

**AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT**1. Contract ID Code  
Firm-Fixed-Price

Page 1 Of 8

2. Amendment/Modification No.

0001

3. Effective Date

2012NOV16

4. Requisition/Purchase Req No.

SEE SCHEDULE

5. Project No. (If applicable)

6. Issued By

U.S. ARMY CONTRACTING COMMAND  
CHRISTOPHER A. VALEVICH  
WARREN, MICHIGAN 48397-5000  
HTTP://CONTRACTING.TACOM.ARMY.MIL

Code

W56HZV

7. Administered By (If other than Item 6)

Code

8. Name And Address Of Contractor (No., Street, City, County, State and Zip Code)

9A. Amendment Of Solicitation No.

W56HZV-12-R-0501

9B. Dated (See Item 11)

2012OCT29

10A. Modification Of Contract/Order No.

10B. Dated (See Item 13)

Code

Facility Code

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS** The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended,  is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:  
(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 2 signed copies of the amendments; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. **FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.** If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting And Appropriation Data (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS**

It Modifies The Contract/Order No. As Described In Item 14.

- A. This Change Order is Issued Pursuant To: \_\_\_\_\_ The Changes Set Forth In Item 14 Are Made In \_\_\_\_\_  
The Contract/Order No. In Item 10A.
- B. The Above Numbered Contract/Order Is Modified To Reflect The Administrative Changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) Set Forth In Item 14, Pursuant To The Authority of FAR 43.103(b).
- C. This Supplemental Agreement Is Entered Into Pursuant To Authority Of: \_\_\_\_\_
- D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor  is not,  is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the Issuing Office.

14. Description Of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

SEE SECOND PAGE FOR DESCRIPTION

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. Name And Title Of Signer (Type or print)

16A. Name And Title Of Contracting Officer (Type or print)

15B. Contractor/Offeror

15C. Date Signed

16B. United States Of America

16C. Date Signed

(Signature of person authorized to sign)

By \_\_\_\_\_ /SIGNED/  
(Signature of Contracting Officer)

NSN 7540-01-152-8070

30-105-02

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)

PREVIOUS EDITIONS UNUSABLE

Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b>	<b>Page 2 of 8</b>
	PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-12-R-0501	MOD/AMD 0001

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

1. This is Amendment 0001 to Solicitation W56HZV-12-R-0501. The purpose of Amendment 0001 is to update the language in paragraphs A.3.1.2, M.2 and M.4.1.1.

2. As a result the following changes have been made:

A. Section A, Solicitation/Contract Form

1. Paragraph A.3.1.2 is updated to read the following:

From: Affordability. The Government anticipates awards will be made with a combined total value of approximately \$19,800,000 for up to six contracts as a result of this solicitation. Up to six Firm Fixed Price contract(s) will be awarded as a result of this solicitation.

To: Affordability. The Government anticipates awarding up to six Firm Fixed Price contract(s) as a result of this solicitation. Any proposal received in response to this RFP that proposes a total evaluated price in excess of \$3,300,000 shall be considered unaffordable and shall not be considered for award.

B. Section M, Evaluation Factors for Award

1. Paragraph M.2(h) has been incorporated into the solicitation. The paragraph reads as follows:

Affordability. Any proposal received in response to this RFP that proposes a total evaluated price in excess of \$3,300,000 shall be considered unaffordable and shall not be considered for award.

2. Paragraph M.4.1.1 is updated to read the following:

From: Affordability. No proposal or combination of proposals, no matter how highly rated, shall be considered for award if unaffordable. The Government anticipates awards will be made with a combined total value of approximately \$19,800,000 for up to six contracts as a result of this solicitation.

To: Affordability. No proposal shall be considered for award if unaffordable. The Government anticipates up to six Firm Fixed Price contract(s) will be awarded as a result of this solicitation. Any proposal received in response to this RFP that proposes a total evaluated price in excess of \$3,300,000 shall be considered unaffordable and shall not be considered for award.

3. Except as provided herein, all other terms and conditions remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE A0002 \*\*\*

SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Modernized Expanded Capacity Vehicle Survivability (MECV-S)

SOLICITATION # W56HZV-12-R-0501

A.1 INTRODUCTION

A.1.1 The Product Director Light Tactical Vehicle (PD LTV) on behalf of the Program Executive Office Combat Support and Combat Service Support (PEO CS&CSS) plans to examine potential survivability enhancements to the High Mobility Multi-Wheeled Vehicle (HMMWV) Expanded Capacity Vehicle (ECV) chassis. This project will be referred to as the Modernized ECV - Survivability (MECV-S). To accomplish this, the Army Contracting Command Warren (ACC-Wrn) is conducting a full and open competition with industry to award and test up to six proposed vehicle solutions. Vendors shall also provide Computer Aided Design (CAD) models to be used for Government-conducted modeling and simulation excursions at various threats and multiple soil conditions.

A.2 BACKGROUND / OBJECTIVES

A.2.1 Vehicle systems within the Army must meet a wide range of performance requirements, while adhering to weight and cost restrictions.

A.2.2 The Government seeks to evaluate proven light tactical vehicle material solutions to improve the survivability of the HMMWV ECV. The primary mission of the HMMWV ECV is to provide protected mobility to ground forces with the capability of operating in a threat environment that involves the possibility of mines, explosives, and small arms fire (SAF).

A.2.3 The Governments goal is to obtain technical solutions which may address current and future threats by making systematic improvements to tactical vehicles through increased crew protection and vehicle survivability at a maximum Gross Vehicle Weight (GVW) of 18,500 lbs. The MECV-S program requires effective force protection and its sole focus is improving the survivability of the crew

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b>	<b>Page 3 of 8</b>
	PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-12-R-0501	MOD/AMD 0001

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

through scalable armor.

A.2.4 The output of the contract(s) will be an advancement of Army knowledge of technologies and techniques available which are critical to a successful Tactical Wheeled Vehicle protection system.

A.2.5 All technologies used to develop the MECV-S should be no less than Technology Readiness Level 7 (TRL 7). TRL 7 is defined as a prototype near or at planned operational system and represents a major step up from TRL 6 by requiring demonstration of an actual system prototype in an operational environment. Contractors shall be required to achieve, at a minimum, threshold requirements of the Annex A (Classified - Ballistic Requirements for the MECV-S (SECRET), version 1.1) referred to as Annex A.

A.2.6 Contract Requirements. Each Research Development Test and Engineering (RDTE) contract award will be for two identical MECV-S systems, and two identical sets of vehicle Computer Aided Design (CAD) models. A MECV-S system is defined as an armored 4-door weapons carrier crew compartment on a rolling chassis that meets the requirements of Attachment 001, MECV-S Crew Compartment Requirements, Section C, and the Classified Annex A.

**A.3 ACQUISITION STRATEGY**

**A.3.1 Proposal Submission and Requirements**

A.3.1.1 Proposals shall include information, as explained in Section L. Information will be required regarding each of the source selection evaluation Factors. Note that Price proposals shall include cost information as specified in Section L of the solicitation.

A.3.1.2 Affordability. The Government anticipates awarding up to six Firm Fixed Price contract(s) as a result of this solicitation. Any proposal received in response to this RFP that proposes a total evaluated price in excess of \$3,300,000 shall be considered unaffordable and shall not be considered for award.

**A.3.2 Proposal Evaluation and Award**

A.3.2.1 Source Selection. Offerors must carefully review the requirements of the solicitation. Particular attention is directed to Section L, which instructs Offerors how to present proposals, as well as Section M which sets forth the award criteria in its relative order of importance and the basis of award.

A.3.2.2 This RFP includes FAR Provision 52.215-1 Instructions to Offerors Competitive Acquisition in Section L which advises Offerors that the Government intends to make award without conducting discussions. However, under FAR 52.215-1(f)(4), the Government reserves the right to hold discussions, if necessary.

**A.4 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY (GFP)**

A.4.1 The MECV-S program will utilize Depot Recapitalized M1151A1 HMMWV ECVs provided to contract awardees as GFP as stated in the Statement of Work (SOW) at C.2.1. In its proposal, the Offeror shall provide a shipping address and Point of Contact for receipt of the GFP, as stated in section L.3.1.f.

A.4.2 The Government intends to ship GFP to each contractor, receipt of GFP at Contractors facility shall be within 15 business days of contract award. Each contractor shall deliver two identical MECV-S systems to Aberdeen Test Center (ATC) as stated in FAR Provision 52.242-4022, Delivery Schedule, in Section F of the RFP. Each MECV-S system will be inspected by the Government at ATC using Attachment 002, MECV-S Government Acceptance Criteria Vehicle Readiness Board and Inspection.

**A.5 CLASSIFIED INFORMATION**

A.5.1 To view the Annex A, Offerors must provide evidence of its security clearance (Secret). Reference The National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (NISPOM) dated Feb 2006 for information on how to obtain a Facility Clearance Letter (FCL) (.dss.mil/isp/fac\_clear/download\_nispom.html). In accordance with M.2, the Government may reject an Offerors proposal that does not include evidence the Offeror has obtained the aforementioned SECRET Facility Clearance and the classified ballistic specifications at the time of proposal submission. Extensions to this RFP will NOT be granted by the Government to allow time for potential Offerors to obtain the aforementioned clearances that do not already possess them.

A.5.2 Offerors are required to request a copy of the Annex A for complete proposal preparation. In order to receive a copy of Annex A, Offerors must send an email to: usarmy.detroit.peo-cs-css.mbx.jpo-jltv-pd-ltv-hmmwv-r-and-m@mail.mil along with your Company Name, address, CAGE Code, Facility CAGE Code, and basis of the need to know. All requests for Annex A shall be received within 10 calendar days of RFP release.

**A.6 GENERAL INFORMATION.**

A.6.1 Access to Tank Automotive and Armaments Command (TACOM) and ATC shall be required. The US Government has very strict requirements for gaining admittance to TACOM. For facilities access requirements, contact the US Army Garrison Detroit Arsenal (586) 282-5697 for

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b> <b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W56HZV-12-R-0501 <b>MOD/AMD</b> 0001	<b>Page</b> 4 <b>of</b> 8
---------------------------	---	---------------------------

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

the current security requirements at TACOM. The winning Offeror(s) shall also be required to attend the Vehicle Readiness Boards at ATC. Go to ://www.atc.army.mil/visitor\_intro.htm or contact the ATC Security Office, at 410-278-2953.

A.7 POINT OF CONTACT. Contract Specialist, Mr. Christopher A. Valevich, by e-mail only at christopher.a.valevich.civ@mail.mil.

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE A0001 \*\*\*

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD  
SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

**M.1 BASIS FOR AWARD.**

M.1.1 The Government intends to make up to six awards as a result of this solicitation. However, the Government reserves the right to make less than six awards if the value of additional awards up to six would offer only limited benefit to achieving program objectives (see A.2) or based on affordability. The Government may make no contract award where it concludes that no proposal exists with a reasonable probability of achieving program objectives. The Government will select for award the proposal(s) which represent the best value to the Government as described below.

M.2 Rejection of Offers: In accordance with FAR 52.215-1 contained in this RFP, the Government may reject any or all proposals if such action is in the Government's best interest. Examples include, but are not limited to, the following:

(a) Merely offers to perform work according to the RFP terms or fails to present more than a statement indicating capability to comply with the RFP terms without support and elaboration, as specified in the solicitation; or

(b) Reflects an inherent lack of technical competence or a failure to comprehend the complexity and risks required to perform RFP requirements due to submission of a proposal that is unrealistically high or low in price and/or unrealistic in terms of technical or schedule commitments; or

(c) Contains any unexplained, significant inconsistency between the proposed effort and the proposed price, which implies the Offeror has (1) inherent misunderstanding of the scope of work, or (2) an inability to perform the resultant contract; or

(d) An offer that is unbalanced, as to Price. An unbalanced offer is one, which is based on prices significantly high or low for one given item or period versus another item or period. There must be a direct relationship between the effort expended and its Price; or

(e) Fails to meaningfully respond to the Proposal Preparation Instructions specified in Section L of the solicitation; or

(f) Offers a product or services that do not meet all stated requirements of the solicitation;

(g) Proposes exceptions to the attachments, exhibits, enclosures, or other RFP terms and conditions.

or

(h) Affordability. Any proposal received in response to this RFP that proposes a total evaluated price in excess of \$3,300,000 shall be considered unaffordable and shall not be considered for award.

M.2.1 Requirement for Facility Clearance (SECRET). Portions of the information on this program will be classified as SECRET. To be considered for award, Offerors must have a SECRET Facility Clearance (FCL). Offerors must submit documentation indicating their facility has been granted a SECRET FCL. Offerors who are considering a subcontractor must also submit documentation indicating that its subcontractor has obtained the necessary clearance in order to be considered for award for those subcontractors that will handle classified material. All FCL information will be verified through the Defense Security Service for all Offerors and their subcontractors. Offerors without a security FCL will neither be able to discuss or access key aspects of the program that are classified nor will they be able to pass such information on to their subcontractor. Access to SECRET information will be required under the contract resulting from this RFP. The Government will reject an Offerors proposal that does not include evidence the Offeror has obtained the aforementioned SECRET Facility Clearance and Annex A at the time of proposal submission.

**M.3 Evaluation and Source Selection Process**

M.3.1 Evaluation Process. Selection of the successful Offeror(s) shall be made following an assessment of each proposal, based on the response to the information requested in Section L of this RFP and against the RFP requirements and the evaluation criteria described in Section M herein. Proposals will be evaluated as specified herein, to include developing narrative support for the evaluation conclusions under each Factor. The Government may reject proposals, in accordance with RFP provision M.2 above.

M.3.1.1 The evaluation of proposals submitted in response to this RFP, and the source selection, shall be conducted using a "tradeoff" process to obtain the best value to the Government. The Government seeks to award to an Offeror(s) who gives the greatest confidence it will best meet the requirements affordably. The Government will weigh the evaluated proposal (other than the Price Factor) against the evaluated Price to the Government. As part of the best value determination, the Government will assess the risks of each proposal, that include its strengths and weaknesses, in selecting the proposal(s) that are most advantageous and represent the best overall value to the Government.

M.3.1.2 Source Selection Authority. The Source Selection Authority (SSA) is the official designated to direct the source selection process and select the Offeror(s) for contract award(s).

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b>	<b>Page 6 of 8</b>
	PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-12-R-0501	MOD/AMD 0001

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

M.3.1.3 Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB). A SSEB has been established by the Government to evaluate proposals in response to this RFP. The SSEB is comprised of technically qualified individuals who have been selected to conduct this evaluation in accordance with the evaluation criteria listed for this RFP. Careful, full and impartial consideration will be given to all proposals received in response to this RFP. All proposals shall be subject to evaluation by a team of Government personnel.

M.3.1.4 AWARD WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS. This RFP includes FAR Provision 52.215-1 Instructions to Offerors Competitive Acquisition in Section L which advises Offerors that the Government intends to make award without conducting discussions. Where awards will be made without discussions, exchanges with Offerors are limited to Clarifications as defined in FAR 15.306(a). Therefore, the Offerors initial proposal should contain the Offerors best terms from a Price and non-Price Factor standpoint. However, under FAR 52.215-1(f)(4), the Government reserves the right to hold discussions, if necessary.

