

Past Performance Questionnaire

An offeror under the above RFP has identified the following contract for Past Performance evaluation. The offeror has also identified you as a point of contact for information about the contract and their performance.

Contract Number:

Contractor Name and Address:

The offeror has provided this information in response to an RFP for the production of the ROWPU. The 600 GPH ROWPU is a mobile water purifying system that supplies potable water for troops in the field and potable water for support equipment. There are three models: Type I, trailer mounted; Type II, skid mounted ROWPU with two forklift pockets; Type III skid mounted with four forklift pockets. The RFP requires the manufacture to produce test and production units. It also requires support to government test, training, provisioning, and technical manuals.

Please complete the attached questionnaire to be used in evaluation past performance. Upon completion, please submit to: petermad@taacom.army.mil. If you are unable to e-mail your response please call Debbie Peterman @ Commercial (586) 574-6360 or DSN 786-6360.

It is requested that you provide the completed questionnaire to the above e-mail address ASAP but no later than 25 days prior to the solicitation closing date. . Please respond using RED COLORED type, in the space provided.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

1. Name and Title/Position:
2. Phone Number:
3. Organization and Mailing Address:
4. Describe your role in administration of the contract for which the offeror cited you as a reference:
5. Contract Number:
6. Contract Award date:
7. Contract Completion date:

Past Performance Questionnaire

8. Type of Contract (circle the appropriate response):
 - a. Firm Fixed Price
 - b. Other Fixed Price (please specify)
 - c. Cost-plus-fixed-fee
 - d. Other Cost type contract (please specify)

9. Describe the contract requirement and it's relevance to this solicitation.

10. Provide the type and quantity of hardware or the nature of services provided, and the dollar amount.

11. Did the contract require use of a technical data package or a build to print specification?

For the following questions, circle the number that most accurately describes the Contractor's performance on the contract listed above.

4=ALWAYS, 3=MOST OF THE TIME, 2=SOMETIMES, 1=RARELY, N/A

TECHNICAL:

1. Did the contractor comply with the terms and conditions of the contract?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

2. Did the contractor demonstrate the ability to overcome program, technical or schedule difficulties?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

Past Performance Questionnaire

3. Was the contractor's workforce adequate in terms of qualifications to perform the work required?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

4. Did the contractor develop, train, and use the talents of key personnel?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

5. Did the contractor maintain adequate control of subcontractors?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

6. If the contract contained the clause "Utilization of Small Business Concerns" FAR 52.219-8, to what extent did they comply with the clause?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

Past Performance Questionnaire

7. Was technical data provided (for example, technical manuals, drawings, provisioning data) of good quality and did it meet contract requirements?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

8. Did the offeror comply with the specification?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

9. If waivers and deviations were required where they reasonable?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

10. If the contractor required changes/corrections to the TDP or build to print specification, were they reasonable and timely?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

Past Performance Questionnaire

DELIVERY:

1. Did the offeror meet the original contract schedule?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

2. Were there any terminations of the contract, in whole or in part?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:

1. Was the contractor responsive and reasonable in negotiating contract changes and modifications?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

2. Was the contractor timely in responding to Government requests?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments:

3. Did the contractor demonstrate a positive "partnership" relationship with the Government?

1 2 3 4 N/A

Comments: