

**AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT**1. Contract ID Code  
Firm-Fixed-Price

Page 1 Of 10

2. Amendment/Modification No.

0003

3. Effective Date

2012MAR06

4. Requisition/Purchase Req No.

SEE SCHEDULE

5. Project No. (If applicable)

6. Issued By

U.S. ARMY CONTRACTING COMMAND  
CCTA-ATAF  
STEVE HEPNER (586)282-3503  
WARREN, MICHIGAN 48397-5000  
HTTP://CONTRACTING.TACOM.ARMY.MIL

EMAIL: STEVE.HEPNER@US.ARMY.MIL

Code

W56HZV

7. Administered By (If other than Item 6)

Code

SCD

PAS

ADP PT

8. Name And Address Of Contractor (No., Street, City, County, State and Zip Code)

9A. Amendment Of Solicitation No.

W56HZV-11-R-0329

9B. Dated (See Item 11)

2012JAN26

10A. Modification Of Contract/Order No.

10B. Dated (See Item 13)

Code

Facility Code

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS** The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended,  is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:  
(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 2 signed copies of the amendments; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. **FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.** If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting And Appropriation Data (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS**

It Modifies The Contract/Order No. As Described In Item 14.

- A. This Change Order is Issued Pursuant To: \_\_\_\_\_ The Changes Set Forth In Item 14 Are Made In \_\_\_\_\_  
The Contract/Order No. In Item 10A.
- B. The Above Numbered Contract/Order Is Modified To Reflect The Administrative Changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) Set Forth In Item 14, Pursuant To The Authority of FAR 43.103(b).
- C. This Supplemental Agreement Is Entered Into Pursuant To Authority Of: \_\_\_\_\_
- D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor  is not,  is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the Issuing Office.

14. Description Of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

SEE SECOND PAGE FOR DESCRIPTION

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. Name And Title Of Signer (Type or print)

16A. Name And Title Of Contracting Officer (Type or print)

15B. Contractor/Offeror

15C. Date Signed

16B. United States Of America

16C. Date Signed

(Signature of person authorized to sign)

By \_\_\_\_\_ /SIGNED/  
(Signature of Contracting Officer)

NSN 7540-01-152-8070

30-105-02

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)

PREVIOUS EDITIONS UNUSABLE

Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

**CONTINUATION SHEET****Reference No. of Document Being Continued**

Page 2 of 10

PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-11-R-0329

MOD/AMD 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION  
JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) EXECUTIVE SUMMARY  
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT (EMD) PHASE  
RFP: W56HZV-11-R-0329

While not incorporated into the Executive Summary, additional JLTV information is contained at the JLTV webpage at [http://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltv\\_emd/jltv\\_emd.htm](http://contracting.tacom.army.mil/majorsys/jltv_emd/jltv_emd.htm)

## JLTV PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The JLTV Family of Vehicles (FoV) is a materiel solution intended to fill capability gaps identified by the Combat Developer in the Functional Systems Analysis and Functional Needs Analysis. The approved Initial Capabilities Document, dated 22 November 2006, describes the modernization, recapitalization, and transformation requirements for modernizing the light tactical vehicle fleet from the present to 2020 and beyond to support current and emerging National Military Strategy (NMS). Based upon the Technology Development phase results, the Analysis of Alternatives concluded that the JLTV program is the best option to fulfill the capability gaps. The Capabilities Development Document (CDD) requires the JLTV program to develop two variants, a two-seat and a four-seat, to regain transportability and restore balance in the "Iron Triangle" of protection, payload and performance.