M.3.2 Determination of Responsibility. In accordance with FAR 9.103, contracts will be placed only with Offerors that the Contracting Officer determines to be responsible. Prospective Offerors, in order to qualify as sources for this acquisition, must be able to demonstrate that they meet the standards of responsibility as set forth in FAR 9.104. In that regard, the Government may assess the Offeror's financial capabilities to meet the RFP requirements. The Government reserves the right to conduct a Pre-Award Survey on any or all Offerors (or their Subcontractors), to aid the Contracting Officer in the evaluation of each Offeror's proposal and ensure that a selected Offeror is responsible. No award can be made to an Offeror who has been determined to be not responsible by the Contracting Officer. To make sure an Offeror meets the responsibility criteria at FAR 9.104, the Government may:

- (1) Arrange a visit to an Offeror's plant and perform a necessary Pre-Award Survey, or
- (2) Request an Offeror to provide financial, technical, production, or managerial background information. If the requested information is not submitted within seven days from the date of receipt of the request, or if Offeror refuses the Government access to its facility, the Government may determine the Offeror non-responsible. If the Government visits the Offeror's facility, please ensure that current data relevant to its proposal is available for Government personnel to review.

**M.4 Evaluation Criteria**

Evaluation Factors: The three Evaluation Factors are:

- (1) Technical
- (2) Price
- (3) Small Business Participation

Relative Order of Importance. Technical is slightly more important than Price. Price is significantly more important than Small Business Participation. As required to be defined by FAR 15.304(e), the two non-price Factors combined are approximately equal to the Price Factor.

M.4.1 Importance of Price. Award(s) will be made to the responsible Offeror(s) whose proposal(s) represents the best value to the Government. This might not be the Offeror(s) with the lowest Price. However, the closer the Offerors' evaluations are in those Factors other than the Price Factor, the more important Price becomes in the decision. Notwithstanding the relative order of importance of the three evaluation Factors stated herein, Price may be controlling when:

- a. Proposals are otherwise considered approximately equal in the non-Price Factors; or
- b. An otherwise superior proposal is unaffordable; or
- c. The advantages of a proposal are not considered to be worth the price premium.

M.4.1.1 Affordability. No proposal shall be considered for award if unaffordable. The Government anticipates up to six Firm Fixed Price contract(s) will be awarded as a result of this solicitation. Any proposal received in response to this RFP that proposes a total evaluated price in excess of \$3,300,000 shall be considered unaffordable and shall not be considered for award.

**M.4.2 TECHNICAL FACTOR**

The Technical Factor will assess the Offerors proposal responses to L.3.2.2 and L.3.2.3.

M.4.2.1 Under the Technical Factor, the Government will assess the Offerors proposal risk probability of the:

- 1) Crew compartment protection meeting those Annex A requirements as identified in Attachment 005 (MECV Survivability Technical Factor Compliance Substantiation).
- 2) Crew compartment latches and emergency egress provisions achieving the requirements identified in Attachment 001, sections 5 and 6.

M.4.2.1.1 Evaluation of Objective (Versus Threshold) Ballistic and Blast Performance Levels.

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

M.4.2.1.2 If the Government evaluation shows that an Offeror demonstrates their crew compartment is likely to achieve an evaluated Objective (as opposed to Threshold) ballistic and blast performance level, it shall be credited as a strength under the assessment to which it belongs. Such strengths may result in an increase in the rating that would otherwise be assigned for the relevant assessment.

M.4.2.1.3 For each Objective ballistic and blast performance level being evaluated (Annex A, 6.2.3.2, and Table A-2a), evaluation credit (see M.4.2.1.2 for application of evaluation credit) will only be given for evaluated full achievement of the Objective performance. No credit for partial achievement will be given, except that performance exceeding threshold (but less than objective), may form a basis for reduction of risk for meeting the Threshold performance of that requirement.

M.4.2.1.4 To receive Objective Performance evaluation credit (see M.4.2.1.2 for application of evaluation credit), the Offeror's proposal must demonstrate to the Government that the proposed Objective Performance level is achievable at moderate, or lower risk. Proposed achievement of an Objective Performance level will be assessed as Moderate Risk where it is likely to result in achievement of the proposed Objective Performance level. Where the Objective performance level is evaluated as having risk higher than moderate risk for achieving proposed performance, no evaluation credit shall be given, nor shall such a proposal be considered a proposal strength, but it may form a basis for reduction of risk for meeting the Threshold performance of that requirement.

