

JAB Q&A Log						
Date	4/14/2015					
POSTED #	Reference	Reference	Reference	Question / Comment	Answer	Date Posted to Web
1	Exe Sum	Page 2	A.2.2	In the first paragraph on the second page, major tasks or deliverables of the contractor are identified. However technical manuals are not listed. Is this an oversight?	The Executive Summary is not all inclusive, however, we do anticipate procuring technical manuals.	1/12/2015
2	Industry Day			Can you share with us if it has been decided if the powertrain (engine and transmission) will be GFE? If it has not been decided, when do you expect that decision will be made?	The USG anticipates that the Full Up Power Pack will be GFE for the duration of the contract.	1/12/2015
3	Industry Day			Live Fire Test: What is DOTE seeking to test? Hasn't driver compartment been tested under the Abrams program already?	Director, Operational Test & Evaluation is seeking to test operational suitability, survivability, and effectiveness of the JAB system. The focus of Live Fire Testing is on crew survivability based on differences in crew compartment configuration.	1/12/2015
4	Industry Day			Better Buying Power 2.0 introduced Source Selection Scoring for objective requirement obtainment for best value awards. Will that method be used in JAB LRIP?	Evaluation criteria will be published in Section M with the final RFP.	1/12/2015
5	Industry Day			Does the USG currently own the TDP?	Government needs clarification on the specific information or system(s) the question is asking about. Please resubmit if desired.	1/12/2015
6	Industry Day			Will the Army ask for a CLIN price to purchase the TDP?	Yes, we anticipate the RFP will have a separately-priced Option CLIN for the Bridge Launcher Mechanism Technical Data Package (Contractor Format).	1/12/2015
7	Industry Day			Will the USG release attachments 0002 and 0003 in draft form?	Yes, we anticipate releasing drafts of Attachment 0002 (schedule) and Attachment 0003 (ANAD information).	1/12/2015
8	Industry Day			How many JAB vehicles are required for FUE in FY18?	We anticipate fielding 4 JABs for First Unit Equipped.	1/12/2015
9	Industry Day			Who is responsible for the APU?	JABs have Hydraulic Power Units (HPUs), not Auxiliary Power Unit (APUs). The contractor will be responsible for the HPU.	1/12/2015
10	Industry Day			Has an update to the ATPD been posted to ProcNet?	Yes, the initial draft was posted 27 Aug 14 and the latest update was posted 12 Dec 14.	1/12/2015
11	Industry Day			Will the slides of the presentation and an attendee list be available on the website?	The slides will be posted to ProcNet, along with a listing of the companies (not individuals) that attended Industry Day.	1/12/2015
12	Industry Day			If I opt to have ANAD to perform the "menu" items can I do a direct sales with ANAD to do the over and above work simultaneous with the "menu" work?	A simultaneous direct sale for over and above work is allowable, subject to negotiation between the contractor and ANAD	1/12/2015
13	Industry Day			ANAD Manufacturing Capability - What are the capabilities for manufacturing at Anniston Army Depot? Need a complete list with details.	Reference the ANAD Industry Day briefing posted to ProcNet. For any additional, specific questions, regarding P3 and ANAD capability submit to Johnny Thompson.	1/12/2015

POSTED #	Reference	Reference	Reference	Question / Comment	Answer	Date Posted to Web
14	Industry Day			Can final acceptance testing and buy off be accomplished at ANAD?	Yes, if final assembly is at ANAD.	1/12/2015
15	Industry Day			Can / will ANAD provide fielding support / FSRs?	ANAD has the capability of providing fielding support as was presented within the ANAD Industry Day briefing. Any ANAD FSR support can be negotiated as part of a direct sale.	1/12/2015
16	Industry Day			Does ANAD have the capability to write/produce technical manuals?	Yes, ANAD states it has this capability. Contact ANAD for additional details.	1/12/2015
17	Industry Day			Does ANAD meet the security classification for integration of JAB on site?	Yes, ANAD states it meets the security classification. Offerors are required to submit proof of facilities clearance as part of its proposal (see Executive Summary, A.5).	1/12/2015
18	Industry Day			Is there a cut-off date for contractors to submit questions to the USG?	Yes, a cut-off date for questions will be provided with the final RFP.	1/12/2015
19	Exe Sum	Page 2	A.3.1	Paragraph one of this section identifies in general terms the sub-assemblies and components that will be provided as GFM and items the contractor will be responsible for. Will the Gov't be providing a complete BOM to include all technical information (drawings, specifications, processes, critical inspection requirements, test reports/plans, etc.) and associated data rights required to procure/fabricate, refurbish, inspect, test and install each item identified?	The Government intends to publish a BOM prior to RFP release which will include part numbers and nomenclature. Additional technical information will be made available at a later date. If specific items are requested, please make a separate detailed inquiry.	1/15/2015