## Cost target:

All interested JLTV participants must balance affordability with the achievement of the Iron Triangle of protection, payload and performance. The Average Unit Manufacturing Cost (AUMC) target (see section C.1.3 of Statement of Work for definition of AUMC) for the base vehicle configurations across the Family of Vehicles (FoV) in production is \$250K (FY11 constant dollars), excluding B-kit Armor and all other kits (as identified in the Purchase Description (PD)). The EMD Source Selection evaluation for the Program Management Sub-factor, Unit Manufacturing Cost, will consider the offerors proposed JLTV General Purpose (GP) vehicle at a target Production UMC of \$260k based on the production quantities and assumptions provided in Attachment KK. At the time of the Production source selection, performance against tiered Production PD requirements within affordability targets will likely be a key consideration for the award decision. The cost target for the B-kit Armor is \$65k (FY11 constant dollars). The JLTV EMD PD defines all of the capabilities desired at this cost target. The following are some areas identified by the program office as potential design cost drivers:

- Cab design
- Power generation
- Vehicle control & diagnostics
- Suspension

## EMD PHASE OVERVIEW

The JLTV is comprised of two variants based on a common vehicle automotive platform, a two-seat and a four-seat variant, and a companion trailer (JLTV-T). The two-seat variant has one base vehicle platform, the Utility (JLTV-UTL). The four-seat variant has two base vehicle platforms, the General Purpose (JLTV-GP) and the Close Combat Weapons Carrier (JLTV-CCWC). Each base vehicle platform will be configured as a Mission Package Configuration through the installation of Mission Packages, as defined in Annex K of the JLTV Purchase Description (PD) (Attachment 1).

## JLTV Mission Package Configurations:

- Utility (JLTV-UTL)
- Close Combat Weapons Carrier (JLTV-CCWC)
- General Purpose (JLTV-GP)
- Heavy Guns Carrier (JLTV-HGC)

All mission packages will be designed to maximize commonality while meeting the specific needs of the user. Configurations will be further tailored with a set of mission-specific components (C4I, armor, weapons) to achieve requirements of all mission packages.

The JLTV FoV will be designed to achieve a lower life cycle ownership cost by maximizing commonality at the system, subsystem, essential Line Replaceable Unit (LRU), and maintenance-significant spare and repair levels within mission packages and across each configuration of the JLTV FoV. Commonality is characterized by common repair parts, tools, training, system design, maintenance procedures and sources of supply. Commonality reduces training and logistics burdens for all services that acquire and operate JLTV vehicles. Design decisions that reduce unit manufacturing costs without considering factors that impact Operations & Support (O&S) cost (e.g. reliability, maintenance ratio, logistics footprint, maintainability, etc. - see Production award and Potential Criteria) may be viewed unfavorably at the time of production contract award.

The EMD contracts will provide for fabrication, assembly, integration, testing and test support, and related requirements in accordance with the contract and the JLTV Purchase Description. Each JLTV Contractor shall deliver 22 prototype vehicles. Additional deliverables include ballistic structures, armor coupons, additional test assets, and contractor furnished kits, trailers and data requirements. Proposals will be due as stated on block 9 of the SF33 RFP. Contract award is currently planned for June of 2012.

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b>	<b>Page 3 of 10</b>
	PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-11-R-0329	MOD/AMD 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

**EMD AFFORDABILITY**

Any proposal received in response to this RFP that proposes a total price in excess of \$65,000,000 for the base contract (CLINs 0001 through 0014) will be considered unaffordable.

**EMD ACQUISITION STRATEGY**

A full and open competition utilizing best value tradeoff procedures will be used for the award of a contract to up to three offerors. All proposal submission requirements are located in Section L. A firm fixed price base contract(s) will be awarded. The contract(s) will be incrementally funded.

The EMD Phase of the JLTV program is structured so that all threshold requirements of the EMD Purchase Description (PD) should be achievable and affordable at or below the Production and Deployment phase Average Unit Manufacturing Cost (AUMC) of \$250K (FY11 dollars) based on the production quantities and assumptions provided in Attachment 6 of the Contract. The EMD contract will require winning contractors to consider affordability within the JLTV design and achieve the Production and Deployment AUMC, while maximizing performance in the EMD PD.

For the EMD Phase, tiering references in the EMD PD are provided for information purposes only and provide guidance on current priorities. For EMD source selection, Technical evaluation will consist of two equally weighted Sub-factors: Performance and Maturity. The Performance Sub-factor consists of selected high priority threshold requirements from Tiers 1 and 2 against which the Government is evaluating an offerors proposed level of performance. In EMD Source Selection, no credit (other than a reduced risk assessment of achieving threshold) will be given for proposed performance above threshold or at objective levels.

**PRODUCTION AND DEPLOYMENT PHASE ACQUISITION STRATEGY**

**Production Competition:**

The programs production phase strategy will follow normal acquisition policies and processes, which is to conduct full and open competition absent proper justification to restrict competition. If the Governments Market Research and other analyses support it, a limited source justification under FAR 6.302-1 may be pursued presuming the Government can determine that additional sources could not meet the Governments needs. Whether restricted or not, it is expected that the potential production prime contractors will demonstrate capabilities and maturity levels required for Production. The JLTV acquisition strategy pre-supposes successful achievement of EMD testing or equivalent and appropriate risk mitigation to achieve a Milestone C decision. Therefore, the Production Phase test profile is expected to be scaled to mitigate the remaining post Milestone C risks and complete mandated testing, and will not duplicate the extensive EMD testing. Accordingly, during the source selection for the single award of the Production and Deployment Phase Contract, any offeror proposing JLTV vehicle solutions reflecting untested and/or un-validated designs, or only partially tested designs, will be evaluated with higher risk.

The final Capability Production Document (CPD) for JLTV Production will be developed during EMD and the Production contract PD will be derived from it. The tiering of the EMD PD represents current priorities and is the most current indication of how performance compliance would likely be considered and used during source selection for the Production contract award.

**Development of EMD Tiered Threshold Purchase Description (PD) Requirements Rationale**

- Tier 1: Definition: CDD Tier 1 (Key Performance Parameters (KPPs) & Key System Attributes (KSAs))
- Tier 2: Definition: CDD Tier 2s, Regulatory/Safety, Operational Effective/Operational Suitability (OE/OS) related
- Tier 3: Definition: Remaining CDD Tier 3 & 4s
- Tier 4: Definition: TD Lessons Learned, Derived Reqts (Crew, Maintainer, & System functionality)
- Tier 5: Definition: Supporting Reqts, Low priority derived

**Threshold Requirements Tier Relationships and Expectations:**

For the Production contract source selection, it is expected that threshold Tier 1 requirements will be considered as slightly more important than threshold Tier 2 requirements, which will be more important than threshold Tier 3 requirements, which will be slightly more important than threshold Tier 4 requirements, which will be significantly more important than threshold Tier 5 requirements. For all tiers, the lowest performance risk will be associated with offerors who have validated requirements during EMD (through inspection, test, analysis, or certification). Untested and/or un-validated designs, or only partially tested designs, will be evaluated with higher risk.

**Tier 1 Guidance:**

For the Production proposal, it is anticipated that offerors will be required to propose meeting all threshold Tier 1 requirements outlined in the EMD PD. EMD validated performance is preferred but not essential in order to be considered for award of the Production contract, however failure to validate any Tier 1 requirement may result in high risk to be viable for a Production award and for the Program to obtain a Milestone C decision.

**Tier 2 Guidance:**

**CONTINUATION SHEET****Reference No. of Document Being Continued**

Page 4 of 10

PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-11-R-0329

MOD/AMD 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

For the Production proposal, it is desired that offerors propose meeting all threshold Tier 2 requirements outlined in this EMD PD. EMD validated performance is preferred but not essential in order to be considered for award of the Production contract. Failure to propose meeting a Tier 2 requirement may not necessarily result in exclusion from further consideration for the Production competition, however will be assessed as higher risk within this tier.

**Tier 3-5 Guidance:**

For the Production proposal, demonstrated threshold performance as validated during EMD test phase will be assessed with lower risk within each of these Tiers. Proposed threshold compliance of requirements within these tiers will likely become criteria for Production vehicle acceptance.

