.0	PDFOV-3439, DOT-TP-126, NHTSA 2007-27662-11	DOT-TP-126-03, Paragraphs 13.8, and 13.8O: "In Annex S, the Slowly Increasing Steer test is looking for the steering angle that produces 0.5g however the test only runs up to 0.4g. Is this assuming the vehicle response out to 0.5g will fit the regression line derived from the data points between 0.1g to 0.375g?	Yes
90	Purchase Description 3.0	PDFOV-3439, DOT-TP-126, NHTSA 2007-27662-11	DOT-TP-126-03, Paragraphs 13.8, and 13.8O: In Annex S, the Sine with Dwell test is being conducted at 50 mph, however, the test parameters are derived from a SIS test conducted at 30 mph. What is the correlation between the Sine with Dwell test and SIS test?	There is not a correlation based on speed. The speeds are correct as stated.
91	W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section L.1.2 Procedure for Submitting Classified Information	Section L of the RFP states that "Classified information shall be submitted separately" from unclassified volumes and gives a mailing address. May the Classified submittal be hand carried? If so, where should it be dropped off?	Yes, the Detroit Arsenal (DTA) Mail Handling Facility (Building 255). Refer to Section L.1.6.
92	JLTV EMD RFP Solicitation # W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section C. paragraph 17.3.1	C.17.3.1 Contractor-Performed Government Testing requires the Contractor to perform Performance and RAM testing ... at a Government test site, or a non-Government test site audited by the Government to ensure site is appropriate for performing the Government testing outlined in this section ..."  Question: Will the Government please provide a list of the Approved Test Sites, to enable more accurate cost estimates for the EMD WBS?	The Government will not generate an "Approved Test Sites" list. The Government will review the Contractor's proposed test plan (CDRL A076), which includes proposed test site, procedures, test equipment and other required information. If the test plan is deemed acceptable to the Government, it will be approved. Refer to C.17.3.1. for guidance on the criteria the Government will be considering with regard to the suitability of test equipment at the proposed test site in CDRL A076.
93	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section M.3.3	The majority of scope requirements will occur in GFY12 and 13, with vehicle deliveries due 12 months after award. Will the contract provide for the funding profile to be realigned to accommodate contractor's funding needs?	Please refer to Section M.3.3. Proposals that require funding in advance of availability may not be considered for award.
94	Amendment 0001 Section B	CLIN Narratives	In Section A of Amendment 0001, CLIN narratives were provided as updates to add language to CLINs 0001-0003, 0005 and 0009. The narratives indicated that non-recurring costs necessary for fabrication, painting, packaging, and shipment of hardware can be included. Does non-recurring cost in this case include JLTV unique development, design, modeling, simulation, component tests, sub-system tests, and contractor system level tests associated with the specified hardware item?	No, the CLIN narrative states " unit price shall be limited to [...] necessary for the fabrication, painting, packaging, and shipment". Other efforts required in the SOW shall be allocated to CLIN 0013.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
95	Attachment KK; Attachment 51; Q&A #9	Attachment KK (tab JLTV-GP UMC Estimate Summary); Attachment 51 (Example Tab 1 "JLTV FOV")	<p>Comment: The answer to Q&amp;A #9 states that data for items marked NA in attachment 51 are not expected as part of the proposal submission.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please indicate where in Attachment KK (UMC) offerors must account for the costs of Construction/ Conversion/ Expansion or modernization of existing or new facilities to produce the vehicles during the production phase?</p>	WBS elements 1.10.1 - 1.10.3 (as defined by MIL-HDBK-881) on the JLTV-GP UMC Estimate Summary tab.
96	Section C	paragraph C.17.5.1.4.1 Tester Training - Operator/Crew, and Attachment 037 - EMD Vehicle Configuration and Allocation Matrix	Para 17.5.1.4.1 indicates Contractor shall conduct one training event at each of the test sites indicated as Training Required in Attachment 37; however, no reference to training is noted in Attachment 37. Should Contractor assume training is required at every test location as identified in the tab "Test Site Locations"?	No. Test sites that will require training are ATC, YTC, RTC, EPG, in addition to the LUT test sites (location currently TBD). The "Test Site Locations" tab within Attachment 37 will be updated to clarify the test sites that will require training.
97	Attachment FP2 - CREW SEATING DATA SHEET (FOUO) 8 February 2012	Attachment FP2 - CREW SEATING DATA SHEET (FOUO) Longitudinal & Lateral clearances	The Longitudinal and Lateral clearances data sheet does not refer to a target mannequin size to use for collecting data for the CREW SEATING DATA SHEET (FOUO). What is the target mannequin size for collecting data (i.e. 50% HYBRID III ATD, 2015 Land Warrior Body Dimensions, 95% MIL-STD-1472F Male)? Male or Female, 95th or 5th percentile?	There is not a target mannequin size(s). The offeror should identify the mannequin size(s) they used to validate their design.
98	Updated Attachment KK - Unit Manufacturing Cost Estimate Template	JLTV-GP UMC Estimate Summary Tab	In Attachment KK, "JLTV-GP UMC Estimate Summary" Tab, the row for "Reporting Contractor Profit/Loss or Fee" is grayed out. Solicitation requires us to use 10% for evaluation purposes, but Attachment KK states we should not populate grayed out fields. Please advise if we are to populate this row in Attachment KK. If yes, please double check the Total Fee in Recurring & Non-Recurring Fee columns to ensure they are calculating as intended.	Cell I70 was incorrectly grey filled. A corrected Attachment KK will be forthcoming in a subsequent amendment. The recurring & non-recurring profit/loss cells should be grayed out (no input) - only the Total Reporting Contractor Profit/loss or Fee requires input.

**JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers**

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
99	Section L; Attachment KK	Unit Manufacturing Cost; L.5.1 and L.5.1(b); Attachment KK	<p>Comment: Regarding the requirement to express the UMC in FY2011 constant dollars.                      --Acknowledging the updates in Amendment 0002:                      "Under the UMC Sub-factor, the Government has determined that the base JLTV-GP vehicle UMC target is \$260K (expressed in Government fiscal year 2011 constant dollars, as defined in the Inflation and Real Growth Handbook dated April 2002: <a href="http://asafm.army.mil/Documents/OfficeDocuments/Budget/BudgetMaterials/infhndbk.pdf">http://asafm.army.mil/Documents/OfficeDocuments/Budget/BudgetMaterials/infhndbk.pdf</a>)... ", and references the Government Assumptions tab in Attachment KK.                      --The Attachment KK memo tab directs the use of composite ("weighted") inflation indices from the appropriate table.                      --Attachment KK, Government Assumptions tab directs the use of inflation indices in the OPA tab.                      --The composite (weighted) inflation index (in the OPA tab) for base year 2011 is 1.0365.                      --The inflation handbook (pages 14-15) provides the following formula for Converting Current Dollars to Constant Dollars:                      CONSTANT FY XX \$ = CURRENT FY ZZ \$/COMPOSITE INDEX FOR FY ZZ IN BY XX</p> <p>--Question: Will the Government please confirm the following example calculation?                      Knowns:                      constant FY'11 \$ = \$260K                      composite index for FY'12 in BY'11 = 1.0365                      Unknown/find:                      current FY'12 \$ = X                      calculation:  <math display="block">260K = X / 1.0365</math> <math display="block">X = 260K * 1.0365</math> <math display="block">= 269.49K</math></p>	<p>The formula and weighted index is correct for the conversion of FY12 to FY11 dollars used in the example.</p>
100	W56HZV-11-R-0329 Conformed Copy with Amendments 0001 and 0002.	All	<p>Is it correct to understand that the Government's conformed copy, as presented on ProcNet, is the current and correct RFP document to which all offerors are to respond?                      Please clarify that the RFP document in effect is now 134 pages in length and not the previous 182 page RFP, prior to 0001 and 0002 Amendments.</p>	<p>We assume you are referring to the original RFP which was 128 pages not 182.                       Refer to question #86 above. A conformed copy is posted for courtesy to show all incorporated changes.</p>

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
101	W56HZV-11-R-0329	L.6.1.2(1)	One of our subcontractors that is providing past performance as part of our submission, is not allowed by its government customer to provide us the SOW from its past performance reference. Will the Government allow either our subcontractor or their customer to directly submit the SOW to TACOM utilizing the same mailing address as the overall proposal, in order to meet the requirement in L.6.1.2 (1)?	The subcontractor can submit the SOW accordingly:  a) Unclassified/non-FOUO, can email directly to our mailbox as referenced in L.6.3 b) FOUO or a Large file, please put on a disc and mail to our Bid Lobby Address in L.1.5 c) Classified: Mail to our Classified Mailing Address as referenced in L.1.2  Instruct the subcontractor to ensure that the contract number is submitted with the SOW and that the subcontractor articulates and identifies the Prime Offeror in the submission.