20	Exe Sum	Page 2	A.3.3	Is there an opportunity to have the contractor reset the AVLB bridge which would be consistent with the approach/strategy of having the contractor responsible for the chassis?	Reset of the AVLB bridge is not a requirement for the JAB LRIP/Production contract.	1/15/2015
21	Exe Sum	Page 3	A.3.5	Items (b) and (d) in this paragraph appear to ask for similar data. Please clarify the intended differences for these items.	Item (b) is a report of design changes and associated, verified test results. Item (d) is a performance and manufacturing risk assessment for design changes identified in item (b).	1/15/2015
22				How many test sites will require a TSP?	All test events require a Test Support Package, which we anticipate contractor delivery to Army Aberdeen Test Center	1/15/2015
23				Has your acquisition strategy, to include source selection best value perimeters, been approved by ASALT?	These are internal Government documents and the Government will not respond to questions regarding internal documents and processes.	1/15/2015
24				Mr. McVeigh stated Congress approved \$39M for the Program; what does \$39M cover? I.e. Base LRIP contract; contractor and USG cost? Etc.	The budget is public record, however the details are internal to the Government and the Government will not respond to questions regarding internal documents and processes.	1/15/2015
25				Will a new DD254 be included in the solicitation? Will it include a detailed Security Classification Guide?	Yes, it is the Government's intent to issue a new DD254 with the solicitation. The Government intends to provide the Security Classification Guide after contract award.	1/15/2015
26				Does the USG plan to purchase unlimited data rights for the JAB Technical data?	The Government does not, at this time, plan to procure unlimited data rights for the JAB Technical data.	1/15/2015
27				Where is the "official" repository to access the latest Mil-Stds, Specs, etc required for JAB?	The official repository is the ASSIST database located at <a href="https://assist.dla.mil/online/start/">https://assist.dla.mil/online/start/</a> . Documents can be accessed at <a href="https://www.assistdocs.com">https://www.assistdocs.com</a> .	1/15/2015

POSTED #	Reference	Reference	Reference	Question / Comment	Answer	Date Posted to Web
28				Can powder CARC paints be used?	Yes, powder CARC paints may be used and as result, the ATPD will be updated and posted the the JAB Major System Website.	1/15/2015
29				Do you anticipate any further changes to the ATPD? If so, what paragraphs?	Yes, to address the paint requirements at section 3.10.8 - see question #28. If additional changes are made to the ATPD they will be posted to the JAB Major System Website on ProcNet.	1/15/2015
30				What are the small business goals for the program? Manufacturing? Program Management? Software? Hardware?	The small business goals will be provided as part of the final RFP.	1/15/2015
31	Industry Day			Will the Government accept an economic adjustment factor or reopener clause for ANAD labor for effort over and above the refurbishment funded by PM Bridging? We have been advised ANAD cannot provide firm rates or long term FFP estimates for labor and they currently do not have firm rates for 2016	No, economic adjustment factors or reopener clauses will not be included.	1/15/2015
32	Industry Day			Will the small business requirement be adjusted to reflect that many of the chassis refurbishment parts will not be small business and the contractor will have to buy from DLA?	The small business goals will be provided as part of the final RFP.	1/15/2015
33	ATPD		3.12.13	Do the hazardous material requirements apply to existing M1 components? If so please identify all components that do not meet these requirements and will the Government accept a waiver for these items? Will the government provide all chassis and bridge data as required to submit CDRL A027?	Section 3.12.12 of the ATPD states GFM is exempt from Hazardous Material requirements. Any items containing hazardous materials not listed and quantified on the GFM List (Attachment 0019) are subject to Hazardous Materials requirements. Requests for waiver will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. CDRL A027 should detail only those items that are subject to the Hazardous Material Requirements.	1/15/2015
34				Will the contractor have to fund the necessary support from the suppliers of the GFM since the contractor is responsible for end-to-end quality control? Example - the FUPP will be GFM for the life of the program, but the contractor will manage quality & performance of the vehicle. How does the contractor handle vehicle automotive performance issues?	The intent of this question is unclear. However, with regard to the FUPP, the Government intends to assume responsibility. The contractor is required to inspect furnished materials for serviceability prior to installation on the JAB chassis. The contractor is responsible for issues resulting from integration of the GFM and contractor supplied material.	2/23/2015