**Objectives Guidance:**

For the Production proposal, credit may be given on selected elements of the Production phase PD for objective level (or, in some cases, above threshold and up to Objective level) performance demonstrated during EMD test phase in areas such as Transportability, Mobility, Reliability, Payload, and Force Protection. The objective requirements are tiered in the EMD PD to communicate their relative value based on current priorities. The identification of objectives (or, in some cases, above threshold level and up to Objective level performance) subject to credit will be finalized by the Government at the time of Production RFP release.

**Production Award and Potential Criteria:**

For the initial Production contract, which is currently planned to be solicited in Fiscal Year 2015, the Government intends to award one firm fixed price contract consisting of a base three year Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) contract with an option of a five year multi-year contract for Full Rate Production (FRP). The contract will include a separately priced firm fixed option CLIN(s) for purchase of Technical Data Package(s) (TDP(s)) with appropriate data rights to allow for possible future competition for production as well as spares.

The Government hasn't finalized the evaluation criteria for the Production phase. It is anticipated that the following may be principal non-contract price determining factors and/or sub-factors for consideration in the production award:

- Compliance against the tiered Production contract Purchase Description.
- Manufacturing and Production Readiness Level in preparation for entering low rate production.
- Affordability of the base vehicle and B kit armor.
- Affordability of Operation & Sustainment cost (i.e. Lifecycle costs to include fuel costs).
- Supportability: With emphasis on reliability, maintenance ratio, and maturity of physical logistics products (i.e. training, instructions, and technical drawings).
- Reliability: Ability to demonstrate continued growth beyond the threshold requirement. The Government intends to conduct Reliability Qualification Testing (RQT) aimed at increasing reliability as part of LRIP test strategy.
- Logistics Footprint based upon spares, support equipment and tasks to support the equipment.
- Commonality: Extent of commonality within JLTV FoV and other tactical vehicles currently within the DOD inventory.
- Maintainability: Ability to return vehicle to mission capable status in minimal time and effort.

**Production and Deployment Phase Market Research (non-EMD Vendors):**

In the event that a company not receiving an EMD award wishes to proceed at its own risk and at its own expense, it should notify the Government of its intentions to pursue this solution within 30 days of the EMD contract award notifications. Failure to do so may place any company at risk of not being competitive for Production source selection. Non-EMD vendors will need to adhere to the Government's EMD test strategy and as such may need to reach agreement with Government test facilities and evaluators on the execution of testing and/or acceptability of test data. Failure to coordinate test locations and procedures with U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) may result in test data not being considered during the Production source selection. Non-EMD vendors will be expected to perform, at a minimum, all of the same testing in the same manner with the same hardware quantities as the EMD vendors. In addition, any non-EMD vendor will be responsible for acquiring all equipment (including equipment referred to as GFE in Attachment 36 of this solicitation) necessary to perform testing. This testing will require all of the same test samples and contractor required kits and Basic Issue Items (BII) as specified in JLTV contract.

**Test requirements that can be performed at Government or Private test site locations:**

- Blast testing can be performed at either a Government location such as Aberdeen Test Center (space permitting) or a private test site. A private site will require advance review and approval. Monitoring during testing will be required. A non-EMD vendors test plan should be consistent to that of the EMD award contractors and shall include Coupons, Hulls, ballistic prototypes and prototype blast

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b> <b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W56HZV-11-R-0329 <b>MOD/AMD</b> 0003	<b>Page</b> 5 <b>of</b> 10
---------------------------	--	----------------------------

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

shots.

- Performance testing can be performed at either a Government location (preferred) such as Aberdeen Test Center (space permitting) or a private site. A private site will require advance review and approval. Monitoring during testing will be required to be performed in order to insure the safety of Government personnel prior to entrance into the Limited User Test (LUT). The completion of this testing must be done and test results provided to ATEC in sufficient time to secure safety releases prior to the entrance into the LUT. Failure to secure an ATEC safety release and failure to provide sufficient assets (IAW Attachment 37) to conduct the LUT within program schedule would preclude a non-EMD vendor from being considered for Production source selection.