102	CDRL A053	CDRL A053, Block 12	Statement: CDRL A053 requires delivery of Developmental Design Drawings/Models and Associated Lists to be submitted "2 days after contract award" in PTC Pro/Engineer format. Per question ID 44 of DRAFT RFP Q&As, only PTC Pro/Engineer format is acceptable.  Question: If the offeror utilizes a CAD/CAE package other than PTC Pro/Engineer, can delivery prescribed in CDRL A053 be timed to enable conversion by the DUR?	No.
103	Purchase Description V3.0	Annex N, PDFOV-3169	Annex N calls out dimensions for Soldiers wearing Land Warrior clothing and equipment, but PDFOV-3169 calls out different/smaller subset of equipment to be accommodated. Can the customer provide a baseline of Soldier equipment to be worn?	Demonstration of pass/fail criteria for PDFOV-3169 shall use the equipment listed in PD-3169 as those are the equipment deemed applicable to the design of the seat and restraint system. The PD line items requiring dimensions specified in Annex N shall use the dimensions specified in Annex N.
104	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-2909, PDFOV-8761	What is meant is by a point estimate?	MMBHMf point estimate is calculated by dividing the number of miles by hardware mission failures.
105	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8486	Requirement states: The winch shall meet the specifications in SAE J706 Section 4. SAE J706 section 4.5 specifies winch a drum diameter to wire rope diameter ratio of at least 8:1 to extend wire rope life. If synthetic rope is being used does this section apply?	The drum to rope ratio in Section 4 of SAE J706 is not applicable to synthetic rope. Winch must be able to meet all other requirements.
106	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-6693, PDFOV-8783	HMMWV Utility employs the use of a pintle extension kit for some shelters. If a similar kit is employed for JLTV, is it considered an automatic failure of the objective requirement to mount a shelter without a kit?	A pintle extension kit would be considered a shelter interface kit.
107	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-7348	GCVW is defined as PM with trailer. As written, this requirement applies only to the prime mover. Is there a desired ride quality for the payload on the trailer?	The JLTV-T does not have a desired ride quality requirement measured on the trailer

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
108	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8485	Can you define physical lockout and is there any restriction on ride height during lock out?	If the vehicle is at a selected suspension height, the suspension is considered "physically locked-out" when a mechanism is engaged that allows the vehicle to remain at the suspension height for the duration of long term storage under ANY conditions.
109	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-7278	Is the rear view camera viewing the trailer considered compliant, or does the trailer need to be seen in the truck mirrors? Is there a minimum amount of trailer that must be visible?	Yes, the camera is considered Compliant. There is no minimum amount requirement.
110	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8604	Is it the intent of this requirement that an Infrared (IR) camera be used in order to identify threats in a low ambient light conditions?	The intent is to provide 360 degree situational awareness.
111	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8587	Define simultaneously. Will small, fast, independent incremental adjustments meet this requirement?	It can, as long as they can meet the time for height adjustment.
112	Purchase Description V3.0	3.4.1.5.2 - Service Brakes	Air couplers are typically installed on the front of vehicles with air brake systems. This allows a tow vehicle (with air brakes) to activate the brakes on the vehicle being towed (with air brakes). The PD allows the use of hydraulic brakes on the JLTV prime mover. Question: Is this required on vehicles offered with hydraulic brakes?	The contractor needs to provide the ability to activate the brake system on a towed vehicle so that they can meet the braking requirements.
113	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-7420	Where is the "Weapon BII" defined?	Yes, Weapon BII are defined in the TMs which will be provided as GFI per attachment 36.
114	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8403	Since it is not recommended to strap JMIC containers down, is a JMIC Container Restraint System (JCRS) mounting interface required on the trailer cargo body?	Testing shall be conducted by loading and securing two (2) JMIC on the floor of the trailer bed to verify compliance with this requirement. All design choices to secure the containers are the responsibility of the contractor.
115	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-1418	Does this requirement have a threshold requirement associated to it? What tier does it carry?	There is no threshold requirement for self sealing fuel tanks. Please refer to final RFP Purchase Description for Tier Value.
116	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8586	Requirement states: On extreme slopes the SAES shall use the full articulation of the adjustable height suspension to provide the optimal self leveling capability possible by the JLTV. Question: Is the side slope defined as limited to the PD side slope requirements?	Yes.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
117	Purchase Description V3.0	3.4.5.8.11	The PD requires the JLTV-T to interface to the 120mm Quickstow Mortar System is custom designed to work with the current M1101 HMMWV trailer. Questions: 1. Will the Government provide an interface control drawing/document and CAD model of the 120mm Quickstow Mortar System? 2. Are modifications allowed to the 120mm Quickstow Mortar System so that will work with the JLTV-T?	1. ICD will be provided in accordance with Attachment 36. CAD models will not be provided. 2. Modifications to the 120 mm Quick Stow Mortar System are not allowed.
118	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8463	Does this imply a single panic zeroize switch for CFE?	This does not imply a single panic zeroize switch for CFE.
119	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8065 to 8070	Can we assume a tongue weight approximately equal to 15% of gross trailer weight?	The requirements allow for the legacy trailers specified to be towed '...in a degraded manner'. As such, a tongue weight assumption is not required.
120	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-6695	Are we correct in assuming that only single axle trailers will utilize safety chains? Safety chains will not be utilized under "like" vehicle towing!	1) Only single axle trailers will be towed by the JLTV and safety chains are required 2) Safety chains will be utilized under 'like' and 'recovery' vehicle towing.
121	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8560-8573	How do you intend to test these requirements, is it 5 individual runs over a single obstacle or one run over 5 obstacles? If one run over 5 obstacles, what is the center to center spacing for the obstacles? For the Pothole obstacles assume dimension given is depth; what is the length? Are the Potholes run on just one side of the vehicle or do both wheels run through at the same time?	1) These are single events using single obstacles. We run over the obstacle then turn around and run over the same obstacle again. 2) Since one obstacle is used there is no center to center spacing. 3) For pothole obstacles, PDFOV-8554 states the diameter of the pothole and that they are staggered.
122	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-880	Would this imply that when the GPK is installed on the vehicle the required 3500 lbs payload would be lowered to 3000 lbs?	No. The payload requirements must be met first. Then, up to 500 lbs of the residual payload maybe allocated to the weight of the GPK.
123	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-8751 PDFOV-8752	Are these going to be provided GFE as a Kit (it is not noted in Attachment 36 or Annex K)?	The handsets are included in the radiosets listed in Attachment 37. They are not called out as separate items in Attachment 37.
124	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-2989	What time frame per vehicle is the 30 minutes referring to? Since there are before mission, after mission, weekly and monthly PMCS, in what context is the 30 minute requirement? Is it per check or meant to be a total time over a specified period?	Any PMCS performed on a JLTV is to take no longer than 30 minutes.
125	Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOV-1999	Are Secret and NATO Secret mutually exclusive as in TD?	Yes.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
126	JLTV EMD RFP Solicitation # W56HZV-11-R-0329	Ammendments 3 and 4	<p>Comment: The JLTV PROCNET site contains only solicitation Ammendments 1 and 2 as of 27 Feb, while the FedBizOps site contains an Ammendment 3 (FOUO) and Ammendment 4.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please explain the process for tracking the latest changes/ Ammendments between these two sites, so that Contractors can comply with the correct official copies for the RFP Response?</p>	The FedBizOps solicitation notice (for RFP W56HZV-11-R-0329) "amendments" are not necessarily RFP amendments and will not necessarily match to the numbering of RFP amendments. The FedBizOps solicitation notice (for RFP W56HZV-11-R-0329) is a repository of FOUO/Export Controlled documents for the RFP. The correct current copies of FOUO/Export Controlled Attachments are those files that are dated to match the most current Section J of the RFP (refer to the Conformed copy for ease of reference).
127	Attachment KK	Government Assumptions Tab	<p>Comment: The Government assumptions tab shows projected yearly production numbers for the different vehicle variants and mission packages, but it does not provide assumptions/estimated quantities for the Contractor Provided Kits.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please publish estimated yearly production quantities for the Contractor Provided Kits, or at least provide them as a percentage of the total vehicle production estimates for the variants / mission packages?</p>	<p>Contractor Furnished (Provided) Kits should not be included in the Section L (L.5.1) UMC proposal submittal. The Government Assumptions tab of Attachment KK correlates to Base Vehicle Platforms, excluding Baseline Integration Kits, Expansion Kits, B-Kit Armor, and all other kits (both GFE &amp; CFE as identified in the Purchase Description (PD)).</p> <p>An updated (for clarification) Attachment KK will be posted as part of a forthcoming amendment.</p>
128	Attachment GG - Data Sheets; Q&A #8	Mobility Data Sheet; bullet points 3-5	<p>Comment: In order to provide M&amp;S fuel efficiency results for a proposal, we need access to the data for the specified courses.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please provide the data required for Contractors to conduct M&amp;S for fuel efficiency on the following courses/routes? Churchville B Perryman A Harford Loop</p> <p>Data Required: Time Distance Speed Acceleration (past experience with this data showed a step function for velocity without acceleration data) Elevation</p>	<p>The data representative of these 3 Test courses is available upon request. Secondary and cross country and trails course data is available via disk. This is the same disk that was available upon request since June 2011. Primary course profiles are now available via email. Please e-mail your request to usarmy.detroit.acc.mbx.wrn-jltv-rfp@mail.mil.</p> <p><b>REVISED Answer:</b> <b>The data is currently not available and is not part of the information provided on disk as previously stated in original response. The disk contains representative information of terrain types, not specific courses. The fuel efficiency data has been removed from Attachment GG. Please refer to Amendment 0006.</b></p>

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
129	N/A	N/A	Is it acceptable for an offeror to include within their proposal a PCCA Clause for consideration, as stated per FAR 31.205-32, if an offeror determines such an incurrence is necessary to comply with the proposed contract delivery schedule?"	The Government is not requiring a PCCA clause with proposals, however an offeror could submit this as part of the Terms and Conditions volume. Offerors are reminded of Section M.2 (g) and M.3.3. The Government will not guarantee that any proposed PCCA will be approved at time of contract award, or at all.
130	TACOM Final RFP Q&A #98	Attachment KK UMC	Final RFP Q&A 98 indicates an updated Attachment KK will be forthcoming. For planning purposes, please advise when this Attachment will be released, and when an updated Conformed Solicitation for Amendments 0001 - 0003 will be provided for Section A through K fill-in requirements as we are trying to finalize our proposal document.	Amendment 03 was released on 06 March 2012. A revised conformed copy has also been published.
131	JLTV EMD RFP Solicitation # W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section L.1.3 CD-ROMs/ DVDs	Comment: L.1.3 states that "Each volume listed above shall be submitted on a separate set of CD-ROMs or DVDs utilizing Microsoft (MS) Word, MS Excel, MS PowerPoint, MS Project, MS Access, or PDF compatible formats. All MS files shall be 2003/2007 compatible unless otherwise indicated." Question: Will the Government accept Proposal documents in MS Word (2003/2007 compatible) files, with hyperlinked MPEG files?	The Government will only accept video files when explicitly called for in Section L or it's attachments (example: Attachment FP3 ), but do not necessarily have to be a MS Word hyperlinked file format.

**JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers**

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
132	Section C.7.3.2, & Purchase Description V3.0	PDFOVs-1999 & 1984, & CDRL A038	<p>Comment:                      The PDFOV-1999 Requirement and Verification statements indicate that certification and accreditation of the JLTV will be required. The PDFOV-1984 Requirement and Verification explicitly specify that "Certification through accreditation by the Designated Approving Authority (DAA) (unclassified and US Secret) and the United States Central Registry (NATO Secret) shall demonstrate compliance with Section 3 requirement."                      CDRL A038 specifies: "The Accreditation Artifact Package shall include the following items:                      a. milestones to achieve Authority to Operate (ATO) during this contract;"</p> <p>Questions:                      1. Is a DIACAP accreditation required for the JLTV EMD contract?                      2. Is the objective an Authority To Operate, as opposed to an Interim Authority To Test, or an Interim Authority To Operate?                      3. Certain JLTV EMD requirements include the use protection of classified information; will the DIACAP accreditation be for classified information?                      4. What Mission Assurance Category has been designated?                      5. Please identify the Certifying Authority and Designated Approval Authority.</p>	<p>A1: The Certification &amp; Accreditation (C&amp;A) activities will be executed by the Government, using the information provided by the Contractor in CDRL Data Item A038. See A2 (below) for the Government's intent regarding C&amp;A activities during EMD.</p> <p>A2: An Interim Authority To Test will be required for participation in test events requiring operational environment or live data (including Limited User Test) during EMD phase. The documentation and configuration requirements to support an Authority To Operate (ATO) must be submitted as described in CDRL Data Item A038. The Government wants to be in the position to be able receive an ATO during the EMD phase, however the Government may not execute these final C&amp;A processes until the production phase.</p> <p>A3: Yes.</p> <p>A4: The highest Mission Assurance Category (MAC) level is anticipated to be MAC II. Depending on design, some portions of the JLTV system may only be required to meet MAC III.</p> <p>A5: The Government will not provide this information prior to Contract Award.</p>

**JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers**

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
133	Section L & Attachment 0005 CSDR Plan	Section L.9.7 & Attachment 0005 Section 14 - Remarks	<p>Paragraph L.9.7 states, "Submit the information required in DFARS 252.234-7003(b), including a completed Attachment 5 of Solicitation." Attachment 0005, Approved Contract CSDR Plan A-11-B-C1, Block 14, CSDR SUBMISSION DATES specifies "Initial Report, AS OF DATE 20120831, DUE DATE 20121031". Our assumption is that the Section L requirement is that Offerors acknowledge that the CSDR plan, DD-1921, and DD-1921-1 fields are acceptable. We further assume that (per Att. 0005) the Initial Report is due 20121031, not with the proposal. Are our assumptions correct? If not, please clarify.</p>	<p>The assumption is not correct. The CSDR Plan (Attachment 5) "AS OF" &amp; "DUE DATE" refer to delivery of the CDRL during contract execution. The Offeror's proposal shall include the information required in DFARS 252.234-7003(b), using Attachment 5 (specifically WBS Index and block 15 instructions) of Solicitation as guidance.</p> <p>Paragraph (b) of DFARS 252.234-7003 Notice of Cost and Software Data Reporting System states:                      (b) As part of its proposal, the offeror shall—                      (1) Describe the process to be used to satisfy the requirements of the DoD 5000.04-M-1, CSDR Manual, and the Government-approved CSDR plan for the proposed contract;                      (2) Demonstrate how contractor cost and data reporting (CCDR) will be based, to the maximum extent possible, upon actual cost transactions and not cost allocations;                      (3) Demonstrate how the data from its accounting system will be mapped into the standard reporting categories required in the CCDR data item descriptions;                      (4) Describe how recurring and nonrecurring costs will be segregated;                      (5) Provide comments on the adequacy of the CSDR contract plan and related Resource Distribution Table; and                      (6) Submit the DD Form 1921, Cost Data Summary Report, and DD Form 1921-1, Functional Cost-Hour Report, with its pricing proposal.</p>
134	Attachment KK - Unit Manufacturing Cost Estimate Template	Documentation Summary Tab	<p>In Attachment KK, "Documentation Summary" Tab, the instructions still request for the contractor to "include description of: assumptions (in addition to US Government provided assumptions), source data and methodologies used to develop the estimates. Cells below may reference additional tabs in the excel file or file attachments," however, the table has been altered to remove the cells to include the requested assumptions (previously Column F). Additionally, the subtotal cells (previously D5, D6, D39, D56, D62, E5, E6, E39, E56, E62, E71) have been removed, thus upon including WBS cost the total price will not be calculated. Is it fair to assume that the Government wishes the contractor to include the previously provided subtotal summary cells, as well as the estimating detail by WBS to be populated in the submittal?</p>	<p>The "Documentation Summary" tab is not intended to report values. There was no previous Column F, nor were there any subtotal cells previously included in the cells identified in the question. It is to be used to provide descriptions of assumptions (in addition to US Government provided assumptions), source data and methodologies used to develop the estimates for each WBS element listed. The Cells may reference additional tabs in the excel file or file attachments that provide further description, clarification, or examples of the basis of estimate.</p>

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
135	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329, Amendment 0003	Removal of DFARS 252.211-7005, Single Process Initiatives	Based on Amendment 3 direction that removes DFARS Clause 252.211-7005, does the Government really mean to delete the ability for industry to use best commercial practices in the performance of this contract?	It has been determined this clause is not applicable, and the clause was intentionally removed.
