

**AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT**

1. Contract ID Code  
Firm Fixed Price

Page 1 Of 3

2. Amendment/Modification No. 0001	3. Effective Date 2014MAR27	4. Requisition/Purchase Req No. SEE SCHEDULE	5. Project No. (If applicable)
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

6. Issued By U.S. ARMY CONTRACTING COMMAND VINCE DUFF WARREN, MICHIGAN 48397-5000 HTTP://CONTRACTING.TACOM.ARMY.MIL  EMAIL: VINCENT.DUFF@US.ARMY.MIL	Code W56HZV	7. Administered By (If other than Item 6)	Code
--	----------------	---	------

8. Name And Address Of Contractor (No., Street, City, County, State and Zip Code)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9A. Amendment Of Solicitation No. W56HZV-13-R-0004
		9B. Dated (See Item 11) 2014FEB27
	<input type="checkbox"/>	10A. Modification Of Contract/Order No.
		10B. Dated (See Item 13)
Code	Facility Code	

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS**

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers

is extended,  is not extended. 2014APR30

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 2 signed copies of the amendments; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. **FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.** If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting And Appropriation Data (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS  
It Modifies The Contract/Order No. As Described In Item 14.**

<input type="checkbox"/>	A. This Change Order is Issued Pursuant To: The Contract/Order No. In Item 10A.	The Changes Set Forth In Item 14 Are Made In
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. The Above Numbered Contract/Order Is Modified To Reflect The Administrative Changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) Set Forth In Item 14, Pursuant To The Authority of FAR 43.103(b).	
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. This Supplemental Agreement Is Entered Into Pursuant To Authority Of:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)	

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor  is not,  is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the Issuing Office.

14. Description Of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

SEE SECOND PAGE FOR DESCRIPTION

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. Name And Title Of Signer (Type or print)		16A. Name And Title Of Contracting Officer (Type or print)	
15B. Contractor/Offeror	15C. Date Signed	16B. United States Of America By _____ /SIGNED/ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. Date Signed
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b> <b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W56HZV-13-R-0004 <b>MOD/AMD</b> 0001	<b>Page</b> 2 <b>of</b> 3
---------------------------	---	---------------------------

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Buyer Name: VINCE DUFF  
Buyer Office Symbol/Telephone Number: CCTA-ADT-A/(586)282-4255  
Type of Contract: Firm Fixed Price  
Kind of Contract: Supply Contracts and Priced Orders

\*\*\* End of Narrative A0000 \*\*\*

1. The purpose of this Amendment 0001 to RFP w56HZV-13-R-0004 is to extend the period of time that this RFP remains on the street for proposals by changing the time the solicitation is open,

from:

27 February 2014 through 31 March 2014

to:

27 February 2014 through 30 April 2014

to give industry additional time to respond to this procurement.

2. Additionally Attachment 0005 is hereby added to the RFP to address sixteen questions from industry with sixteen answers from the Government.

3. No other changes are made as a result of this Amendment 0001.

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE A0002 \*\*\*

**CONTINUATION SHEET****Reference No. of Document Being Continued****Page 3 of 3**

PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-13-R-0004

MOD/AMD 0001

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

SECTION J - LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

<u>List of</u> <u>Addenda</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number</u> <u>of Pages</u>	<u>Transmitted By</u>
Attachment 0005	QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS REGARDING THE RFP.	27-MAR-2014	005	DATA



-1 W=1 OLIVE GREEN 11153 190669  
-1 W=1 DESERT SAND 11153 129641  
-2 W=2 NATURAL (BEIGE) 11153 -  
-2 W=2 OLIVE GREEN 11153 192864  
-2 W=2 DESERT SAND 11153 157749

Section C of 5-4-7029-3, -4

64. On drawing 5-4-7225, revision H, sheet 1 of 1, dated 8 Aug 00, add the Distribution Statement X and Warning Statement. In zone D4, note 3, line 1, change from MIL-F-21840 to A-A-55126. In zone A4, under Part Number column, change from 1000-081-200-0599 to 198484, from 1000-081-106-0599 to 192833, from 1000-081-021-0599 to 194493, from 2000-081-200-0599 to 198454, from 2000-081-106-0599 to 192824, and from 2000-081-106-0599 to 192824, respectively. Under Name and Address column, add zip code 03108. In zone C4, note 3, line 1, change from MIL-F-21840 to A-A-55126.

What are the correct vendor part numbers to be used?

A4: The part numbers that were listed in the dash 1 and 2 Section C's were correct. Where there was a missing part number, that has now been filled in with the appropriate number, which is inactive due to low demand but can still be ordered. All Section C's have been updated in the TDPL accordingly.

Q5. In section C, for 5-4-7209-2, line 5 hh, for drawing 5-4-7217, states to revise vendors part number for -1 part from 15103 to LF101GB180S0075000 and the -3 part from 20473 to LF101GB130S0075000. In Section C of 5-4-7029-3, line 62 for drawing 5-4-7217, it is states to add distribution, warning and destruction notices, revise cage code, change supplier name, and change vendor part number for -3 part from 20473 to LF101WB130F0075000, -2 part from 20472 to LF101TB180F0075000 and -1 part from 15103 to LF101GB180F0075000. What are the correct vendor part numbers to be used?

A5: Section C for 5-4-7209-3 was updated to match other Section C's, so that all are correct now in the TDPL.

Q6. In section C of for 5-4-7029-1, line 5ii, 5jj, and 5kk, for drawing 5-4-7222 states to delete note 3 in its entirety, update vendor cage code, and update vendor address. In Section C for 5-4-7029-3 and -4, for drawing 5-4-7222 states to add distribution, warning and destruction notices, update vendor cage code, vendor address, and to revise note 3, line 2 from Accelerator to Hardener, Adhesive. Is note 3 deleted per 5-4-7029-1 or revised IAW 5-4-7029-3, & -4?

A6: Note 3 on drawing 5-4-7222 is deleted per all updated Section C's.

Q7. In section C, 5-4-7029-1, & -2, line 5y, for PL5-4-7069 states to update cage code and part numbers from 13249 two places to 13249M two places and add cage code 55926. In section C 5-4-7029-3, -4, line 41 states to delete both sheets of the parts list. Does drawing 5-4-7069 need to be updated to remove the SEE SEPARATE PARTS LIST from above the title block and if this also applies to all TDPs?

A7: All Section C's will now make an exception to PL5-4-7069, changing part number 13249 to 13249M and adding the CAGE code for Saint Gobain.

Q8. In section C, 5-4-7029-1, line 5g for drawing 17-2-1005 states to revise the material specification in note 1 from QQ-A-200/8 to ASTM-B221. In section C of 5-4-7029-3, -4, line 18 states add distribution, warning, and destruction notices, and in note 1, line 2, replace QQ-A-200/8 with SAEAMS-QQ-A-200-8 and delete QQ-A-250/11. What is the correct material specification callout?

A8: All Section C's in the TDPL now replace QQ-A-200/8 with ASTM B221 and delete QQ-A-250/11.

Q9. In section C, of 5-4-7029-1, line 5b, for drawing 17-2-0777 states to change MIL-STD-100 to ASMEY14.34M. In section C of 5-4-7029-4, line 7a states to delete this drawing entirely. Does the deletion only pertain to the -4 TDP or to all TDPs?

A9: Drawing 17-2-0777 applies only to the outside doors (dash 1 and 2), so this drawing should be deleted from TDPLs for the dash 3 and 4 configurations. Section C in the TDPL for the dash 3 has been updated accordingly.

Q10. In section C for 5-4-7029-3, line 5, it is stated that the packaging is to be at level B, and refers to Section D of the Solicitation for Special packaging instructions for this procurement are define by the approved NOR 290-0096-001. This was not included with any of the TDPs. Will the Government provide the NOR for the packaging requirements for the 5-4-7029-3?

A10: The paragraph referring to packaging was deleted from Section C for dash 3 configuration as all packaging requirements are to be found in Section D. The initial release of SPI P5-4-7029-3 and the NOR that created it (290-0096-001) were provided as part of TDPL 20120327 dated 26 April 2012 (Bob Sparks can verify whether the SPI was uploaded into FedBizOpps).

Q11. Regarding First Article Test (FAT) required on 3 each of P/N 5-4-7029-1, 2, 3, 4:

Q11a. E.5.f.ii Requires new FAT to be performed at contractor cost for any production lapse of 90 days. Are there any foreseen production lapses in contract delivery orders placed by the Government? Are production lapses only those considered as caused by the Government orders versus those caused by the contractor?

A11a. For the first question, ACC- Warren is not in a position to answer this question at this time. For the second question, a lapse in production is a lapse in production for any reason, govt vs. contractor. This does not mean a new FAT is automatic and the need for a

new FAT is based on the current circumstances. This is mostly applied for a long lapse or personnel have been redeployed.

Q11b. E.5.f.iii Requires new FAT to be performed at contractor cost for any change in place of performance. Would the Government consider modifying the language to allow the contractor to fabricate and assemble at different contractor operated facilities following same processes?

A11b. No. past experience has shown a change in facilities and personnel are key factors for failures.

Q11c. There is conflicting language between E.11.2 and I.91.e in regards to the status of FAT samples. E.11.2 states that all FAT samples are considered destructive and may not be used for fulfillment of contract quantity. I.91.e allows the use of FAT to be used as part of production quantities. Please clarify.

A11c. The short answer is there is no destructive testing on the end item. This item would be eligible for use as a delivery to the USG. However, the contractor should not charge the USG twice, once for FAT and again when the item is used to satisfy delivery orders. However, there is destructive testing on the St. Gobain fabric since it has to go through production lot testing. Any component which is destroyed in any type testing triggers the addition of the destructive test clause, E.11.2.

Q12. Regarding Production Lot Testing, E.9 Is Lab Performance Certification required only if ECBC is not chosen as Agent/Live Simulant Test Facility?

A12. E-9 is required should there be a material composition change. At this point, no agent testing is included since the material will be the same as is currently being used for other contracts. This item is required to be toxicologically tested but the USG did not require this for this contract for FAT. Instead, the contractor is only required to do lot testing on the fabric, see I-91. This does not require a certified lab.

Q13. Will the Government provide an extension to the bid response to two weeks after the answers are provided? This time is required to get correct quotes based on the responses to vendor part numbers.

A13 The Contracting Officer has decided to extend the solicitation for another month to allow industry to respond to this RFP. The new Closing date for this RFP is 30 April 2014.

Q.14 The vendor for the source controlled fabric indicates that FAT for the fabric itself is not required based on current testing completed by US Army. Please confirm that this is correct, as long as we have the qualification testing reports for the lots of material to be used.

A.14 The Government did not request agent testing for this item. For the St. Gobain material, we do require they supply complete and properly documented conformance lot testing as stated in the solicitation. We understand St. Gobain does their own internal testing but experience has shown it does not match up with the requirements in the specification. The USG will accept properly documented lot conformance testing data from St. Gobain. We include a government test lab which does the required testing and reports their findings in a manner which is useable.

Q.15. MIL-STD-1168 is believed to be an obsolete standard pertaining to ammunition. Is that the correct standard to be referenced for packaging? If not please provide the correct reference.

A.15 The Government uses this standard as information on how to construct a lot number and obsolete or not, it works for us.

Q.16 Mr Duff there are 4 NSN numbers associated with this solicitation "Which is different colors" does that mean you have to send all 4 to the lab to be tested?

A.16 The Government agrees to require one FAT for one part number rather than an identical FAT for each of the four part numbers.

(end of questions and answers)