35				GFM: How will this affect the P3 with Anniston after year 3? Or even before that? What items that are GFM would you like to see (as) CFM?	The Government intends to provide a Parts List with separate columns for GFM Years 1-5 and GFM Years 1-3 Only. The contractor may engage ANAD in a P3 direct sales capacity for supply support to meet the CAP requirements if desired.	2/23/2015
36				Has F-24 Fuel been tested by the USG to determine if all Abrams automotive performance requirements can be met?	The USG is currently testing F-24 fuel on the Abrams platform. The JAB will not be expected to exceed automotive performance requirements of the Abrams.	2/23/2015
37				Has F-24 fuel been tested on current Abrams automotive and fuel system components?	The USG is currently testing F-24 fuel on the Abrams platform.	2/23/2015

POSTED #	Reference	Reference	Reference	Question / Comment	Answer	Date Posted to Web
38	Industry Day			Can the Government provide a list of the subassemblies/components where the chassis OEM is the directed source?	No, the Government will not provide a list. Any LRUs refurbished by the chassis OEM are ordered through the Army supply system and will be provided as GFM.	2/23/2015
39	Industry Day			Identifying sources of supply and obtaining quotes for chassis refurbishment parts for the proposal effort on the refurbishment parts as soon as possible, can the Government provide the priced BOM for the refurbishment of chassis to the EMD configuration? This data has been identified as currently available at ANAD, but could not be released without TACOM approval.	The USG intends to release a draft Parts List. The draft Parts List will contain notional prices from the FY15 Army Master Data File (AMDF) which are subject to change.	2/23/2015
40	Industry Day			If ANAD exceeds the ceiling of hours to perform the refurbishment tasks identified in the RFP, who covers the labor hour overrun?	ANAD has indicated a willingness to FFP the refurbishment tasks which will be funded by the Program Office. Changes or increases to the FFP agreement would need to be covered by a separate P3 Direct Sale agreement between ANAD and the contractor.	2/23/2015
41	Industry Day			Will JAB technical manuals be combined overall system manual or separate manuals for the chassis and Launcher? If this is a combined manual, will the Government provide all of the source data in electronic format for the chassis manuals? Will the Government or the contractor be responsible to make sure the chassis part of the TM is the latest configuration/data? Will the contractor be responsible for Validation/Verification of the chassis part of the manual?	The Government intent is as follows: The operator manual shall be a combined manual with chassis and launcher information contained within the one manual. The maintenance manual will reference out to the chassis manual for any areas of the chassis that have not been modified. If the contractor has modified chassis components the sections of the manual that reference those components need to be contained in the JAB maintenance manual and not referenced out. The Government has chassis manual source data available that offerors may request access to after award. The manuals provided as GFI are the authoritative source. The contractor is responsible for validation of the entire JAB system, with emphasis on the new JAB unique components and any chassis modifications.	2/23/2015

POSTED #	Reference	Reference	Reference	Question / Comment	Answer	Date Posted to Web
42	Industry Day			Will the Government provide reliability data on the chassis for the contractor to develop an overall system level reliability estimate and growth plan?	No, the Mean Miles Between Operational Mission Failures (MMBOMF) requirement has been removed from the ATPD and the contractor is responsible to meet the Mean Cycles Between Operational Mission Failure (MCBOMF) requirement. A new revision to the ATPD is forthcoming.	2/23/2015
43	Industry Day			In order for the contractor to be responsible for complete system performance and parts, a complete level II of level III TDP is required. Will the Government be providing a complete level II or level III TDP for the chassis as part of the RFP?	No, the Government will not be providing a complete level II or level III TDP. The Government will provide further clarification regarding contractor responsibility for system performance in the SoW.	2/23/2015
44	Industry Day			For those who participated in the JAB EMD phase, will a copy of the test results (draft or final) be provided prior to the release of the LRIP RFP	Yes, the Government intends to release the test report only to the respective EMD contractors prior to RFP release.	2/23/2015