136	Purchase Description V3.0	Annex P v3.0, Course Detail	<p>Section 3, page 3 - Annex P describes 3 courses (Hartford Loop, Perryman A and Churchville B) to be used for fuel efficiency testing. Details of these courses need to be provided so compliance analysis can be conducted Supplement: Primary Road Course Data (updated 06 March 2012)</p> <p>REFERENCE: Test course conditions data is available to the public upon request. Please e-mail your request to usarmy.detroit.acc.mbx.wrn-jltv-rfp@mail.mil and include a point of contact and mailing address. The Supplement Primary Road Course Data will be provided via e-mail. The data provided will be released with the following disclaimer: Memorandum to Accompany Test Course Conditions</p> <p>Can GPS or other data be provided for the courses called for in Annex P so fuel efficiency can be predicted with CAE?</p>	<p>The GPS data is provided with the data for the courses referred to in annex P and is available when you request it from the email usarmy.detroit.acc.mbx.wrn-jltv-rfp@mail.mil .</p> <p><b>REVISED Answer:</b>  <b>The Government may release this data, but not before Contract award. The data is currently not available and is not part of the information provided on disk as previously stated in original response. The disk contains representative information of terrain types, not specific courses.</b></p>
137	Section M	M.4.5.2 (a)	<p>Comment: As stated in the RFP, subcontracting plan evaluations will have the SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, VOSB, and SDVOSB expressed in percentage of Total Contract Value. This means that the dollars for the prime contractor's in-house efforts will be included in the denominator; and it will force the SB, etc. subcontract percentages to fall well below the Government's goals. In addition, this could become a disincentive for prime contractors to retain in-house efforts and could bring in the negative effect of having excessive pass-through charges (which the Government wants contractors to avoid).</p> <p>Question: Did the Government intend the wording in M.4.5.2 (a) as written or should the wording read, "...expressed as a percentage of the total dollars to be subcontracted, ..."</p>	Refer to Section A of the RFP, Notice Regarding Subcontracting. The Small Business Subcontracting Plan percentages will be different from those of the Small Business Participation Factor Submittal because small business goals in the Subcontracting Plan are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracting dollars as opposed the Small Business Participation Factor Submittal Goals which are expressed as a percentage of the total contract dollars.
138	Purchase Description 3.0 Reference 3.4.3.7	PDFOV-8458 3.4.3.7 Adjustable Height Suspension	Does the physical lock-out need to be accomplished at different suspension heights and a rigid lock? Or, is it intended to merely prevent the suspension from physically being able to change settings?	If the vehicle is at any selected suspension height, the suspension is considered "physically locked-out" when a mechanism is engaged that allows the vehicle to remain at the selected suspension height for the duration of long term storage under ANY conditions.
139	SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS	L.1.3	Section L.1.3 specifies the formats acceptable for submission and includes "PDF compatible formats." Versions of Adobe Acrobat 6.0 and newer offer advanced features and content capability. An advanced feature is the ability to embed .wmf video content within a standard PDF file. Is this acceptable?	The Government will only accept video files when explicitly called for in Section L or it's attachments (example: Attachment FP3 ). It does not matter if it's embedded, hyperlinked, etc.

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
140	Attachment GG - Data Sheets; Annex P;	Mobility Data Sheet; bullet	<p><b>Comment:</b>            We acknowledge the Government's answer to Q&amp;A #128:            "The data representative of these 3 Test courses is available upon request. Secondary and cross country and trails course data is available via disk. This is the same disk that was available upon request since June 2011. Primary course profiles are now available via email. Please e-mail your request to usarmy.detroit.acc.mbx.wrn-jltv-rfp@mail.mil."</p> <p>We requested the data disk referenced above at the cited e-mail address, but received many text files identified only by terrain type (Secondary, Cross Country, &amp; Trails) and file number (i.e. Trails Representation 2), containing only route distance and 5 relative laser elevation sets from short route segments as would be used for RAM or Durability M&amp;S. This is inadequate for fuel efficiency M&amp;S because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The data does not contain complete route loops or adequate data to string together the segments into a complete route as needed for moving fuel efficiency simulation.</li> <li>2. The data is not labeled to match the three Annex P specified fuel economy routes nor is any correlation data provided to indicate how the data should be used in place of the required routes.</li> <li>3. The data does not contain velocity or time information required to determine the operating points of the engine.</li> <li>4. The data does not include stop and/or acceleration data required to determine the transient operating points of the engine.</li> </ol>	Please refer to revised response to Q&A #128. The data is currently not available and is not part of the information - only test course conditions

JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers				
#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
	Q&A #8 and #128	points 3-5	<p>Also requested and received was the the Primary course profile via e-mail, which does contain adequate data for fuel efficiency M&amp;S (distance, elevation, velocity, &amp; stops), but is also not labeled to match the Primary route identified in Annex P. .... In order to conduct an accurate M&amp;S for Fuel Efficiency, we still require Churchville B and Perryman A identified files containing distance, elevation, velocity &amp; stops like the Primary course profile data. We also require confirmation that the Primary Course data provided should be considered Harford Loop data.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please provide the following data required for Contractors to conduct M&amp;S for fuel efficiency on the following courses/routes per Annex P?: Churchville B: Distance, elevation, velocity, &amp; stops Perryman A: Distance, elevation, velocity, &amp; stops Harford Loop: Please provide confirmation that the provided Primary course data should be considered Harford Loop data for use in fuel efficiency modeling &amp; simulation, otherwise provide Harford Loop distance, elevation, velocity, &amp; stops.</p>	available upon request on the JLTV Website. The fuel efficiency data has been removed from Attachment GG. Please refer to Amendment 0006.