M.4.2.2 Substantiating Data.

M.4.2.2.1 Validated blast and ballistic test completed data, which establishes conformance to the offered performance levels, represents the most credible form of substantiating data. However, the greater the extent to which the Offerors proposed configuration meaningfully varies from their originally tested configuration, or testing conducted under different conditions at greater variance with those described in Annex A, then a greater probability exists that the Government may discount the validity of such test completed data as substantiating information.

**M.4.3 PRICE FACTOR**

Evaluation of Price. This Factor will consider the Total Evaluated Price to the Government as submitted by the Offeror in Attachment 006, MECV Survivability Pricing Spreadsheet. The Price Factor will assess the total evaluated price to the Government, to include a Procuring Contracting Officer assessment of the reasonableness of proposed prices. The Total Evaluated Price amount will be used in the tradeoff process of the evaluation.

M.4.3.1 The assessment of Total Evaluated Price will consider the reasonableness and affordability (see M.4.1.1), of the proposed prices.

M.4.3.1.1 Reasonableness means that the proposed prices do not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person in the conduct of competitive business. This may be evaluated by various means, such as consistency between the price and the Offerors technical approach, historical data and experience available from Government sources, Independent Government Cost Estimate, comparison of the proposed prices, and information provided in the Offerors Price Volume.

M.4.3.2 The Total Evaluated Price will be the sum of the Offerors proposed prices for all items based upon the contract quantities. The Total Evaluated Price will be used in the trade-off evaluation. The Total Evaluated Price shall consist of:

(a) The "Total Evaluated Price" from Attachment 006, "MECV-S Pricing Worksheet".

and

(b) An imputed cost of transportation for Government Furnished Property (GFP), which the Government will compute in accordance with Section M.4.3.3.

The Total Evaluated Price shall be based upon the quantities in Attachment 006, and (except for transportation) shall be calculated per Attachment 006.

M.4.3.3 For the evaluation of transportation of GFP associated with this offer, we will use the lowest freight rates from the Transportation Officer that are:

- in effect (or the lowest rates that will become effective before the expected date of initial shipment), and
- on file or published with the Transportation Officer by the date of bid opening (or by the closing date specified for requests for proposals), and
- for the Government selected method of shipment, and
- based upon the following freight classification:

UFC: STCC 6001-AN                      UFC ITEM NO.: 3711950  
NMFC: STB NMF 100-AL                      NMFC ITEM NO: 190450

M.4.3.4 Unbalanced Pricing. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly over or understated as indicated by the application of price analysis techniques. Offerors are

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b> <b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W56HZV-12-R-0501 <b>MOD/AMD</b> 0001	<b>Page</b> 8 <b>of</b> 8
---------------------------	---	---------------------------

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

cautioned that a proposal the Government assesses to be unbalanced as to price, may be unacceptable for award.

M.4.4. SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION FACTOR

M.4.4.1 The Government will evaluate the Offerors proposed extent of Small Business Participation in the performance of the contract for U.S. small businesses (SBs) and small disadvantaged businesses (SDBs). The Offerors extent of small business participation will be calculated using Dollars for portion of work to be performed by Small Business Prime plus Dollars for portion of work to be performed by First Tier Small Business Subcontractors divided by Total Contract Amount to obtain a percentage. Therefore, if the Offeror is itself a U.S. small business concern under the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code applicable to this solicitation (to include U.S. small business concerns who are proposing as part of a joint venture), the Government will consider the Offerors own portion of the work to be performed as Small Business Participation for purposes of this evaluation.

M.4.4.2 The evaluation will consist of the following:

a. The extent to which the proposal identifies participation by U.S. small business concerns to achieve the Governments goals for SB and SDB expressed as a percentage of Total Contract Amount, shown below:

21% for SBs  
5% for SDBs

b. An assessment of the probability that the Offeror will achieve the levels of Small Business Participation identified in the proposal. This assessment will be based upon a proposal risk assessment of the Offerors proposed Small Business Participation Factor Submittal.

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE M0001 \*\*\*