- Reliability testing may be performed at either Government or private test sites. A private site will require advance review and approval. In the event that a non-EMD vendor chooses to conduct reliability testing at a private test site, testing must be performed IAW the JLTV Operational Terrain (Attachment 1, Annex H). All Test Incident Reports (TIRs) generated during testing will have to be scored and assessed by the Government. Prior to start of the LUT, the non-EMD vendor must demonstrate the minimum Reliability value of 1680 Mean Miles Between Hardware Mission Failure (MMBHMFF).

Below lays out statutory and regulatory test requirements that are required to be performed at approved Government locations in order to produce valid test data for Production contract consideration:

- LUT: This mandatory evaluation will be performed at a Government location (see Attachment 37) for the duration of approximately 90 days and would be subject to all the requirements outlined in the EMD contract to include, but is not limited to: quantity of test samples, Field Service Representatives (FSRs), Spare Parts, LUT Training, and operator manuals. For communication testing during the LUT, all vehicles will be required to have an Interim Authority To Test (IATT) prior to the event. In order to receive an IATT, the Baseline and Intermediate Information Assurance (IA) Scans in accordance with those described in Section C.7.3.3 of this RFP must be completed.

- Information Assurance (IA) Scans: Non-EMD vendors will need to coordinate with the Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC), to complete Baseline and Intermediate IA Scans in accordance with those described in Section C.7.3.3 of this RFP. These scans may be conducted in a System Integration Lab (SIL). The Final IA Scan must be executed on a complete vehicle. If any vulnerabilities are found, the vendor will need to update the hardware list, update the high and low level architectural designs, and develop a Plan Of Actions & Milestones (POA&M), addressing the non-compliant (NC), non-applicable (N/A), and Inherited items identified on the Final IA Scan Scorecard. The POA&M will also need to describe how all CAT I vulnerabilities were corrected, how all CAT II vulnerabilities were corrected or mitigated, and if/how all CAT III vulnerabilities were corrected or mitigated. These efforts will need to be completed 90 days prior to the LUT in order to allow time for the IATT to be processed and issued. Failure to coordinate and complete these scans may prevent or limit a company's participation in the LUT and may place any company at risk of not being competitive for Production source selection.

**INTERNATIONAL INTERESTS**

The JLTV EMD program is intended to be an International Program. Contracts awarded under this solicitation will not contain requirements supporting an EMD phase international effort until a Project Arrangement (PA) is completed.

It is the responsibility of any party making an export of controlled hardware, technical data, and defense services to a foreign person (defined to include both a foreign individual and a foreign firm) to comply with the appropriate statutes and regulations including, but not limited to, the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) and the Export Administration Regulations (EAR). Contractors are advised that any unauthorized export of JLTV information will subject them to significant penalties associated with violations of all export control regulations and laws including the Arms Export Control Act (22 USC 2751, et seq), and Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 USC 2401, et seq) and mandated by Executive Order No. 13222, August 17, 2001.

The Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (DDTC), Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, in accordance with 22 U.S.C. 2778-2780 of the Arms Export Control Act (AECA) and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) (22 CFR Parts 120-130), is charged with controlling the export and temporary import of defense articles and defense services covered by the United States Munitions List (USML). To learn more about working with DDTC, please visit their Web site ([www.pmddtc.state.gov](http://www.pmddtc.state.gov)). The Licensing process may take 3-6 months for approval.

**CLASSIFIED INFORMATION**

Classified Information Request Procedures: All Offerors and non-EMD vendors must have a valid US security clearance of SECRET or higher in order to respond to this RFP, because the RFP includes annexes classified at the SECRET level which will be released only to offerors possessing the appropriate clearance. All classified material must be marked and handled in accordance with the National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (NISPOM), DoD 5220.22-M, the JLTV Security Classification Guide, dated 19 September 2008, and the DD Form 254 (Attachment 44).