141	Attachment 29	TDP OPTION SELECTION WORKSHEET- <b>Box 2B</b>	Attachment 0029: Box 2B has 3 boxes checked for the formats for the 3D Models. Is the intent that each 3D model will be delivered in all 3 formats, or can they be delivered in any	Yes, each 3D model shall be delivered in all 3 formats.
142	Attachment DD	Engineering Design Maturity Levels 4 and 6	What information is contained in the Joint Summary? Is there an associated DID?	Information about the joint design such as that described in C.5.6.2 and CDRL A090.
143	ANNEX K	Table 2	The table calls out Long-Range Advance Scout Surveillance System (LRAS) with Fire Support Sensor System (FS3). What does the system consist of and what are the Line Replaceable Units size, weight, and power required? We need this data because its impacts the System Functional Review packaging. Please advise when this information will be made available.	All GFI Point of Contact information will be provide NLT 14 days after contract award per Note 1) in Attachment 36.
144	Attachment 29	1.3	Will the government accept JT format in lieu of PTC Product View for the lightweight models?	No, the government requires the lightweight models to be delivered in ProductView
145	Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329	C.15.3 Operator Manuals CDRL A065 Commercial Manuals/Operator Manuals	Would it be acceptable to deliver CDRL A065 in .xml format?	No, All submissions shall be prepared and delivered in an editable Microsoft Office 2007 software suite file format (MS Word, MS Excel), as stated in CDRL A065.

**JLTV RFP - Questions & Answers**

#	RFP Document Title	Applicable Reference (e.g. Paragraph #)	Question	Answer
146	Annex K, SOW C.8	GFE Software	We are assuming that all GFE applications (JCR, JBP-C, C2PC, AFATDS, OSRV, etc) will be supplied with their required operating system (Army Gold Master) and all required ancillary products (e.g. oracle, middleware). Is this a correct assumption?	No, it is dependent on the application. Some applications will not include the operating system, the contractor will need to provide an operating system for those applications. Details of required operating systems will be included in the GFI information which will be provide NLT 14 days after contract award per Note 1) in Attachment 36.
147	SOW C.12.3.2, Attachment 29	Attachment 29 page 4, section 2	Attachment 29 specifies that the models delivered under CDRL A053 are 3D CAD Models in Pro/E Wildfire Version 5.0. To better meet the government's needs, please specify the intended uses of the models. For example, if a contractor is offering a non-developmental fielded vehicle which has models already developed in a different CAD environment (NX), would it be acceptable to provide .jt or .stp files that can be imported and viewed by Pro/E Wildfire, or can the models be provided at a higher level of assembly?	There are many intended uses for these files. The CAD deliveries shall be made in STEP , Pro/E and ProductView as stated in Attachment 29 Appendix A Box 2B to enable the Government to utilize the data.
148	Solicitation No. W56HZV-11-R-0329	Section L.1.3	L.1.3 states "Each volume listed above shall be submitted on a separate set of CD-ROMs or DVDs utilizing Microsoft (MS) Word, MS Excel, MS PowerPoint, MS Project, MS Access, or PDF compatible formats."  Question: Can all volumes created utilizing Microsoft Word be delivered in a PDF format, or do they have to be delivered utilizing the native .docx format?	Microsoft Word portions of the proposal volumes can be submitted as a PDF. It was the Government's intent that the Attachments to Section L would be submitted in the same format as they were provided.
149	Section M	M.3.1.3	Offerors are required to be prepared for oral discussions with the government after seven days from proposal submission. How much lead time will the government provide before the discussions, so as to allow bidders to schedule travel and other arrangements?	<p>Answer: Section L.1.7 is in regard to a Walk-Through of Proposal, which states that "At a time to be determined after proposal submission, but not earlier than seven calendar days after, each offeror shall be prepared to provide a walk-through of Government-selected sections of the offerors proposal to be held in a location to be determined within the Detroit Metropolitan Area for the purpose of enhancing the Government's understanding of the offerors submitted proposal." If the Government decides to conduct a Walk-Through of Proposals with offerors, the Government intends to provide a minimum 5 business day notice prior.</p> <p>It is important to distinguish the Walk-Through of selected sections of the proposal from "oral discussions" as defined in M.3.1.3 of the RFP. M.3.1.3 Discussions (written and/or oral) will only take place after a competitive range determination.</p>