45	Industry Day			During the proposal effort ANAD has identified a team to work with each contractor. We understand that this team is firewalled from the other contractor team(s). During this proposal process, will the ANAD contractor team be required to get TACOM approval for release of all information provided to the contractor?	ANAD will be required to get approval from the program office on all program related questions. Specific inquiries regarding ANAD skills and process that will be unique to individual contractors partnership with ANAD are not required to be staffed through PDM Bridging for approval.	2/23/2015
46	Industry Day			Is the government going to provide historical parts consumption rates for refurbishment of the chassis?	ANAD has historical data with average drop out factors that can be provided upon request.	2/23/2015
47	Section C		C.1.2.4	Is the ISP to be provided by the contractor to include support items for GFM hardware?	GFM spares will not be required by the contractor for the ISP.	2/23/2015
48	Section C		C.1.2.4	Is it the intent for the contractor to provide an ISP with each LRIP system delivered for PQT and other government tests/demos, or is the ISP for fielded units only?	The ISP is for fielded units only and required to maintain readiness for the first two years of fielding/operation. The ISP shall be for JAB unique items and any changes made to the legacy items not available through the supply system.	2/23/2015
49	Section C		C.20.1	Is the TSP to be provided for test inclusive of the ISP identified in C.1.2.4?	The TSP is separate from the ISP and will be provided to support all testing events. The ISP is for fielded units only.	2/23/2015
50	Section C		C.4.3.4	Does the SOWM and the CDR need to take place at the contractor's production facility or can they take place at an alternate contractor facility?	The intent of the meetings are to review the contractor's production facility. Any requests for alternate locations need to be submitted with justification following contract award.	2/23/2015
51	Section C		General Section C	Throughout Section C the document refers to "JAB" without identifying if this pertains to the JAB System, JAB Chassis or the BLM? Please clarify throughout the document.	The USG will review section C and make updates as required.	2/23/2015

POSTED #	Reference	Reference	Reference	Question / Comment	Answer	Date Posted to Web
52	Section C		C.11.10	This requirement only applies when specified in the contract. Will this be included in the contract? If so, does this apply to the JAB System, JAB Chassis or the BLM?	Regarding Weld Layout Drawings, the USG intends to review and approve weld layout drawings for the JAB BLM and any components added to or modified on the M1A1 chassis. The SoW will be clarified.	2/23/2015
53	Section C		C.18.2.1, 18.2.1.1, 18.2.1.2	The due dates in these 3 paragraphs do not seem consistent.	The USG will review the dates provided in sections C.18.2.1, 18.2.1.1, 18.2.1.2 and update as required.	2/23/2015
54	ATPD	5.3.1		Paragraph 3.1 references Annex E for the 72 hour mission equipment list. This appears to be in error and it should be Annex G.	Paragraph 5.3.1 will be updated to state Annex G in a forthcoming revision.	2/23/2015
55	SOW	C.9.5.2		Paragraph calls out 29 CFR 1910.1200 which seems to be not consistent with the specification called out in the ATPD, paragraph 3.12.13.	Section 3.12.13. of the ATPD is being updated and the reference to 29 CFR 1910.1200 in the SOW paragraph C.9.5.2 has been removed.	2/23/2015
56				What level of CDR will be required? For those contractors involved in the EMD phase, will it be a delta CDR from EMD or will it be for the complete design?	The Government intends to have the contractor provide a full CDR for the complete design, focusing on all changes since EMD CDR including contractor testing results, if any.	2/23/2015
57	SOW	C.18.2.1, C.18.2.1.3		Requirement conflict in Draft Section C - Paragraph C.18.2.1 states the refurbished JAB EMD prototypes will be delivered no later than 180 DACA. Paragraph C.18.2.1.3 states the refurbished JAB EMD prototype will be delivered no later than 9 months DACA for the LFT&E. Need clarification on the delivery requirement for the LFT&E.	The delivery timeline stated in paragraph C.18.2.1 (180 DACA) reflects the Government's intended schedule. Paragraph C.18.2.1.3 will be updated.	2/23/2015
58	SOW	C.6.1		Regarding the best practices identified for CM work in section C, paragraph C.6.1, is there a preferred source of these best practices, other than those outlined in section C, paragraph C.6.1, that bidder should employ in creating their offers and estimates?	The CM Standards cited are for reference only. MIL-STD-3046 is the latest Army standard with EIA 649-1 being the latest DOD standard . EIA-649-1 is the latest to be approved and is anticipated to replace MIL-STD-3046.	2/23/2015
59	SOW	C.12.6		Paragraph C.12.6 - Warranty. Does the Government intend to pursue commercial component Warranty claims directly to the commercial component supplier? Will the contractor have involvement in these claims?	The USG intends for the contractor to manage component warranties and claims. The warranty information in Section C is to prevent inadvertent erroneous disposition of faulty components during the warranty period.	2/23/2015