Requests for the classified portion of this RFP must be made by the offeror's Facility Security Officer (FSO) via e-mail to

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b>	<b>Page 6 of 10</b>
	PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-11-R-0329	MOD/AMD 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

usarmy.detroit.acc.mbx.wrn-jltv-rfp@mail.mil with the title "Request for JLTV RFP Classified Annex".

Requests made by other than the FSO will not be processed.

Contractors must destroy classified material received or generated under contracts resulting from this RFP not later than two years following completion of the contract or as soon as it is no longer needed, whichever comes first. Firms that do not submit a proposal or who withdraw their proposals must destroy or return classified material generated or received under this RFP not later than 180 days after the opening date of proposals. Firms submitting a proposal that is not accepted by the Government or does not result in a contract award to the firm have 180 days after notification to destroy or return classified information or otherwise directed by the Contracting Officer.

The following information must be provided and must match the offeror's information in the Industrial Security Facilities Database (ISFD):

- The Company Name and Classified Mailing Address (must match ISFD information)
- CAGE Code
- Name of Facility Security Officer (FSO) with Telephone Number(s), Datafax Number, and E-mail Address
- CAGE Code(s) and Address(es) of the Company Facility (Facilities) intended to participate in Proposal Preparation and Performance of the JLTV Program where classified material will be utilized.

**OSD ACCESS TO PROPOSAL INFORMATION**

Certain contractor proposal information and/or source selection information may be disclosed to the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) for the purposes of developing and validating the JLTV Independent Cost Estimate, Technology Readiness Assessment, or other purposes in support of Milestone B approval. Any OSD personnel provided this information shall be Government employees and must be specifically authorized to receive the information by the Contracting Officer. In order to protect the integrity of the acquisition process, any information disclosed to OSD personnel will be clearly identified as contractor proposal information and/or source selection information with clear notification to protect the information.

**NOTICE REGARDING SUBCONTRACTING**

There are important differences between the Small Business Participation Plan submitted in accordance with Section L and the Small Business Subcontracting Plan submitted in accordance with Section I clauses. The Small Business Subcontracting Plan must be a separate stand-alone file and be clearly labeled as the Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Mark each page of your plan with the solicitation number and date. Do not simply submit a duplicate copy of your Small Business Participation Plan as your Small Business Subcontracting Plan. The Small Business Participation Plan is developed in accordance with Section L and evaluated in accordance with Section M. The Small Business Subcontracting Plan is developed in accordance with FAR 52.219-9 (Alt II) and contains the elements and requirements of the clause. The plan is evaluated in accordance with the clause and with AFARS Appendix DD.

**PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE**

The Period of Performance for this contract will be for 27 months after contract award.

**JLTV PROPOSED SCHEDULE (See Attachment YY)**

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE A0001 \*\*\*

1. Amendment 0003 to Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329 is to provide for the following changes:

a. Section A - New language as follows:

i) The following language added to the "LUT:" paragraph in the section "Production and Deployment Phase Market Research (non-EMD Vendors):" immediately following the first sentence:

For communication testing during the LUT, all vehicles will be required to have an Interim Authority To Test (IATT) prior to the event. In order to receive an IATT, the Baseline and Intermediate Information Assurance (IA) Scans described in Section C.7.3.3 of this RFP must be completed.

ii) The following paragraph added immediately following the "LUT:" paragraph in the section "Production and Deployment Phase Market Research (non-EMD Vendors):"

Information Assurance (IA) Scans: Non-EMD vendors will need to coordinate with the Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC), to complete Baseline and Intermediate IA Scans in accordance with those described in Section C.7.3.3 of this RFP. These scans may be conducted in a System Integration Lab (SIL). The Final IA Scan must be executed on a complete vehicle. If any vulnerabilities are found,

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b>	<b>Page 7 of 10</b>
	PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-11-R-0329	MOD/AMD 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

the vendor will need to update the hardware list, update the high and low level architectural designs, and develop a Plan Of Actions & Milestones (POA&M), addressing the non-compliant (NC), non-applicable (N/A), and Inherited items identified on the Final IA Scan Scorecard. The POA&M will also need to describe how all CAT I vulnerabilities were corrected, how all CAT II vulnerabilities were corrected or mitigated, and if/how all CAT III vulnerabilities were corrected or mitigated. These efforts will need to be completed 90 days prior to the LUT in order to allow time for the IATT to be processed and issued. Failure to coordinate and complete these scans may prevent or limit a company's participation in the LUT and may place any company at risk of not being competitive for Production source selection.

b. Section I DFARS Clause 252.211-7005 has been deleted.

c. Section J (Attachments) are revised as follows:

i) Attachment 1

Purchase Description Annex E & F:

- See Revision History for both Annex E and Annex F, noting that only Annex E has been updated

ii) Attachment 6 - Replaced Version dated 08 February 2012 with Version dated 27 February 2012 and is updated as follows:

- Tabs for the Estimated Summaries for the JLTV-GP, JLTV-HGC, JLTV-CCWC, JLTV-UTL, & JLTV-XXX

- a) "(Vehicle Baseline Integration Kits)" added in the Special Equipment Integration Vehicle WBS Element (Refer to cell B18)

- Tab "JLTV-Expansion Kits Est Summary" added in its entirety

- Documentation Summary tab:

- a) New row header and row for Expansion Kits created (Refer to rows 139 & 140)

- Government Assumptions tab:

- a) Changed "Base Vehicle" to "Mission Package Configuration" in the Quantities and Production Schedule tables (Refer to cells B5 & B10)

- b) Definition of "Mission Package Configuration" added (Refer to rows 50 & 51)

iii) Attachment 8 - Replaced Version dated 16 September 2011 with Version dated 01 March 2012 and is updated as follows:

- Title for Cell B2 changed from "EVMS WBS ID" to "SE/IMS WBS ID"

- WBS Description for "Navigation & Remote Piloting" changed to "Navigation" on the following four EVMS WBS ID numbers:

- 01.01.13
  - 01.02.13
  - 01.03.13
  - 01.05.13

- WBS Descriptions for the following five EVMS WBS ID numbers are modified by changing references from "Vehicle Mission Package Integration Kits to Vehicle Baseline Integration Kit:

- 01.04.01
  - 01.04.01.01
  - 01.04.01.03
  - 01.04.01.05
  - 01.04.01.06

- WBS Descriptions for EVMS WBS ID number 01.04.02 is modified by changing "Special Equipment Add-on Kits (Vehicle Expansion Kits) to Special Equipment Add-on Kits

- EVMS WBS ID Number 01.04.02.24 titled Expansion Kits (if required, reference Annex K, Table 3)" has been added (Refer to Row 234)

iv) Attachment 37 (FOUO) - Replaced Version dated 13 February 2012 with Version dated 22 February 2012 and is updated as follows:

- Please see "Change Log" tab that has been inserted in Attachment 37 for all changes associated with Attachment 37.

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b> PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-11-R-0329      MOD/AMD 0003	<b>Page 8 of 10</b>
---------------------------	--	---------------------

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

v) Attachment 51 - Replaced Version dated 14 February 2012 with Version dated 27 February 2012 and is updated as follows:

- Example tabs 1-6:

- a) CSDR WBS ID Number 1.1.12.2.24 titled Expansion Kits (if required, reference Annex K, Table 3)" has been added (Refer to Row 48 in each tab)

vi) Attachment KK - Replaced Version dated 14 February 2012 with Version dated 29 February 2012 and is updated as follows:

- The following updates to the JLTV-GP UMC Estimate Summary tab

- a) Cell I70 in the tab is no longer grey. The Contractor shall fill in Reporting Contractor Profit/Loss or Fee Total
- b) Cell J71 is now blue consistent with the Instructions tab
- c) Column F (Number of Units) is updated from 7,321 to 11,289 to reflect GP Base Vehicle Platform quantity assumptions from the Government Assumptions tab