60	ATPD	Page 8	2.2.2	The ATPD requires conformance to specification SC-X15110F for all cables. This is an existing GDLS specification that applies to all of the existing M1A1 cables. This specification contains requirements for connectors that are not consistent with use of some COTS hardware even though the COTS hardware and associated mating cables, will meet all of the other system requirements. Can the existing TACOM specification 12491506 be used as an alternative to this specification?	File number 12491506 is not released at this time as an approved TACOM specification, therefore the JAB ATPD will retain the reference SCX15110F for paragraph 3.9.1.4 of the JAB ATPD.	4/14/2015

POSTED #	Reference	Reference	Reference	Question / Comment	Answer	Date Posted to Web
61	ATPD	Page 13	3.7.2	The last sentence states; "Launch and retrieve operations shall be conducted within 5 minutes of main engine start." Does this mean initiate launch within 5 minutes of the end of the appropriate chassis warm up procedure?	No, The ATPD has been modified to reference the HPU rather than main engine startup. The details will be shown in a forthcoming ATPD release.  Paragraph 3.7.2 draft language is as follows: A Hydraulic fluid warm up period of no longer than 30 minutes after HPU starting is permitted for temperatures equal to or below 30 degrees F. For temperatures above 30 degrees F, launch and retrieve operations shall be conducted within 5 minutes of HPU starting.	4/14/2015
62	ATPD		3.11.2.2	Please clarify what sections of MIL-STD-810 pertain specifically to the requirement in this paragraph of the ATPD.	The ATPD has been updated to refer to the Method 526 for Rail Impact testing. The details will be provided in a forthcoming ATPD release.	4/14/2015
63	Section C		C.6	How will the contractor be notified of configuration changes or proposed configuration changes to the chassis? The contractor will need to participate in review of proposed configuration updates that may impact the JAB system.	The JAB PMO will be a member of the applicable configuration control boards to monitor and accept/reject configuration changes applicable to the JAB system. If necessary, the contractor will be notified for discussions regarding configuration changes that may effect GFE.	4/14/2015
64	SOW	C.18.2.1, C.18.3		C.18.2.1 states "...the contractor shall reconfigure both of the EMD JAB prototypes... These vehicles will account for the two units...required for the test as described in C.20.5 C.20.5 is the LFT&E Testing of two units. However, C.18.3 (Log Demo) states one of the EMD vehicles will support the Log Demo. Is the expectation that one of the units will be shared between live fire testing and the Log Demo? JAB schedule in the Industry Day briefing charts have these activities running in parallel.	No. Section C will be revised.	4/14/2015
65	SOW	C.6.5		Paragraph C.6.5 - Configuration Control / Change Management. Is it the Governments intent to review and disposition (approve/reject) all engineering changes after PCA, or is the Government requesting such review and disposition only on Government directed engineering changes? What timeframe should the contractor assume for disposition?	Yes, USG will approve all changes, regardless of who initiates them after PCA.  Timeframe for disposition will vary based on Class and Criticality. Details and definitions will be provided in the RFP.	4/14/2015
66	Sec C	C 18.2.1.2	Not Fully Functional Vehicle	Is this vehicle included in the quantity of up to 13?	No, this asset is in addition to the up to 13 LRIP	4/14/2015
67	Sec C	C.20.6		Will the government provide "Solid Model Design and System Level Finite-Element (FE) Models" of the chassis and bridge?	No	4/14/2015

<b>POSTED #</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Question / Comment</b>	<b>Answer</b>	<b>Date Posted to Web</b>
68	Exec Summary	A.4.1		Executive Summary, Paragraph A.4.1 - Is the modification of the Abrams chassis for JAB launcher integration included in the tasks under "Chassis Assembly Effort" of Att 0003? As we understand the currently planned method for cost evaluation, the potential exists for the Army to select for award a technically inferior product with higher overall program cost based solely on the amount of scope subcontracted to ANAD given the evaluation credit for such scope. Is this correct?	No. The contractor may establish a separate direct sales agreement with ANAD for any modifications the contractor desires for ANAD to perform.  The United States Government intends to award the JAB LRIP contract to the offeror whose proposal provides the best value to the United States Government.	4/14/2015
69	Sec C	C.15		Does the USG plan to issue a JAB Security Classification Guide to cover the Cybersecurity requirement?	The Government has a Security Classification Guide which will be released with the RFP.	4/14/2015