- The following updates to the Government Assumptions tab:

- a) Quantities for Base Vehicle GP has been updated from 7,321 to 11,289 to reflect the total combined GP and HGC Mission Package Configurations (Refer to Row 5)
- b) Added the following definition "\*\* The GP Base Vehicle Platform is common to both the JLTV-GP & JLTV-HGC Mission Package Configurations" (Refer to Row 7)
- c) In the "Production Schedule" table, "Base Vehicle" to "Mission Package Configuration Totals" (Refer to Row 11)

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE A0004 \*\*\*

**CONTINUATION SHEET**

**Reference No. of Document Being Continued**

**Page 9 of 10**

**PIIN/SIIN** W56HZV-11-R-0329

**MOD/AMD** 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

SECTION I - CONTRACT CLAUSES

Status Regulatory Cite Title Date

I-1 DELETED 252.211-7005 SUBSTITUTIONS FOR MILITARY OR FEDERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS NOV/2005

**CONTINUATION SHEET****Reference No. of Document Being Continued****Page 10 of 10**

PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-11-R-0329

MOD/AMD 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

## SECTION J - LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

<u>List of Addenda</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number of Pages</u>	<u>Transmitted By</u>
Attachment 0001	JLTV PURCHASE DESCRIPTION (PD)	13-FEB-2012	0NA	
Attachment 0006	MANUFACTURING COST ESTIMATE TEMPLATE	27-FEB-2012	0NA	
Attachment 0008	INTEGRATED MASTER SCHEDULE (IMS) WBS	01-MAR-2012	0NA	
Attachment 0037	EMD VEHICLE CONFIGURATION AND ALLOCATION MATRIX (FOUO)	22-FEB-2012	0NA	
Attachment 0051	CCDR INSTRUCTIONS	27-FEB-2012	0NA	

As a result of Amendment 0003 to Solicitation W56HZV-11-R-0329, the following Section L & M Attachments annotated by an asterisk (\*) have been revised.

Attachment BB	SPEC SHEET	02-DEC-2011	001	
Attachment CC	PROPOSED SPEC SHEET	07-FEB-2012	001	
Attachment DD	MATURITY LEVELS	02-DEC-2011	005	
Attachment EE	DATA MATRIX	19-JAN-2011	0NA	
Attachment FF	PERFORMANCE COMPLIANCE MATRIX	05-JAN-2012	0NA	
Attachment GG	PERFORMANCE DATA SHEETS	07-FEB-2012	006	
Attachment FP1	CAB DESIGN DATA SHEET (FOUO)	08-FEB-2012	0NA	
Attachment FP2	CREW SEATING DATA SHEET (FOUO)	08-FEB-2012	0NA	
Attachment FP3	BLAST TEST DATA SHEET (FOUO)	08-FEB-2012	0NA	
Attachment MM1	VEHICLE DATA PACKAGE FOR PROPULSION SYSTEM MODELING AND SIMULATION	02-DEC-2011	0NA	
Attachment MM2	VEHICLE DATA PACKAGE FOR DYNAMIC MODELING AND SIMULATION	02-DEC-2011	0NA	
Attachment MM3	NRMM WHEELED VEHICLE DATA SHEETS	07-FEB-2012	0NA	
Attachment JJ	3D CAD MODELS	02-DEC-2011	001	
Attachment KK	UNIT MANUFACTURING COST ESTIMATE *	29-FEB-2012	0NA	
Attachment PP	PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE	20-DEC-2011	005	
Attachment PR	PAST PERFORMANCE RELEVANCY MATRIX	06-DEC-2011	0NA	
Attachment QQ	LOE OPTION PRICING SHEET	08-FEB-2012	0NA	
Attachment SB	SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION PLAN FACTOR SUBMITTAL	01-JAN-2012	0NA	
Attachment YY	JLTV PROPOSED SCHEDULE	11-JAN-2012	001	

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE J0001 \*\*\*